



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



Original Article

New types of continuity and openness in fuzzifying bitopological spaces



A.A. Allam^a, A.M. Zahran^b, A.K. Mousa^b, H.M. Binshahnah^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt

Received 18 December 2014; revised 13 May 2015; accepted 19 May 2015

Available online 29 June 2015

Keywords

Semiopen sets;
Fuzzifying topology;
Fuzzifying bitopological space

Abstract In this paper, we introduce and study the concepts of semicontinuous mappings, α -continuous mappings, semiopen mappings and α -open mappings in fuzzifying bitopological spaces. The characterizations of these mappings along with their relationship with certain other mappings are investigated.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40; 54C05; 54E55

Copyright 2015, Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In 1965 [1], Zadeh introduced the fundamental concept of fuzzy sets. Since Chang introduced fuzzy sets theory into topology in 1968 [2], Wong, Lowen, Hutton, Pu and Liu, etc., discussed respectively various aspects of fuzzy topology [3–6].

In 1991–1993 [7–9], Ying introduced the concept of the fuzzifying topology with the semantic method of continuous valued logic. In 1994 [10], Park and Lee introduced and discussed the concepts of fuzzy semi-preopen sets and fuzzy semi-precontinuous mappings. Also, in 1994 Kumar [11,12] studied

the concepts of fuzzy pairwise α -continuity and pairwise pre-continuity and studied the concepts of semiopen sets, semi continuity and semiopen mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces. In 1999 Khedr et al. [13], introduced the concepts of semi-open sets and semi-continuity in fuzzifying topology.

The study of bitopological spaces was first initiated by Kelley [14] in 1963. In 2003 Zhang and Liu [15], studied the concept of fuzzy $\theta_{(i,j)}$ -closed, $\theta_{(i,j)}$ -open sets in fuzzifying bitopological spaces. Also in [16], Gowrisankar et al. studied the concepts of (i,j) -pre open sets in fuzzifying bitopological spaces.

The structure of this paper is organized as follows: In Section (3) we study fuzzy continuity, open mapping in fuzzifying bitopological spaces and we introduce some results. In Section (4) we study α -open set in fuzzifying bitopological spaces and we introduce the relationship between this set and preopen (resp. semiopen) sets. In Section (5) we define the concepts of semicontinuity, α -semicontinuity in fuzzifying bitopological spaces and study the relationship between them. In Section (6) we define the concepts of fuzzy semiopen mapping,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hmbsh2006@yahoo.com (H.M. Binshahnah).

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

α -open mapping, preopen mapping in fuzzifying bitopological spaces and study the relationship between them.

2. Preliminaries

Firstly, we display the fuzzy logical and corresponding set-theoretical notations used in this paper:

- (1) A formula φ is valid, we write $\models \varphi$ if and only if $[\varphi] = 1$ for every interpretation.
- (2) $[\neg\alpha] = 1 - [\alpha]$, $[\alpha \wedge \beta] = \min([\alpha], [\beta])$, $[\alpha \rightarrow \beta] = \min(1, 1 - [\alpha] + [\beta])$, $[\forall x \alpha(x)] = \inf_{x \in X} [\alpha(x)]$, where X is the universe of discourse.
- (3) $[\alpha \vee \beta] := [\neg(\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta)]$; $[\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta] := [(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \wedge (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)]$; $[\exists x \alpha(x)] := [\neg(\forall x \neg\alpha(x))]$; $[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] := [\forall x (x \in \tilde{A} \rightarrow x \in \tilde{B})] = \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - \tilde{A}(x) + \tilde{B}(x))$; $[A \equiv B] := [(A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq A)]$, where $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is the family of all fuzzy sets in X .
- (4) $[\alpha \dot{\wedge} \beta] := [\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta)] = \max(0, [\alpha] + [\beta] - 1)$; $[\alpha \dot{\vee} \beta] := [\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta] = \min(1, [\alpha] + [\beta])$.

Secondly, we give the following definitions which are used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 [7]. Let X be a universe of discourse, $P(X)$ is the family of subsets of X and $\tau \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $\tau(X) = 1$ and $\tau(\emptyset) = 1$;
- (2) for any $A, B, \tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B)$;
- (3) for any $\{A_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \tau(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \geq \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tau(A_\lambda)$.

Then τ is a fuzzifying topological space.

Definition 2.2 [7]. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

- (1) The family of all fuzzifying closed sets is denoted by $F \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, and defined as follows: $A \in F := X \sim A \in \tau$, where $X \sim A$ is the complement of A .
- (2) The neighborhood system of $x \in X$ is denoted by $N_x \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$ and defined as follows: $N_x(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B)$.
- (3) The closure $cl(A)$ of $A \subseteq X$ is defined as follows: $cl(A)(x) = 1 - N_x(X \sim A)$.
- (4) The interior of $A \subseteq X$ is denoted by $int(A) \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$ and defined as follows: $int(A) = N_x(A)$.

Definition 2.3 [9]. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces.

- (1) A unary fuzzy predicate $C \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy continuity, is given as follows:

$$f \in C := \forall u (u \in \sigma \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in \tau). \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$C(f) = \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma(u) + \tau(f^{-1}(u))).$$

- (2) A unary fuzzy predicate $O \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy openness, is given as follows:

$$f \in O := \forall u (u \in \tau \rightarrow f(u) \in \sigma). \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$O(f) = \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau(u) + \sigma(f(u))).$$

Definition 2.4 [15]. Let (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. Then a system (X, τ_1, τ_2) consisting of a universe of discourse X with two fuzzifying topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on X is called a fuzzifying bitopological space.

