



Original Article

(r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -Generalized double fuzzy closed sets in bitopological spaces



E. El-Sanousy*

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, 82524 Sohag, Egypt

Received 5 May 2015; revised 20 September 2015; accepted 12 January 2016
Available online 16 February 2016

Keywords

Generalized double fuzzy closed sets;
Generalized double fuzzy θ -continuous;
Double fuzzy strongly θ -continuous mappings

Abstract In this paper, we introduce the notion of (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -generalized double fuzzy closed sets in double fuzzy bitopological spaces. A new θ -double fuzzy closure C_{12}^θ on double fuzzy bitopological spaces by using double supra fuzzy topological spaces are defined. Furthermore, generalized double fuzzy θ -continuous (resp. irresolute) and double fuzzy strongly θ -continuous mappings are introduced and some of their properties studied.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40; 03E72; 54B10

Copyright 2016, Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Mashhour et al. [1] introduced the so-called supra topology. El-Monsef and Ramadan [2] introduced the concept supra fuzzy topology, followed by Ghanim et al. [3] who introduced the supra fuzzy topology in \mathcal{S} ostak sense. Abbas and Ramadan [4,5] generalized the supra fuzzy topology from fuzzy bitopological space in \mathcal{S} ostak sense as an extension of supra fuzzy topology due to Kandil et al. [6].

Levine [7] introduced the first step of generalizing closed sets. Balasubramanian and Sundaram [8] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets within Chang's fuzzy topology [9] as an extension of generalized sets of Levine. Kim and Ko [10] defined r -generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth topological spaces. Noiri [11] and Dontchev and Maki [12] introduced another new generalization of Levine generalized closed set by utilizing the θ -closure operator. Khedr and Al-Saadi [13] generalized the notion of θ -generalized sets to bitopological space. Recently, Tantawy et al. [14–17] introduced the notion of θ -generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bitopological spaces.

On the other hand, Atanassov [18] introduced the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set. Recently, much work has been done with these concepts [18–20]. Çoker and coworker [21,22] introduced the idea of the topology of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Samanta and Mondal [23,24] introduced the definition of the intuitionistic gradation of openness.

* Tel.: +20 1122840110; fax: +20 934601158.

E-mail address: elsanowsy@yahoo.com

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

In this paper, we introduce the notion of (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -generalized double fuzzy closed sets in double fuzzy bitopological spaces. A new θ -double fuzzy closure C_{12}^θ on double fuzzy bitopological spaces by using double supra fuzzy $(X, \tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)$ which is generated from double fuzzy bitopological spaces $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ are defined. By using (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -generalized double fuzzy closed sets, we define a new double fuzzy closure operator which generates a new double fuzzy topology. Finally, generalized double fuzzy θ -continuous (resp. irresolute) and double fuzzy strongly θ -continuous mappings are introduced and some of their properties studied.

Throughout this paper, let X be a nonempty set, $I = [0, 1]$, $I_0 = (0, 1]$ and $I_1 = [0, 1)$. For $\alpha \in I$, $\underline{\alpha}(x) = \alpha$ for each $x \in X$. The set of all fuzzy subsets of X are denoted by I^X . For $x \in X$ and $t \in I_0$ a fuzzy point denoted by

$$x_t(y) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } y = x \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

$x_t \in \lambda$ iff $t \leq \lambda(x)$. We denote a fuzzy set λ which is quasi-coincident with a fuzzy μ by $\lambda q \mu$, if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lambda(x) + \mu(x) > 1$. Otherwise by $\lambda \bar{q} \mu$.

Definition 1.1 [23,25]. A double supra fuzzy topology on X is an ordered pair (τ, τ^*) of mappings from I^X to I such that

- (1) $\tau(\lambda) + \tau^*(\lambda) \leq 1, \forall \lambda \in I^X$,
- (2) $\tau(\underline{0}) = \tau(\underline{1}) = 1, \tau^*(\underline{0}) = \tau^*(\underline{1}) = 0$,
- (3) $\tau(\bigvee_{i \in \Delta} \lambda_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \tau(\lambda_i)$ and $\tau^*(\bigvee_{i \in \Delta} \lambda_i) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Delta} \tau^*(\lambda_i), \forall \lambda_i \in I^X, i \in \Delta$.

The triplet (X, τ, τ^*) is called a double supra fuzzy topological space (dsfts, for short). A double supra fuzzy topology (τ, τ^*) is called double fuzzy topological space (dfsts, for short) on X iff

- (4) $\tau(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \geq \tau(\lambda_1) \wedge \tau(\lambda_2)$ and $\tau^*(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \geq \tau^*(\lambda_1) \vee \tau^*(\lambda_2), \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$.

τ and τ^* may interpreted as gradation of openness and gradation of nonopenness, respectively. The $(X, (\tau, \tau^*), (\nu, \nu^*))$ is called a double fuzzy bitopological space (dfbts, for short).