Definition 2.5 [17]. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space.

- (1) The family of all fuzzifying (i, j) -semiopen sets, denoted by $s\tau_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$A \in s\tau_{(i,j)} := \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in cl_j(int_i(A))), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$s\tau_{(i,j)}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} cl_j(int_i(A))(x).$$
- (2) The family of all fuzzifying (i, j) -semiclosed sets, denoted by $sF_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$A \in sF_{(i,j)} := X \sim A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}.$$

Definition 2.6 [17]. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space and $x \in X$.

- (1) The (i, j) -semi neighborhood system of x is denoted by $sN_x^{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$ and defined as

$$A \in sN_x^{(i,j)} := \exists B (B \in s\tau_{(i,j)} \wedge x \in B \subseteq A), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$sN_x^{(i,j)}(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} s\tau_{(i,j)}(B).$$
- (2) The (i, j) -semi derived set $sd_{(i,j)}(A)$ of A is defined as follows:

$$x \in sd_{(i,j)}(A) := \forall B (B \in sN_x^{(i,j)} \rightarrow B \cap (A \sim \{x\}) \neq \emptyset),$$

i.e., $sd_{(i,j)}(A)(x) = \inf_{B \cap (A \sim \{x\}) = \emptyset} (1 - sN_x^{(i,j)}(B)).$
- (3) The Fuzzifying (i, j) -semi closure of $A \subseteq X$, is denoted by $scl_{(i,j)}(A)$ and defined as follows:

$$x \in scl_{(i,j)}(A) := \forall B ((B \supseteq A) \wedge (B \in sF_{(i,j)}) \rightarrow x \in B),$$

i.e., $scl_{(i,j)}(A)(x) = \inf_{x \notin B \supseteq A} (1 - sF_{(i,j)}(B)).$
- (4) The (i, j) -semi interior of $A \subseteq X$ is defined as follows:

$$sint_{(i,j)}(A)(x) = sN_x^{(i,j)}(A).$$
- (5) The (i, j) -semi exterior of $A \subseteq X$ is defined as follows:

$$x \in sext_{(i,j)}(A) := x \in sint_{(i,j)}(X \sim A), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$sext_{(i,j)}(A)(x) = sint_{(i,j)}(X \sim A)(x).$$
- (6) The (i, j) -semi boundary of $A \subseteq X$ is defined as follows:

$$x \in sb_{(i,j)}(A) := (x \notin sint_{(i,j)}(A)) \wedge (x \notin sint_{(i,j)}(X \sim A)),$$

i.e., $sb_{(i,j)}(A)(x) = \min(1 - sint_{(i,j)}(A)(x), 1 - sint_{(i,j)}(X \sim A)(x)).$

Definition 2.7 [16]. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space. The family of fuzzifying (i, j) -preopen sets, denoted by $p\tau_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$A \in p\tau_{(i,j)} := \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in int_i(cl_j(A))), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$p\tau_{(i,j)}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} int_i(cl_j(A))(x).$$

Definition 2.8 [16]. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (X, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $PC_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy precontinuity, is given as follows: $PC_{(i,j)}(f) := \forall v (v \in \sigma_i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in p\tau_{(i,j)}). \text{ i.e.,}$

$$PC_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(v) + p\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))).$$

3. Continuous mapping and open mapping in fuzzifying bitopological spaces

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces.

If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, then $\models f \in C \Leftrightarrow \forall v (f^{-1}(int(v)) \subseteq int(f^{-1}(v)))$.

Proof. From Theorem (2.1) in [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall v (f^{-1}(int(v)) \subseteq int(f^{-1}(v)))] \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - f^{-1}(int(v))(x) + int(f^{-1}(v))(x)) \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - int(v)(f(x)) + int(f^{-1}(v))(x)) \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}(v) + N_x(f^{-1}(v))) \\ &= [\forall v \forall x (v \in N_{f(x)} \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in N_x)] \\ &= [f \in C]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces.

If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a fuzzy open mapping, we set

- (1) $f \in O^1 := \forall v \forall x (f^{-1}(v) \in N_x \rightarrow v \in N_{f(x)})$;
- (2) $f \in O^2 := \forall v (f^{-1}(cl(v)) \subseteq cl(f^{-1}(v)))$;
- (3) $f \in O^3 := \forall v (int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v)))$;
- (4) $f \in O^4 := \forall u (f(int(u)) \subseteq int(f(u)))$.

Then $\models O(f) \leftrightarrow O^n(f), n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Proof.

- (1) Firstly, we prove that $[f \in O] \geq [f \in O^1]$. It is clear that for each $u \subseteq X$, there exists $v \subseteq Y$, such that $v = f(u)$, then $u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in O] &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau(u) + \sigma(f(u))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \inf_{x \in u} N_x(u) + \inf_{f(x) \in f(u)} N_{f(x)}(f(u))) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X), v=f(u)} \min(1, 1 - \inf_{x \in u} N_x(f^{-1}(v)) \\ &\quad + \inf_{x \in u} N_{f(x)}(v)) \\ &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(v)) \\ &\quad + N_{f(x)}(v)) = [f \in O^1]. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we prove that $[f \in O] \leq [f \in O^1]$. It is easy to show that if $N_x(f^{-1}(v)) \leq N_{f(x)}(v)$, then the result holds. For the case $N_x(f^{-1}(v)) > N_{f(x)}(v)$; from Lemma (1.2) in [9], we have that $x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$, then $f(x) \in f(A) \subseteq v$. So

$$\begin{aligned} N_x(f^{-1}(v)) - N_{f(x)}(v) &= \sup_{x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} \tau(A) - \sup_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} \sigma(B) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} (\tau(A) - \sigma(f(A))). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(v)) + N_{f(x)}(v)) \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} \min(1, 1 - \tau(A) + \sigma(f(A))) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau(u) + \sigma(f(u))) = [f \in O]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[f \in O^1] = \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(v)) + N_{f(x)}(v)) \geq [f \in O].$$

- (2) Now to prove that $[f \in O^2] = [f \in O^1]$, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{-1}(cl(v))(x) - cl(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\ &= cl(v)(f(x)) - cl(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\ &= 1 - N_{f(x)}(Y \sim v) - (1 - N_x(X \sim f^{-1}(v))) \\ &= N_x(f^{-1}(Y \sim v)) - N_{f(x)}(Y \sim v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in O^2] &= [\forall v (f^{-1}(cl(v)) \subseteq cl(f^{-1}(v)))] \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - f^{-1}(cl(v))(x) + cl(f^{-1}(v))(x)) \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(Y \sim v)) \\ &\quad + N_{f(x)}(Y \sim v)) \\ &= \inf_{w \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(w)) + N_{f(x)}(w)) \\ &= [f \in O^1]. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) We prove that $[f \in O^3] = [f \in O^1]$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in O^3] &= [\forall v (int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v)))] \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - int(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\ &\quad + f^{-1}(int(v))(x)) \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - int(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\ &\quad + int(v)(f(x))) \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_x(f^{-1}(v)) + N_{f(x)}(v)) \\ &= [f \in O^1]. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) We now prove that $[f \in O^4] = [f \in O^3]$. Note that, for every $v \subseteq Y$, we have $[f(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq v] = 1$. So $[int(f(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq int(v)] = 1$. Therefore from Lemma (1.2) in [9], we have $[f^{-1}(int(f(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] = 1$. Furthermore

$$[int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(int(f^{-1}(v))))] = 1. \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] \\ &\geq [f^{-1}(f(int(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] \\ &\geq [f^{-1}(f(int(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(f(f^{-1}(v))))] \\ &\geq [f(int(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq int(f(f^{-1}(v)))] . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in O^3] &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] \\ &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [f(int(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq int(f(f^{-1}(v)))] \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(int(u)) \subseteq int(f(u))] = [f \in O^4]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $u \subseteq X$, there exists $v \subseteq Y$, such that $f(u) = v$, then $u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & [int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] \\ &\leq [int(u) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(f(u)))] \\ &\leq [f(int(u)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(int(f(u))))] \\ &\leq [f(int(u)) \subseteq int(f(u))]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in O^4] &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(int(u)) \subseteq int(f(u))] \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X), v=f(u)} [int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] \\ &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [int(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int(v))] = [f \in O^3]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces. A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be pairwise fuzzy continuous (resp. pairwise fuzzy open) if $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$ and $f : (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$ are fuzzy continuous (resp. fuzzy open).

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is pairwise fuzzy continuous and pairwise fuzzy open mapping. Then for each $A \in P(X)$ and $B \in P(Y)$, we have

- (1) $\models A \in s\tau_{(i,j)} \rightarrow f(A) \in s\sigma_{(i,j)}$;
- (2) $\models B \in s\sigma_{(i,j)} \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in s\tau_{(i,j)}$.

Proof.

- (1) From Theorem (2.1) in [9], Lemma (1.2) in [9] and part (4) of the above Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}] &= [A \subseteq cl_j(int_i(A))] \\ &\leq [f(A) \subseteq f(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \\ &\leq [f(A) \subseteq cl_j(f(int_i(A)))] \\ &\leq [f(A) \subseteq cl_j(int_i(f(A)))] \\ &= [f(A) \in s\sigma_{(i,j)}]. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) From Lemma (1.2) in [9], Theorem 3.1 and part (2) of the Theorem (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B \in s\sigma_{(i,j)}] &= [B \subseteq cl_j(int_i(B))] \\ &\leq [f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_j(int_i(B)))] \\ &\leq [f^{-1}(B) \subseteq cl_j(f^{-1}(int_i(B)))] \\ &\leq [f^{-1}(B) \subseteq cl_j(int_i(f^{-1}(B)))] \\ &= [f^{-1}(B) \in s\tau_{(i,j)}]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. α -open set in fuzzifying bitopological spaces

Definition 4.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space.

- (1) The family of all fuzzifying $\alpha_{(i,j)}$ -open sets, denoted by $\alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:
 $A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} := \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in int_i(cl_j(int_i(A))))$,
i.e., $\alpha\tau_{(i,j)}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))(x)$.
- (2) The family of all fuzzifying $\alpha_{(i,j)}$ -closed sets, denoted by $\alpha F_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:
 $A \in \alpha F_{(i,j)} := X \sim A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then

- (1) $\models X \sim (int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))) \equiv cl_i(int_j(cl_i(X \sim A)))$;
- (2) $\models X \sim (cl_i(int_j(cl_i(A)))) \equiv int_i(cl_j(int_i(X \sim A)))$.