Definition 1.2 [25]. A map $C: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ is called a double supra fuzzy closure operator on X if for $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$ and $r \in I_0, s \in I_1$, it satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1) $C(\underline{0}, r, s) = \underline{0}$.
- (C2) $\lambda \leq C(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (C3) $C(\lambda, r, s) \vee C(\mu, r, s) \leq C(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)$.
- (C4) $C(\lambda, r_1, s_1) \leq C(\lambda, r_2, s_2)$, if $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$.
- (C5) $C(C(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = C(\lambda, r, s)$.

The pair (X, C) is called a double supra fuzzy closure space. A double supra fuzzy closure space (X, C) is called double fuzzy closure space iff

$$(C) C(\lambda, r, s) \vee C(\mu, r, s) = C(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s).$$

Theorem 1.1 [25]. Let (X, τ, τ^*) be a dsfts. Then, for $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$, we define an operator $C_{\tau, \tau^*}: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X : \lambda \leq \mu, \tau(\underline{1} - \mu) \geq r, \tau^*(\underline{1} - \mu) \leq s \}. \tag{1}$$

Then (X, C_{τ, τ^*}) is a double supra fuzzy closure space. The mapping $I_{\tau, \tau^*}: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ defined by

$$I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X : \mu \leq \lambda, \tau(\mu) \geq r, \tau^*(\mu) \leq s \}, \tag{2}$$

is a double supra fuzzy interior space, and $I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - C_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

If (X, τ, τ^*) is dfsts, then the definition of the double fuzzy closure (resp. interior) for any fuzzy set is defined as (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

Theorem 1.2 [25]. Let (X, C) be a double (double supra) fuzzy closure space. Define the mappings $\tau_C, \tau_C^*: I^X \rightarrow I$ on X by

$$\tau_C(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ r \in I_0 : C(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda \},$$

$$\tau_C^*(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ s \in I_1 : C(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda \}.$$

Then:

- (1) (τ_C, τ_C^*) is a double fuzzy (double supra fuzzy) topology on X .
- (2) $C_{\tau_C, \tau_C^*} \leq C$.

Theorem 1.3 [25]. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dsfbts. We define the mappings $C_{12}, I_{12}: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ as follows:

$$C_{12}(\lambda, r, s) = C_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge C_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\lambda, r, s),$$

$$I_{12}(\lambda, r, s) = I_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee I_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\lambda, r, s),$$

for all $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then ,

- (1) (X, C_{12}) is a double supra fuzzy closure space.
- (2) $I_{12}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - C_{12}(\lambda, r, s)$.

Corollary 1.1 [25]. Let (X, C_{12}) be a double supra fuzzy closure space. Then, the mappings $\tau_{C_{12}}, \tau_{C_{12}}^*: I^X \rightarrow I$ on X given by

$$\tau_{C_{12}}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ r \in I_0 : C_{12}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda \},$$

$$\tau_{C_{12}}^*(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ s \in I_1 : C_{12}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda \}.$$

is a dsfts on X .

Theorem 1.4 [25]. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dsfbts. Let (X, C_{12}) be a double supra fuzzy closure space. Define the mappings $\tau_s, \tau_s^*: I^X \rightarrow I$ on X by

$$\tau_s(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \tau_1(\lambda_1) \wedge \tau_2(\lambda_2) : \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \},$$

$$\tau_s^*(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \tau_1^*(\lambda_1) \wedge \tau_2^*(\lambda_2) : \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \},$$

where \vee and \wedge are taken over all families $\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 : \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \}$. Then,

- (1) $(\tau_s, \tau_s^*) = (\tau_{C_{12}}, \tau_{C_{12}}^*)$ is the coarsest double supra fuzzy topology on X which is finer than both of (τ_1, τ_1^*) and (τ_2, τ_2^*) .
- (2) $C_{12} = C_{\tau_s, \tau_s^*} = C_{\tau_{C_{12}}, \tau_{C_{12}}^*}$.

In this paper, we will denote to $\tau_{C_{12}}, \tau_{C_{12}}^*$ by τ_{12}, τ_{12}^* , respectively.

Definition 1.3 [26,27]. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\mu \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ and $x_i \in Pt(X)$. μ is called an (r, s) -open $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i, \tau_i^*}$ -neighborhood of x_i if $x_i q \mu$ with $\tau_i(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_i^*(\mu) \leq s$, we denote

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i, \tau_i^*}(x_i, r, s) = \{ \mu \in I^X : x_i q \mu, \tau_i(\mu) \geq r, \tau_i^*(\mu) \leq s \}.$$

Definition 1.4 [24,28,29]. Let $f: (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\nu_1, \nu_1^*), (\nu_2, \nu_2^*))$ be a mapping. Then, f is called:

- (1) DFP-continuous if and only if $\tau_i(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \nu_i(\mu)$ and $\tau_i^*(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq \nu_i^*(\mu), \forall \mu \in I^Y, i = 1, 2$.