Proof. It is obvious. \square

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space. Then

- (1) $\models A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \leftrightarrow \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow \exists B(B \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \wedge x \in B \subseteq A))$;
- (2) $\models A \in \alpha F_{(i,j)} \leftrightarrow \forall x(x \in cl_i(int_j(cl_i(A))) \rightarrow x \in A)$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem (3.4) [17]. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a fuzzifying bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$, then

- (1) $\models A \in \tau_i \rightarrow A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}$;
- (2) $\models A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \rightarrow A \in p\tau_{(i,j)}$;
- (3) $\models A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \rightarrow A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}$;
- (4) $\models A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} \leftrightarrow A \in p\tau_{(i,j)} \wedge A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}$.

Proof.

- (1) $[A \in \tau_i] = [A \subseteq int_i(A)] \leq [A \subseteq cl_j(int_i(A))] \leq [int_i(A) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))]$.
Therefore $[A \in \tau_i] = [A \subseteq int_i(A)] = [A \subseteq int_i(A)] \wedge [int_i(A) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \leq [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] = [A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}]$.
 - (2) Since $[int_i(A) \subseteq A] = 1$, then
 $[A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}] = [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \leq [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(A))] = [A \in p\tau_{(i,j)}]$.
 - (3) It is similar to (2).
 - (4) From Definition 5.3 [7], we have
- $$\begin{aligned} [A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}] &= [A \subseteq cl_j(int_i(A))] \\ &\leq [cl_j(A) \subseteq cl_j(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \\ &\leq [cl_j(A) \subseteq cl_j(int_i(A))] \\ &\leq [int_i(cl_j(A)) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [A \in p\tau_{(i,j)} \wedge A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}] &= [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(A)) \wedge A \subseteq cl_j(int_i(A))] \\ &\leq [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(A)) \wedge int_i(cl_j(A)) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \\ &\leq [A \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(A)))] \\ &= [A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely from (2) and (3) above, we have

$$[A \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}] \leq [A \in p\tau_{(i,j)} \wedge A \in s\tau_{(i,j)}]. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.1. It is clear from the above Theorem that the following implications are true:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \tau_i & \rightarrow & \alpha\tau_{(i,j)} & \nearrow \quad \nwarrow \\ & & & p\tau_{(i,j)} \end{array}$$

The following examples show that generally the reverse of these implications need not be true.

Example 4.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $B = \{a, b\}$ and τ_1, τ_2 be two fuzzifying topologies on X defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}, \\ 3/4 & \text{if } A \in \{\{c\}, \{a, c\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}, \\ \tau_2(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X\}, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } A = \{c\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have, $cl_2(int_1(B))(a) = cl_2(int_1(B))(b) = 1$, $cl_2(int_1(B))(c) = 1/2$, so $s\tau_{(1,2)}(B) = 1$ and $int_1(cl_2(int_1(B)))(a) = 1$, $int_1(cl_2(int_1(B)))(b) = 1/2$, $int_1(cl_2(int_1(B)))(c) = 1/2$, so $\alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B) = 1/2$.

Therefore $s\tau_{(1,2)}(B) \not\subseteq \alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B)$, $\alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B) \not\subseteq \tau_1(B)$ and $\alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B) \not\subseteq \tau_2(B)$.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $B = \{a, b\}$ and τ_1, τ_2 be two fuzzifying topologies on X defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X\}, \\ 1/4 & \text{if } A = \{c\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}, \\ \tau_2(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}, \\ 3/4 & \text{if } A \in \{\{c\}, \{a, c\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

We have, $\text{int}_1(\text{cl}_2(B))(a) = \text{int}_1(\text{cl}_2(B))(b) = \text{int}_1(\text{cl}_2(B))(c) = 1/4$, so $p\tau_{(1,2)}(B) = 1/4$ and $\text{cl}_2(\text{int}_1(B)) \equiv \phi$, $\text{int}_1(\text{cl}_2(\text{int}_1(B))) \equiv \phi$, so $\alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B) = 0$.

Therefore $p\tau_{(1,2)}(B) \not\subseteq \alpha\tau_{(1,2)}(B)$.

5. Semicontinuity and α -continuity in fuzzifying bitopological spaces

Definition 5.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces.

- (1) A unary predicate $SC_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy semicontinuity, is given as follows:
 $f \in SC_{(i,j)} := \forall v(v \in \sigma_i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in s\tau_{(i,j)})$. i.e.,
 $SC_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(v) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))$.
- (2) A unary predicate $\alpha C_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy α -continuity, is given as follows:
 $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)} := \forall v(v \in \sigma_i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in \alpha\tau_{(i,j)})$. i.e.,
 $\alpha C_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(v) + \alpha\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))$.

Remark 5.1. It is clear from **Theorem 4.2** and above Definition that the following implications are true:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & SC_{(i,j)} & \\ C_i \rightarrow \alpha C_{(i,j)} & \nearrow & \searrow \\ & PC_{(i,j)} & \end{array}$$

The following examples show that generally the reverse of these implications need not be true.

Example 5.1. For $X = \{a, b, c\}$, let τ_1, τ_2, γ_1 and γ_2 be four fuzzifying topologies on X defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}, \\ 3/4 & \text{if } A \in \{\{c\}, \{a, c\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}, \\ \tau_2(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X\}, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } A = \{c\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}, \\ \gamma_2(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } A = \{a\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the identity function f from (X, τ_1, τ_2) onto (X, γ_1, γ_2) .

Then $C_1(f) = \inf_{v \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \gamma_1(v) + \tau_1(f^{-1}(v)))$.