- (2) DFP*-continuous if and only if $f: (X, \tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*) \rightarrow (X, \nu_{12}, \nu_{12}^*)$ is DF-continuous, that is $\tau_{12}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \nu_{12}(\mu)$ and $\tau_{12}^*(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq \nu_{12}^*(\mu)$, $\forall \mu \in I^X$.
- (3) DFP*-open if and only if $f: (X, \tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*) \rightarrow (X, \nu_{12}, \nu_{12}^*)$ is DF-open, that is $\nu_{12}(f(\lambda)) \geq \tau_{12}(\lambda)$ and $\nu_{12}^*(f(\lambda)) \leq \tau_{12}^*(\lambda)$, $\forall \lambda \in I^X$.

2. (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -Generalized double fuzzy closed sets

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. A fuzzy set λ is called:

- (1) an (r, s) - (i, j) -generalized double fuzzy closed $((r, s)$ - (i, j) -gdfc, for short), if $C_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_i(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_j^*(\mu) \leq s$. The complement of (r, s) - (i, j) -gdfc is (r, s) - (i, j) -generalized double fuzzy open $((r, s)$ - (i, j) -gdfo, for short).
- (2) an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -generalized double fuzzy closed $((r, s)$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc, for short), if $C_{12}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s$. The complement of (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc is (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -generalized double fuzzy open $((r, s)$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfo, for short).

The concepts of (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc and (r, s) - (i, j) -gdfc sets are independent.

Definition 2.2. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) A fuzzy point $x_r \in Pt(X)$ is called (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -cluster point of λ if for every $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(x_r, r, s)$, $C_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\mu, r, s)q\lambda$.
- (2) An (i, j) - θ -closure is a mapping $T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ defined as follows:

$$T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \vee \{x_r \in Pt(X) :$$

$$x_r \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(i, j)\text{-}\theta\text{-cluster point of } \lambda\}.$$

- (3) λ is called an (r, s) - (i, j) -double fuzzy θ -closed $((r, s)$ - (i, j) -df θ c, for short) iff $\lambda = T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. The complement of an (r, s) - (i, j) -df θ c is called (r, s) - (i, j) -double fuzzy θ -open $((r, s)$ - (i, j) -df θ o, for short).

Definition 2.3. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda, \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ and $x_r \in Pt(X)$. Then:

- (1) $T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \wedge \{\mu \in I^X : I_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\mu, r, s) \geq \lambda, \tau_i(\underline{1} - \mu) \geq r, \tau_j^*(\underline{1} - \mu) \leq s\}$, i.e. $T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ is an (r, s) - (i, j) -double fuzzy closed set.
- (2) x_r is an (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -cluster point of λ iff $x_r \in T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. A fuzzy set λ is an (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -generalized double fuzzy closed $((r, s)$ - (i, j) - θ -gdfc, for short) if $T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_i(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_j^*(\mu) \leq s$. The complement of (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -gdfc is an (r, s) - (i, j) - θ -generalized double fuzzy open $((r, s)$ - (i, j) - θ -gdfo, for short).

Definition 2.5. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ and $x_r \in Pt(X)$. Then:

- (1) A fuzzy point x_r is said to be an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -cluster point if and only if $C_{12}(\mu, r, s)q\lambda$ for each $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_r, r, s)$. $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_r, r, s) = \{\mu \in I^X : x_r q\mu, \tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s\}$. The set of all (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -cluster points of λ is called C_{12}^θ -fuzzy closure of λ , i.e. $C_{12}^\theta: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ defined as follows:

$$C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \vee \{x_r \in Pt(X) :$$

$$x_r \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-cluster point of } \lambda\}.$$

- (2) λ is said to be an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -double fuzzy θ closed $((r, s)$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -df θ c, for short) set iff $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$. The complement of (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -df θ c set is (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -double fuzzy θ open $((r, s)$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -df θ o, for short) set.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) $C_{12}(\lambda, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq T_{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_j^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (2) If λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -dfo set in X , then $C_{12}(\lambda, r, s) = C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) $C_{12}^\theta(\underline{0}, r, s) = \underline{0}$.
- (2) $\lambda \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (3) If $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_2, r, s)$.
- (4) $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1, r, s) \vee C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_2, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, r, s)$.
- (5) $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r_1, s_1) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r_2, s_2)$, if $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$.
- (6) $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1, r, s) \wedge C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_2, r, s)$.
- (7) $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the definition of C_{12}^θ . \square

Proposition 2.2. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

$$C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \wedge \{C_{12}(\rho, r, s) : \rho \geq \lambda, \tau_{12}(\rho) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\rho) \leq s\}.$$

Proof. Let $K = \wedge \{C_{12}(\rho, r, s) : \rho \geq \lambda, \tau_{12}(\rho) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\rho) \leq s\}$. Suppose $x_r \in C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$ such that $x_r \notin K$. Then, there exists $\rho \in I^X$ such that $\rho \geq \lambda$ with $\tau_{12}(\rho) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\rho) \leq s$ and $x_r \notin C_{12}(\rho, r, s)$. Since $\lambda \leq \rho$ and $\tau_{12}(\rho) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\rho) \leq s$. Then by Proposition 2.1(2) and Theorem 2.1(2), $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\rho, r, s) = C_{12}(\rho, r, s)$, this implies $x_r \notin C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq K$.

Conversely, let $x_r \in K$ such that $x_r \notin C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$. Then, there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_r, r, s)$ such that $C_{12}(\mu, r, s) \bar{q}\lambda$, implies $C_{12}(\mu, r, s) \leq \underline{1} - \lambda$ and hence $\lambda \leq \underline{1} - C_{12}(\mu, r, s)$ which is an (r, s) -dfo set in $(x, \tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)$. Then, by assumption we have $x_r \in C_{12}(\underline{1} - C_{12}(\mu, r, s), r, s)$ and by Theorem 2.1, $x_r \in C_{12}^\theta(\underline{1} - C_{12}(\mu, r, s), r, s)$. Therefore, $C_{12}(\mu, r, s)q\underline{1} - C_{12}(\mu, r, s)$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $K \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$. Hence, we have the required result. \square

Definition 2.6. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ and $x_r \in Pt(X)$. A fuzzy point x_r is said to be an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -interior point of λ if there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_r, r, s)$ such that $C_{12}(\mu, r, s) \bar{q} \underline{1} - \lambda$. The set of all (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -interior points of λ is called I_{12}^θ -double fuzzy interior of λ , i.e. $I_{12}^\theta: I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ defined as follows:

$$I_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \vee \{x_r \in Pt(X) :$$

$$x_r \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-interior point of } \lambda\}.$$

Equivalently,

$$I_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \{\mu \in I^X : C_{12}(\mu, r, s) \leq \lambda, \tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r, \tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s\}.$$

Definition 2.7. Let $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\nu_1, \nu_1^*), (\nu_2, \nu_2^*))$ be a mapping. Then, f is called:

- (1) Generalized DFP*-continuous (GDFP*-continuous, for short) if and only if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc with $\nu_{12}(1 - \mu) \geq r$ and $\nu_{12}^*(1 - \mu) \leq s \forall \mu \in I^X$.
- (2) Generalized DFP*-irresolute (GDFP*-irresolute, for short) if and only if $f(\mu)$ is (r, s) - (ν_{12}, ν_{12}^*) -gdfc in Y for each (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc μ in X .

Definition 2.8. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) A fuzzy set λ is called an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -generalized double fuzzy closed ((r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc, for short) if $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq \mu$ whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s$.
- (2) A fuzzy set λ is called an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -generalized double fuzzy open ((r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfo, for short) if $\underline{1} - \lambda$ is (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc.

Proposition 2.3. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) If λ_1, λ_2 are (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc sets, then $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc set.
- (2) If λ_1, λ_2 are (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfo sets, then $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfo set.

Proof. (1) Let $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s$. This implies that $\lambda_1 \leq \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \leq \mu$. Since λ_1 and λ_2 are (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc sets, then from (3) in Proposition 2.1, and in view of Definition 2.8(1), we have, $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, r, s) = C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_1, r, s) \vee C_{12}^\theta(\lambda_2, r, s) \leq \mu \vee \mu$. Hence, $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc. Part (2), follows from the duality of (1). \square

Remark 2.1. The finite intersection (resp., union) of (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc (resp., (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfo) sets in a dfbts $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ need not to be (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc (resp., (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfo).

Proposition 2.4. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set, then λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc set.

$$\rho(a) = 0.5, \quad \rho(b) = 0.4$$

Define double fuzzy topologies $\tau_1, \tau_1^*, \tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}, \quad \tau_1^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \rho, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \quad \tau_2^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \rho, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The associated double supra fuzzy topology is defined as $\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ such that

$$\tau_{12}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \rho, \mu \vee \rho, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{12}^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \rho, \mu \vee \rho, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for $r = \frac{1}{2}, s = \frac{1}{2}$, the fuzzy set $\lambda = \{0.3, 0.4\}$ is a $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc set but it is not a $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set.

Proposition 2.5. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc set, then λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc set.

Proof. The proof follows directly from (1) in Theorem 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.6. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -dffc set, then λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc set.

Proposition 2.7. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set, then λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -dffc set.

Proposition 2.8. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an (r, s) - (j, i) -dffc set, then λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set.

Proof. To prove λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set, we must prove $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$. Clearly, $\lambda \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq T_{\tau_j, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_i^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Since λ is an (r, s) - (j, i) -dffc set, then $T_{\tau_j, \tau_j^*}^{\tau_i, \tau_i^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$. Consequently, $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) \leq \lambda$. Hence, λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc. \square

From the above discussion we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (r, s)\text{-}(i, j)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfc} & \longleftarrow & (r, s)\text{-}(i, j)\text{-dffc} & \implies & (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-dffc} & \implies & (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-dffc} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (r, s)\text{-}(i, j)\text{-gdffc} & \longleftarrow & (r, s)\text{-}(i, j)\text{-dffc} & \implies & (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdffc} & \implies & (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-gdffc} \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) Let $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s$. Since λ is (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -dffc set, then $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$ and from (4) in Proposition 2.1, for $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$, we have $C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r_1, s_1) \leq C_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r_2, s_2) = \lambda \leq \mu$. Hence, λ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdffc. \square