Note that if $v = X$ or ϕ or $\gamma_1(v) = 0$, then $\min(1, 1 - \gamma_1(v) + \tau_1(f^{-1}(v))) = 1$, that is rejected, since we are looking for $\inf_{v \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \gamma_1(v) + \tau_1(f^{-1}(v)))$.

Therefore

$$C_1(f) = \min(1, 1 - \gamma_1(\{a, b\}) + \tau_1(f^{-1}(\{a, b\}))) = 0.$$

Similarly $C_2(f) = 0$, $SC_{(1,2)}(f) = 1$ and $\alpha C_{(1,2)}(f) = 1/2$.

Therefore $\alpha C_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq C_1$, $\alpha C_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq C_2$ and $SC_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq \alpha C_{(1,2)}$.

Example 5.2. For $X = \{a, b, c\}$, let τ_1, τ_2, γ_1 and γ_2 be four fuzzifying topologies on X defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X\}, \\ 1/4 & \text{if } A = \{c\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}, \\ \tau_2(A) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}, \\ 3/4 & \text{if } A \in \{\{c\}, \{a, c\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_1(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases},$$

$$\gamma_2(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}, \\ 1/4 & \text{if } A = \{a\}, \\ 0 & \text{if o.w.} \end{cases}.$$

Consider the identity function f from (X, τ_1, τ_2) onto (X, γ_1, γ_2) . Then $\alpha C_{(1,2)}(f) = 0$, $PC_{(1,2)}(f) = 1/4$.

Therefore $PC_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq \alpha C_{(1,2)}$.

Definition 5.2. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X$, we define the unary fuzzy predicate $SC_{(i,j)}^n \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, where $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, as follows:

- (1) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^1 := \forall v(v \in F_i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in sF_{(i,j)})$;
- (2) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2 := \forall v \forall x(v \in N_{f(x)}^i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in sN_x^{(i,j)})$;
- (3) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^3 := \forall x \forall v(v \in N_{f(x)}^i \rightarrow \exists u(f(u) \subseteq v \rightarrow u \in sN_x^{(i,j)}))$;
- (4) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4 := \forall u(f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u)))$;
- (5) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^5 := \forall v(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v)))$;
- (6) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^6 := \forall u(f(sb_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq f(u) \cup b_i(f(u)))$;
- (7) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^7 := \forall v(f^{-1}(\text{int}_i(v)) \subseteq sint_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))$;
- (8) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^8 := \forall v(f^{-1}(\text{ixt}_i(v)) \subseteq sext_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))$;
- (9) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^9 := \forall u(f(sd_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq f(u) \cup d_i(f(u)))$;
- (10) $f \in SC_{(i,j)}^{10} := \forall x \forall S(S \in N(X) \wedge S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x \rightarrow f \circ S \triangleright_{(i,j)} x)$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $A \in P(X)$ and $\tilde{B}, \tilde{C} \in \mathcal{I}(X)$, then

$$\models \tilde{B} \subseteq A \cup \tilde{C} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{B} \cup A \subseteq A \cup \tilde{C}.$$

Proof. It is clear. \square

Theorem 5.1. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces and $f \in Y^X$. Then

- (1) $\models f \in SC_{(i,j)} \Leftrightarrow f \in SC_{(i,j)}^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 9$;
- (2) $\models f \in SC_{(i,j)} \rightarrow f \in SC_{(i,j)}^{10}$.

Proof.

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) We now prove that } [f \in SC_{(i,j)}] &= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^1]. \\
 [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^1] &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - F_i(v) + sF_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(Y \sim v) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(X \sim f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(Y \sim v) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(Y \sim v))) \\
 &= \inf_{w \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(w) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(w))) \\
 &= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}].
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(b) We prove that } [f \in SC_{(i,j)}] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2].$$

$$[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] = \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))).$$

Firstly, we show that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}] \leq [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2]$. If $N_{f(x)}^i(v) \leq sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))$, then the result holds. Now suppose $N_{f(x)}^i(v) > sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))$. From Lemma (1.2) in [9], we have that if $f(x) \in B \subseteq v$, then $x \in f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{f(x)}^i(v) - sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) &= \sup_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} \sigma_i(B) - \sup_{x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} s\tau_{(i,j)}(A) \\
 &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} \sigma_i(B) - \sup_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(B)) \\
 &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} (\sigma_i(B) - s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(B))).
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) &\geq \inf_{f(x) \in B \subseteq v} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(B) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(B))) \\
 &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(B) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(B))) \\
 &= SC_{(i,j)}(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $SC_{(i,j)}^2(f) = \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \geq SC_{(i,j)}(f)$. Secondly, we show that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}] \geq [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2]$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 SC_{(i,j)}(f) &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(v) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \inf_{f(x) \in v} N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(v)} sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(v)} N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(v)} sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y) \times X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &= SC_{(i,j)}^2(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $SC_{(i,j)}(f) = SC_{(i,j)}^2(f)$.

(c) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^3]$. With $f(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^3] &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + \sup_{u \in P(X), f(u) \subseteq v} sN_x^{(i,j)}(u)) \\
 &\geq \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$ when $f(u) \subseteq v$ then from Theorem (2.4) in [17], we have $sN_x^{(i,j)}(u) \leq sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^3] &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + \sup_{u \in P(X), f(u) \subseteq v} sN_x^{(i,j)}(u)) \\
 &\leq \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + \sup_{u \in P(X), f(u) \subseteq v} sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
 &\quad + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

(From equations (1) and (2)) we have $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^3]$

(d) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^5]$.