The converse of Proposition 2.3 is not true from the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{a, b\}$. Define $\mu, \rho \in I^X$ as follows:

$$\mu(a) = 0.2, \quad \mu(b) = 0.5$$

3. Generalized C_{12}^θ -double fuzzy closure operator

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts. Then, for $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$, we define the GC_{12}^θ -fuzzy closure (interior) operators $GC_{12}^\theta, GI_{12}^\theta : I^X \times I_0 \times I_1 \rightarrow I^X$ defined as follows:

$$GC_{12}^\theta(\lambda, r, s) = \wedge \{\rho \in I^X : \rho \geq \lambda \text{ and } \rho \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdffc set}\},$$

$$GI_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) = \vee\{\rho \in I^X : \rho \leq \lambda \text{ and } \rho \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo set}\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) $GI_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (2) If $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_2, r, s)$.
- (3) If $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $GI_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s) \leq GI_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_2, r, s)$.
- (4) If λ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$, then $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$.
- (5) If λ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$, then $GI_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$.

Proof.

- (1) We prove (1) by using Definition 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{1} - GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) &= \underline{1} - \wedge\{\rho \in I^X : \rho \geq \lambda \text{ and } \rho \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo set}\} \\ &= \vee\{\underline{1} - \rho \in I^X : \underline{1} - \rho \leq \underline{1} - \lambda \text{ and } \underline{1} - \rho \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo set}\} \\ &= GI_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Suppose there exist $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s)(x) > t > GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_2, r, s)(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Since $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_2, r, s)(x) < t$, then there exists an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ set ρ with $\rho \geq \lambda_2$ such that $\rho(x) < t$. Since $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s) \leq \rho$. It follows $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s)(x) < t$. This contradicts (3.1). Hence, $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_1, r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda_2, r, s)$.

- (3) Taking the complement of (2) and using (1), we can prove (3).
- (4) It can be proved from Definition 3.1.
- (5) Similar to (3). \square

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. Then:

- (1) GC_{12}^{θ} (resp. GI_{12}^{θ}) is a double fuzzy closure (resp. interior) operator.
- (2) Define $\tau_{12}^{G\theta} : I^X \rightarrow I$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12}^{G\theta}(\lambda) &= \vee\{r \in I : GC_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda\}, \\ \tau_{12}^{*G\theta}(\lambda) &= \wedge\{s \in I : GC_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $(\tau_{12}^{G\theta}, \tau_{12}^{*G\theta})$ is a double fuzzy on X such that $\tau_{12}^{\theta} \leq \tau_{12}^{G\theta}(\lambda)$ and $\tau_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda) \geq \tau_{12}^{*G\theta}(\lambda)$

Proof. (1) To prove (1), we need to satisfy conditions (C1)–(C2) in Definition 1.2.

- (C1) Since $\underline{0}$ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ set in X , then from Proposition 3.1(4), $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{0}, r, s) = \underline{0}$.
- (C2) Follows from the definition of GC_{12}^{θ} .
- (C3) Since $\lambda \leq \lambda \vee \mu$ and $\mu \leq \lambda \vee \mu$, from Proposition 3.1(2),

$$\begin{aligned} GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) &\leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \text{ and} \\ GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s) &\leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

This implies, $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \vee GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)$.

Suppose $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \not\leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \vee GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s)$. Consequently, $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ exist such that

$$GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s)(x) \vee GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s)(x) < t < GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)(x). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s)(x) < t$ and $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s)(x) < t$, there exist $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ sets ρ_1, ρ_2 with $\lambda \leq \rho_1$ and $\mu \leq \rho_2$ such that

$$\rho_1(x) < t, \rho_2(x) < t.$$

Since $\lambda \vee \mu \leq \rho_1 \vee \rho_2$ and $\rho_1 \vee \rho_2$ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ from Proposition 2.2(1), we have $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)(x) \leq (\rho_1 \vee \rho_2)(x) < t$. This, however, contradicts (3.2). Hence, $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \vee GC_{12}^{\theta}(\mu, r, s) = GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)$.

- (C4) Let $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2, r_1, r_2 \in I_0$ and $s_1, s_2 \in I_1$. Suppose $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_1, s_1) \not\leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_2, s_2)$. Consequently, $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ exist such that

$$GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_2, s_2)(x) < t < GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_1, s_1)(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Since $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_2, s_2)(x) < t$, there is an $(r_2, s_2)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ set ρ with $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that $\rho(x) < t$. This yields $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\rho, r_3, s_3) \leq \mu$, whenever $\rho \leq \mu$ and $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r_3$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s_3$. Since $r_1 \leq r_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$, then $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\rho, r_4, s_4) \leq \mu$, whenever $\rho \leq \mu$ and $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r_4$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s_4$. This implies ρ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$. From Definition 3.1, we have $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_1, s_1)(x) \leq \rho(x) < t$. This contradicts (3.3). Hence, $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_1, s_1) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r_2, s_2)$.