Note that for every $v \in P(Y)$, $[f(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq v] = 1$, then $[cl_i(f(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq cl_i(v)] = 1$. It is clear from Lemma (1.2) in [9], we have $[f^{-1}(cl_i(f(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] = 1$. Furthermore $[scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))))] = 1$.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 [scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] &\geq [f^{-1}(f(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] \\
 &\geq [f^{-1}(f(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(f(f^{-1}(v))))] \\
 &\geq [f(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq cl_i(f(f^{-1}(v)))].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 SC_{(i,j)}^5(f) &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [f(scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \subseteq cl_i(f(f^{-1}(v)))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u))] \\
 &= SC_{(i,j)}^4(f) \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now for each $u \subseteq X$, there exists $v \subseteq Y$, such that $f(u) = v$, then $u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 [scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] &\leq [scl_{(i,j)}(u) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(f(u)))] \\
 &\leq [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(cl_i(f(u))))] \\
 &\leq [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u))].
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 SC_{(i,j)}^4(f) &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X), v=f(u)} [scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v))] \\
 &= SC_{(i,j)}^5(f) \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

From equations (3) and (4) we have $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^5]$

(e) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^5]$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 SC_{(i,j)}^5(f) &= \inf_{v \in P(Y) \times X} \min(1, 1 - scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\
 &\quad + f^{-1}(cl_i(v))(x)) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in P(Y) \times X} \min(1, 1 - scl_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))(x) \\
 &\quad + cl_i(v)(f(x))) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in P(Y) \times X} \min(1, 1 - (1 - sN_x^{(i,j)}(X \sim f^{-1}(v))) \\
 &\quad + (1 - N_{f(x)}^i(Y \sim v)))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(Y \sim v) \\
&\quad + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(Y \sim v))) \\
&= \inf_{w \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(w) \\
&\quad + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(w))) = SC_{(i,j)}^2(f).
\end{aligned}$$

(f) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^6]$, as follows.

From Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^6] &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(sb_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq f(u) \cup b_i(f(u))] \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(sb_{(i,j)}(u)) \cup f(u) \subseteq f(u) \cup b_i(f(u))] \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(sb_{(i,j)}(u) \cup u) \subseteq f(u) \cup b_i(f(u))] \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u))] \\
&= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4].
\end{aligned}$$

(g) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^7]$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^7] &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - f^{-1}(int_i(v))(x) \\
&\quad + sint_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))(x)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - int_i(v)(f(x)) \\
&\quad + sint_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))(x)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
&\quad + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2].
\end{aligned}$$

(h) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^7] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^8]$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^8] &= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [f^{-1}(ixt_i(v)) \subseteq sixt_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))] \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [f^{-1}(int_i(Y \sim v)) \subseteq sint_{(i,j)}(X \sim f^{-1}(v))] \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} [f^{-1}(int_i(Y \sim v)) \subseteq sint_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(Y \sim v))] \\
&= \inf_{w \in P(Y)} [f^{-1}(int_i(w)) \subseteq sint_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(w))] \\
&= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^7].
\end{aligned}$$

(q) We prove that $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^9]$. From Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^9] &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(sd_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq f(u) \cup d_i(f(u))] \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(sd_{(i,j)}(u)) \cup f(u) \\
&\quad \subseteq f(u) \cup d_i(f(u))] \\
&= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(scl_{(i,j)}(u)) \subseteq cl_i(f(u))] \\
&= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^4].
\end{aligned}$$

(2) $[f \in SC_{(i,j)}^{10}] = \inf_{S \in N(X)} \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - [S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x] + [f \circ S \triangleright_i f(x)])$.

If $[S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x] \leq [f \circ S \triangleright_i f(x)]$, then the result holds. Assume that $[S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x] > [f \circ S \triangleright_i f(x)]$. Since $f \circ S \not\sim_v$ implies $S \not\sim f^{-1}(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x] - [f \circ S \triangleright_i f(x)] &= \inf_{u \in P(X), S \not\sim_u} (1 - sN_x^{(i,j)}(u)) \\
&\quad - \inf_{v \in P(Y), f \circ S \not\sim_v} (1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v)) \\
&\leq \inf_{v \in P(Y), f \circ S \not\sim_v} (1 - sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{v \in P(Y), f \circ S \not\sim_v} (1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v)) \\
&\leq \sup_{v \in P(Y), f \circ S \not\sim_v} (N_{f(x)}^i(v) - sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min(1, 1 - [S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s x] + [f \circ S \triangleright_{(i,j)}^s f(x)]) \\
&\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y), f \circ S \not\sim_v} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
&\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + sN_x^{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
&= [f \in SC_{(i,j)}^2]. \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces and $f \in Y^X$, we set

- (1) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^1 := \forall v \in F_i \rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in \alpha F_{(i,j)}$;
- (2) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^2 := \forall x \forall v (v \in N_{f(x)}^i \rightarrow \exists u (x \in u \subseteq f^{-1}(v) \wedge u \in \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}))$;
- (3) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^3 := \forall x \forall v (v \in N_{f(x)}^i \rightarrow \exists u (f(u) \subseteq v \rightarrow x \in u \wedge u \in \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}))$;
- (4) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^4 := \forall v (cl_i(int_j(cl_i(f^{-1}(v)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_i(v)))$;
- (5) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^5 := \forall u (f(cl_i(int_j(cl_i(u)))) \subseteq cl_i(f(u)))$;
- (6) $f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^6 := \forall v (f^{-1}(int_i(v)) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(f^{-1}(v)))))$.