- (C5) Let ρ be any $(r_2, s_2)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ containing λ . Then, from Definition 3.1, we have $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \rho$, from Proposition 3.1(2), we obtain $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\rho, r, s) = \rho$. This mean that $GC_{12}^{\theta}(GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$ is contained in every $(r_2, s_2)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ containing λ . Hence $GC_{12}^{\theta}(GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s)$, however $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s) \leq GC_{12}^{\theta}(GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$. Therefore $GC_{12}^{\theta}(GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = GC_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda, r, s)$. Thus GC_{12}^{θ} is a double fuzzy closure operator.

By similar way, we can prove that GI_{12}^{θ} is a double fuzzy interior operator.

By using (1) and Definition 1.2, we get $(\tau_{12}^{G\theta}, \tau_{12}^{*G\theta})$ is a double fuzzy topology on X . By Proposition 2.3, $C_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda$ which yields $GC_{12}^{\theta}(\underline{1} - \lambda, r, s) = \underline{1} - \lambda$. Thus $\tau_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda) \leq \tau_{12}^{G\theta}(\lambda)$ and $\tau_{12}^{\theta}(\lambda) \geq \tau_{12}^{*G\theta}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in I^X$. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $(X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*))$ be a dfbts, $\lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0, s \in I_1$. If λ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$, then λ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}^{G\theta}, \tau_{12}^{*G\theta})\text{-dfo}$ set.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.1(4) and Theorem 3.1(2). \square

4. GDFP*- θ -continuous and GDFP*- θ -irresolute mappings

Definition 4.1. A mapping $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is called:

- (1) Generalized DFP*- θ -continuous (GDFP*- θ -continuous, for short) if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is an $(r, s)\text{-}(\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)\text{-}\theta\text{-gdfo}$ in X for each $(r, s)\text{-}(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)\text{-dfo}$ set μ in Y .

- (2) Generalized DFP*- θ -irresolute (GDFP*- θ -irresolute, for short) if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc in X for each (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ - θ -gdfc set μ in Y .
- (3) DFP*-strongly θ -continuous (DFP*-S θ -continuous, for short) if for each $x_t \in Pt(X)$ and $r \in I_0, s \in I_1$ if $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_t), r, s)$, there exists $v \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_t, r, s)$ such that $f(C_{12}(v, r, s)) \leq \mu$.

Proposition 4.1. $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is GDFP*- θ -continuous, then GDFP*-continuous.

Proof. Let $\mu \in I^Y$ such that μ is (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ -dfo set. Since f is GDFP*- θ -continuous, then we have $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc, and from Proposition 2.4, this yields $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -gdfc. Hence, f is GDFP*-continuous. \square

The converse of Proposition 4.1 is not true as the following example:

Example 4.1. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $Y = \{x, y, z\}$. Define $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^Y$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= a_{\frac{2}{3}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{2}}, & \lambda_2 &= a_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{4}} \\ \mu_1 &= x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{2}{3}} \vee z_{\frac{1}{2}}, & \mu_2 &= x_{\frac{3}{3}} \vee y_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee z_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

We define double fuzzy topologies $\tau_1, \tau_1^*, \tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*, \sigma_2, \sigma_2^* : I^Y \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \tau_1^*(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \tau_2(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \tau_2^*(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \sigma_1(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \sigma_1^*(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \sigma_2(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} & \sigma_2^*(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The associated double supra fuzzy topologies is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12}(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \tau_{12}^*(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \sigma_{12}(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1 \vee \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{12}^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1 \vee \mu_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the mapping $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (Y, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ defined by $f(a) = y$ and $f(b) = z$. Then f is GDFP*-continuous but is not GDFP*- θ -continuous because, there exists $\underline{1} - \mu$ is a $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) - (\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)$ -dfo set but $f^{-1}(\underline{1} - \mu)$ is not a $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) - (\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*)$ - θ -gdfc set.

Proposition 4.2. If $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is DFP*-S θ -continuous, then GDFP*- θ -continuous.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in I^Y$ be an (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ -dfo set. Let $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, where $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r_1$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s_1$ for $r_1 \leq r$ and $s_1 \geq s$. We must show that $C_{12}^\theta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) \leq \mu$. Let $x_t \notin \mu$ this means, $x_t q \underline{1} - \mu$. In fact, $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, which implies that $\underline{1} - \mu \leq \underline{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Since $x_t q \underline{1} - \mu$ this yields, $x_t q \underline{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Thus, we have $f(x_t) q \underline{1} - \lambda$ such that $\underline{1} - \lambda$ is (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ -dfo set in Y . That is means $\underline{1} - \lambda \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_t), r, s)$. Since f is DFP*-S θ -continuous, then there exists $\eta \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_t, r, s)$ such that $f(C_{12}(\eta, r, s)) \leq \underline{1} - \lambda$. This implies, $f(C_{12}(\eta, r, s)) q \underline{1} - \lambda$ and then $C_{12}(\eta, r, s) q f^{-1}(\lambda)$. In view of Definition 2.6, we get $x_t \notin C_{12}^\theta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. Since $r_1 \leq r$ and $s_1 \geq s$, then from Proposition 2.1(4), we have $x_t \notin C_{12}^\theta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r_1, s_1)$. Hence, we obtain $C_{12}^\theta(f^{-1}(\lambda), r_1, s_1) \leq \mu$. Thus, f is GDFP*- θ -continuous. \square