Then

$$\models f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)} \Leftrightarrow f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.$$

Proof.

- (a) The proof of $[f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}] = [f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^n], n = 1, 2, 3$, is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.
- (b) It is easy to show that $[f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^5]$ and $[f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^4] = [f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^6]$.
- (c) From part (1) of the Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^2] &= [\forall x \forall v (v \in N_{f(x)}^i \rightarrow \exists u (x \in u \subseteq f^{-1}(v) \wedge u \in \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}))] \\
&= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{v \in P(Y)} (1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^i(v) + \sup_{x \in u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}(u)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} (1, 1 - \sup_{x \in X} N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
&\quad + \inf_{x \in X \sim f^{-1}(v)} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(v)} \sup_{x \in u \subseteq f^{-1}(v)} \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}(u)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} (1, 1 - \sup_{x \in X} N_{f(x)}^i(v) + \inf_{x \in X \sim f^{-1}(v)} \alpha \tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(v))) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} (1, 1 - \sup_{x \in X} N_{f(x)}^i(v) \\
&\quad + \inf_{x \in X \sim f^{-1}(v)} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(v)} int_i(cl_j(int_i(f^{-1}(v))))(x)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} (1, 1 - \sup_{x \in X} int_i(f(x)) \\
&\quad + \inf_{x \in X} int_i(cl_j(int_i(f^{-1}(v))))(x)) \\
&= \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} (1, 1 - f^{-1}(int_i(v))(x) \\
&\quad + int_i(cl_j(int_i(f^{-1}(v))))(x)) = [f \in \alpha C_{(i,j)}^6]. \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, and (Z, γ_1, γ_2) be three fuzzifying bitopological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X, g \in Z^Y$.

- (1) $\models SC_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow (C_i(g) \rightarrow SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (2) $\models C_i(g) \rightarrow (SC_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (3) $\models \alpha C_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow (C_i(g) \rightarrow \alpha C_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (4) $\models C_i(g) \rightarrow (\alpha C_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow \alpha C_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$.

Proof.

- (1) Firstly, if $[C_i(g)] \leq [SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)]$, then the result holds.
 Secondly, if $[C_i(g)] > [SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_i(g)] - [SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)] \\ &= \inf_{v \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - \gamma_i(v) + \sigma_i(g^{-1}(v))) \\ &- \inf_{v \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - \gamma_i(v) + s\tau_{(i,j)}((g \circ f)^{-1}(v))) \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in P(Z)} (\sigma_i(g^{-1}(v)) - s\tau_{(i,j)}((g \circ f)^{-1}(v))) \\ &\leq \sup_{u \in P(Y)} (\sigma_i(u) - s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(u))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_i(g) \rightarrow SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)] \\ &= \min(1, 1 - C_i(g) + SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_i(u) + s\tau_{(i,j)}(f^{-1}(u))) \\ &= SC_{(i,j)}(f). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_i(g) \rightarrow (SC_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))] \\ &= [\neg(C_i(g) \wedge (SC_{(i,j)}(f) \wedge \neg SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)))] \\ &= [\neg(SC_{(i,j)}(f) \wedge (C_i(g) \wedge \neg SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f)))] \\ &= [SC_{(i,j)}(f) \rightarrow (C_i(g) \rightarrow SC_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))]. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of (3) and (4) are similar to (1) and (2) above. \square

6. Semiopen mapping, α -open mapping and preopen mapping in fuzzifying bitopological spaces

Definition 6.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces.

- (1) A unary predicate $SO_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy semiopenness, is given as follows:
 $f \in SO_{(i,j)} := \forall u(u \in \tau_i \rightarrow f(u) \in s\sigma_{(i,j)}).$ i.e.,
 $SO_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau_i(u) + s\sigma_{(i,j)}(f(u))).$
- (2) A unary predicate $\alpha O_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy α -openness, is given as follows:
 $f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)} := \forall u(u \in \tau_i \rightarrow f(u) \in \alpha\sigma_{(i,j)}).$ i.e.,
 $\alpha O_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau_i(u) + \alpha\sigma_{(i,j)}(f(u))).$
- (3) A unary predicate $PO_{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}(Y^X)$, called fuzzy preopenness, is given as follows:
 $f \in PO_{(i,j)} := \forall u(u \in \tau_i \rightarrow f(u) \in p\sigma_{(i,j)}).$ i.e.,
 $PO_{(i,j)}(f) = \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \tau_i(u) + p\sigma_{(i,j)}(f(u))).$

Remark 6.1. It is clear from [Theorem 4.2](#) and above Definition that the following implications are true:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & SO_{(i,j)} & \\ O_i \rightarrow \alpha O_{(i,j)} & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & PO_{(i,j)} & \end{array}$$

The following examples show that generally the reverse of these implications need not be true.

Example 6.1. For $X = \{a, b, c\}$, let τ_1, τ_2, γ_1 and γ_2 be four fuzzifying topologies, which are defined on X in [Example 5.1](#).

Consider identity function f from (X, γ_1, γ_2) onto (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then $O_1(f) = O_2(f) = 0$, $SO_{(1,2)}(f) = 1$ and $\alpha O_{(1,2)}(f) = 1/2$. Therefore $\alpha O_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq O_1$, $SO_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq \alpha O_{(1,2)}$.