The converse of Proposition 4.1 is not true as the following example:

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $Y = \{x, y\}$. Define $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^Y$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= a_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{3}}, & \lambda_2 &= a_{\frac{1}{3}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \mu_1 &= x_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{4}}, & \mu_2 &= x_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

We define double fuzzy topologies $\tau_1, \tau_1^*, \tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*, \sigma_2, \sigma_2^* : I^Y \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \tau_1^*(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \tau_2(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \tau_2^*(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \sigma_1(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \sigma_1^*(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \sigma_2(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} & \sigma_2^*(\mu) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The associated double supra fuzzy topologies is defined as follows:

$$\tau_{12}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_{12}^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{12}(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1 \vee \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{12}^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1 \vee \mu_2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the mapping $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (Y, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ defined by $f(a) = y$ and $f(b) = x$. Then, f is GDFP*- θ -continuous but is not DFP*-S θ -continuous because, there exists $a_{0.7} \in Pt(X)$, $r = \frac{1}{3}$, $s = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\mu_1 \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(a_{0.7}), \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ such that for any $\lambda \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(a_{0.7}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$, $f(C_{12}(\lambda, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})) \not\leq \mu_1$.

Proposition 4.3. *If $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is DFP*-continuous, then GDFP*-continuous.*

The converse of above proposition is not true in general.

Theorem 4.1. *A mapping $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is DFP*-continuous iff for each $x_i \in Pt(X)$ and for each $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_i), r, s)$, there exists $\eta \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_i, r, s)$ such that $f(\eta) \leq \mu$.*

Proof. Suppose $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is DFP*-continuous. Let $x_i \in Pt(X)$ and $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_i), r, s)$. Since f is DFP*-continuous. Then $\tau_{12}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \sigma_{12}(\mu)$ and $\tau_{12}^*(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq \sigma_{12}^*(\mu)$. Since $f(x_i) \in \mu$ then $x_i \in f^{-1}(\mu)$ implies $f^{-1}(\mu) \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_i, r, s)$ such that $f(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq \mu$.

Conversely, Let $\mu \in I^Y$ such that μ is (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ -dfo set and let $x_i \in f^{-1}(\mu)$, this implies $f(x_i) \in \mu$, Therefore $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_i), r, s)$, and from our assumption, there exists $v \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_i, r, s)$ such that $f(v) \leq \mu$. Then, we get $v \leq f^{-1}(\mu)$. That is means $f^{-1}(\mu)$ contains (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -dfo set for each $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) -dfo set. Hence, f is DFP*-continuous. \square

Proposition 4.4. *If $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is DFP*-S θ -continuous, then DFP*-continuous.*

Proof. Let $x_i \in Pt(X)$ and $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*}(f(x_i), r, s)$. Since f is DFP*-S θ -continuous. Then there exists $\eta \in Q_{\tau_{12}, \tau_{12}^*}(x_i, r, s)$ such that $f(C_{12}(\eta, r, s)) \leq \mu$. Since $\eta \leq C_{12}(\eta, r, s)$, then $f(\eta) \leq f(C_{12}(\eta, r, s)) \leq \mu$. Thus, in view of **Theorem 4.1**, f is DFP*-continuous. \square

The converse of above Proposition is not true as seen in **Example 4.1**.

Also, **Examples 4.1** and **4.2** show that the DFP*-continuous and DFP*- θ -continuous are independent. Therefore, we have

the following implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{DFP}^*\text{-}\theta\text{-continuous} & \implies & \text{GDFP}^*\text{-continuous} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{DFP}^*\text{-S}\theta\text{-continuous} & \implies & \text{DFP}^*\text{-continuous} \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.2. *A mapping $f : (X, (\tau_1, \tau_1^*), (\tau_2, \tau_2^*)) \rightarrow (X, (\sigma_1, \sigma_1^*), (\sigma_2, \sigma_2^*))$ is bijective, DFP*- θ -open and GDFP*- θ -continuous, then GDFP*- θ -irresolute.*

Proof. Let $v \in I^Y$ such that v is (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ - θ -gdfc set and $f^{-1}(v) \leq \mu$ such that $\tau_{12}(\mu) \geq r_1$ and $\tau_{12}^*(\mu) \leq s_1$ for $r_1 \leq r$ and $s_1 \geq s$. Since $f^{-1}(v) \leq \mu$, then $v \leq f(\mu)$. From the fact that DFP*-open, we obtain $f(\mu)$ is an (r_1, s_1) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ -dfo. Now, we have v is an (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ - θ -gdfc set and $v \leq f(\mu)$. From **Definition 2.1(1)** we get, $(C_{12}^*)_{\theta}(v, r_1, s_1) \leq f(\mu)$ and thus, $f^{-1}((C_{12}^*)_{\theta}(v, r_1, s_1)) \leq \mu$. Since $(C_{12}^*)_{\theta}(v, r_1, s_1)$ is an (r, s) - $(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}^*)$ - θ -gdfc in Y and f is GDFP*- θ -continuous. Then $C_{12}^{\theta}(f^{-1}((C_{12}^*)_{\theta}(v, r_1, s_1)), r_1, s_1) \leq \mu$ this yields $C_{12}^{\theta}(f^{-1}(v), r_1, s_1) \leq \mu$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(v)$ is an (r, s) - (τ_{12}, τ_{12}^*) - θ -gdfc. Hence, f is GDFP*- θ -irresolute. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors are very grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions in rewriting the paper in the present form.

References

- [1] A.S. Mashhour, A.A. Allam, F.S. Mahmoud, F.H. Kehdr, On supra topological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1983) 502–510.
- [2] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, A.A. Ramadan, On fuzzy supra topological spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 18 (1987) 322–329.
- [3] M.H. Ghanim, O.A. Tantawy, F.M. Selim, Gradation of supra-openness, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 109 (2000) 245–250.
- [4] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, A.A. Nasef, A.A. Salama, Some fuzzy topological operators via fuzzy ideals, Chaos Solitons Fractals 12 (2001) 2509–2515.
- [5] A.A. Ramadan, S.E. Abbas, A.A. Abd El-latif, On fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 21 (2006) 497–514.
- [6] A. Kandil, A. Nouh, S.A. El-Sheikh, On fuzzy bitopological spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 74 (1995) 353–363.
- [7] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 19 (1970) 89–96.
- [8] G. Balasubramanian, P. Sundaram, On some generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 86 (1997) 93–100.
- [9] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological space, Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [10] Y.C. Kim, J.M. Ko, Fuzzy G-closure operators, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 18 (2) (2003) 325–340.
- [11] T. Noiri, Generalized θ -closed sets of almost paracompact spaces, Tour. Math. Comp. Sci. Math. Ser. 9 (1996) 157–161.
- [12] J. Dontchev, H. Maki, On θ -generalized closed sets, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 22 (1999) 239–249.
- [13] F.H. Khedr, H.S. Al-Saadi, On pairwise θ -generalized closed sets, J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. 1 (2011) 37–51.
- [14] O.A. Tantawy, F. Abdelhalim, S.A. El-Sheikh, R.N. Majeed, Two new approaches to general supra fuzzy closure operators on smooth bitopological spaces, Wulfenia J. 21 (5) (2014) 221–241.
- [15] O.A. Tantawy, S.A. El-Sheikh, R.N. Majeed, r - (τ_i, τ_j) - θ -Generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bitopological spaces, Gen. Math. Notes 24 (1) (2014) 58–73.
- [16] O.A. Tantawy, S.A. El-Sheikh, R.N. Majeed, r - (τ_i, τ_j) -Generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bitopological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inf. 9 (4) (2015) 537–531.

- [17] O.A. Tantawy, S.A. El-Sheikh, R.N. Majeed, Fuzzy C_{12}^θ -closure on smooth bitopological spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 23 (3) (2015) 559–580.
- [18] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 20 (1) (1986) 87–96.
- [19] K. Atanassov, New operators defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 61 (2) (1993) 31–42.
- [20] K. Atanassov, S. Stoeva, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in: *Proceedings of the Polish Symposium on Interval and Fuzzy Mathematics, Poznan, August 1983*, pp. 23–26.
- [21] D. Cokar, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 88 (1997) 81–89.
- [22] D. Cokar, M. Dimirci, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Šostak, s sense, *Buseful* 67 (1996) 67–76.
- [23] S.K. Samanta, T.K. Mondal, Intuitionistic gradation of openness: intuitionistic fuzzy topology, *Buseful* 73 (1997) 8–17.
- [24] S.K. Samanta, T.K. Mondal, On intuitionistic gradation of openness, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 131 (2002) 323–336.
- [25] S.E. Abbas, Intuitionistic supra fuzzy topological spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 21 (2004) 1205–1214.
- [26] M. Dimirci, Neighbourhood structures of smooth topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 92 (1997) 123–128.
- [27] Y.C. Kim, A.A. Ramadan, S.A. Abbas, Separation axioms in terms of θ -closure and δ -closure operators, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 34 (7) (2003) 1067–1083.
- [28] A.P. Šostak, On a fuzzy topological structure, *Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. II* 11 (1985) 89–103.
- [29] A.M. Zahran, S.E. Abbas, E. El-Sanousy, Intuitionistic supra gradation of openness, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 2 (1) (2008) 291–307.