Example 6.2. For $X = \{a, b, c\}$, let τ_1, τ_2, γ_1 and γ_2 be four fuzzifying topologies, which are defined on X in [Example 5.2](#).

Consider identity function f from (X, γ_1, γ_2) onto (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then $\alpha O_{(1,2)}(f) = 0$, $PO_{(1,2)}(f) = 1/4$. Therefore $PO_{(1,2)} \not\subseteq \alpha O_{(1,2)}$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be two fuzzifying bitopological spaces and $f \in Y^X$, we set

- (1) $f \in SO_{(i,j)}^1 := \forall v \forall x(f^{-1}(v) \in N_x^i \rightarrow v \in sN_{f(x)}^{(i,j)})$;
- (2) $f \in SO_{(i,j)}^2 := \forall v(f^{-1}(scl_{(i,j)}(v)) \subseteq cl_i(f^{-1}(v)))$;
- (3) $f \in SO_{(i,j)}^3 := \forall v(int_i(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(sint_{(i,j)}(v)))$;
- (4) $f \in SO_{(i,j)}^4 := \forall u(f(int_i(u)) \subseteq sint_{(i,j)}(f(u)))$;
- (5) $f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^1 := \forall u(f(int_i(u)) \subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(u))))))$;
- (6) $f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^2 := \forall v(int_i(f^{-1}(v)) \subseteq f^{-1}(int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(v))))))$;
- (7) $f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^3 := \forall v(f^{-1}(cl_i(int_j(cl_i(v)))) \subseteq cl_i(f^{-1}(v)))$;

Then

- (1) $\models f \in SO_{(i,j)} \Leftrightarrow f \in SO_{(i,j)}^n, n = 1, 2, 3, 4$;
- (2) $\models f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)} \rightarrow f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}, n = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof.

- (1) It is similar to the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#).

(2)

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}] &= [\forall u(u \in \tau_i \rightarrow f(u) \in \alpha\sigma_{(i,j)})] \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} [u \subseteq int_i(u) \rightarrow f(u)] \\ &\subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(u)))) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X)} [f(u) \subseteq f(int_i(u)) \rightarrow f(u)] \\ &\subseteq int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(u)))) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \inf_{y \in f(u)} f(int_i(u))(y) \\ &\quad + \inf_{y \in f(u)} int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(u))))(y)) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(X)} \inf_{y \in Y} \min(1, 1 - f(int_i(u))(y) \\ &\quad + int_i(cl_j(int_i(f(u))))(y)) \\ &= [f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^1] \end{aligned}$$

- (b) It is easy to show that $[f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^1] = [f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^2]$ and $[f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^2] = [f \in \alpha O_{(i,j)}^3]$. \square

Theorem 6.2. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, and (Z, γ_1, γ_2) be three fuzzifying bitopological spaces. For any $f \in Y^X, g \in Z^Y$.

- (1) $\models SO_{(i,j)}(g) \rightarrow (O_i(f) \rightarrow SO_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (2) $\models O_i(f) \rightarrow (SO_{(i,j)}(g) \rightarrow SO_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (3) $\models \alpha O_{(i,j)}(g) \rightarrow (O_i(f) \rightarrow \alpha O_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$;
- (4) $\models O_i(f) \rightarrow (\alpha O_{(i,j)}(g) \rightarrow \alpha O_{(i,j)}(g \circ f))$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of the [Theorem 5.3](#). \square

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments which led to the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inf. Control* 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [2] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24 (1968) 190–201.
- [3] C.K. Wong, Fuzzy point and local properties of fuzzy topology, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 46 (1974) 316–328.
- [4] R. Lowen, Fuzzy topological spaces and compactness, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 56 (1976) 621–633.
- [5] B. Hutton, Normality in fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 50 (1975) 74–79.
- [6] P.M. Pu, Y.M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moor-Smith convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 76 (1980) 571–599.
- [7] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (I), *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 39 (1991) 302–321.
- [8] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (II), *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 47 (1992) 221–232.
- [9] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (III), *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 55 (1993) 193–207.
- [10] J.H. Park, B.Y. Lee, Fuzzy semi-preopen sets and fuzzy semi-precontinuous mappings, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 67 (1994) 359–364.
- [11] S.S. Kumar, On fuzzy pairwise α -continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 62 (1994) 231–238.
- [12] S.S. Kumar, Semi-open sets, semi-continuity and semi-open mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 64 (1994) 421–426.
- [13] F.H. Khedr, F.M. Zeyada, O.R. Sayed, Fuzzy semi-continuity and fuzzy csemi-continuity in fuzzifying topology, *J. Math. Inst.* 7 (1) (1999) 105–124.
- [14] J.L. Kelley, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 13 (3) (1963) 71–89.
- [15] G.J. Zhang, M.J. Liu, On Properties of $\theta_{(i,j)}$ -open sets in fuzzifying bitopological spaces, *The J. Fuzzy Math.* 11 (1) (2003) 165–178.
- [16] N. Gowrisankar, N. Rajesh, V. Vijayabharathi, Properties of pre-open sets in fuzzifying bitopological spaces (submitted for publication).
- [17] A.A. Allam, A.M. Zahran, A.K. Mousa, H.M. Binshahna, Some results on semiopen sets in fuzzifying bitopological spaces (submitted for publication).