



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

α -Irresoluteness and α -compactness based on continuous valued logic[☆]

O.R. Sayed

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

Received 25 May 2011; revised 30 April 2012

Available online 29 September 2012

KEYWORDS

Łukasiewicz logic;
Semantics;
Fuzzifying topology;
 α -Irresoluteness;
Fuzzifying compactness;
 α -Compactness

Abstract This paper considers fuzzifying topologies, a special case of I -fuzzy topologies (bifuzzy topologies), introduced by Ying [1]. It investigates topological notions defined by means of α -open sets when these are planted into the framework of Ying's fuzzifying topological spaces (by Łukasiewicz logic in $[0, 1]$). The concept of α -irresolute functions and α -compactness in the framework of fuzzifying topology are introduced and some of their properties are obtained. We use the finite intersection property to give a characterization of fuzzifying α -compact spaces. Furthermore, we study the image of fuzzifying α -compact spaces under fuzzifying α -continuity and fuzzifying α -irresolute maps.

© 2012 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.

Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction

In the last few years fuzzy topology, as an important research field in fuzzy set theory, has been developed into a quite mature discipline [2–7]. In contrast to classical topology, fuzzy topology is endowed with richer structure, to a certain extent, which is manifested with different ways to generalize certain classical concepts. So far, according to Ref. [3], the kind of topologies defined by Chang [8] and Goguen [9] is called the topologies of fuzzy subsets, and further is naturally called L -topological spaces if a lattice L of membership values has been chosen. Loosely speaking, a topology of fuzzy subsets

(resp. an L -topological space) is a family τ of fuzzy subsets (resp. L -fuzzy subsets) of nonempty set X , and τ satisfies the basic conditions of classical topologies [10]. On the other hand, Höhle in [11] proposed the terminology L -fuzzy topology to be an L -valued mapping on the traditional powerset $P(X)$ of X . The authors in [5,6,12,13] defined an L -fuzzy topology to be an L -valued mapping on the L -powerset L^X of X .

In 1952, Rosser and Turquette [14] proposed emphatically the following problem: If there are many-valued theories beyond the level of predicates calculus, then what are the detail of such theories? As an attempt to give a partial answer to this problem in the case of point set topology, Ying in 1991 [1,15,16] used a semantical method of continuous-valued logic to develop systematically fuzzifying topology. Briefly speaking, a fuzzifying topology on a set X assigns each crisp subset of X to a certain degree of being open, other than being definitely open or not. In fact, fuzzifying topologies are a special case of the L -fuzzy topologies in [12,13] since all the t -norms on I are included as a special class of tensor products in these paper. Ying uses one particular tensor product, namely Łukasiewicz conjunction. Thus his fuzzifying topologies are a special class of all the I -fuzzy topologies considered in the categorical

E-mail address: o_r_sayed@yahoo.com

[☆] The paper was presented in the 3rd Scientific Conference for Young Researchers for Basic Science and Technology, Assiut University, Egypt, 19–20 April, 2011.

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

frameworks [12,13]. Roughly speaking, the semantical analysis approach transforms formal statements of interest, which are usually expressed as implication formulas in logical language, into some inequalities in the truth value set by truth valuation rules, and then these inequalities are demonstrated in an algebraic way and the semantic validity of conclusions is thus established. So far, there has been significant research on fuzzifying topologies [17–20]. For example, Ying [21] introduced the concepts of compactness and established a generalization of Tychonoff’s theorem in the framework of fuzzifying topology. In [17] the concepts of fuzzifying α -open set and fuzzifying α -continuity were introduced and studied. Also, Sayed [22] introduced and studied the concept of fuzzifying α -Hausdorff separation axiom. In classical topology, α -irresolute mappings and α -compact spaces have been studied in [23–25], respectively. As well as, they have been studied in fuzzy topology in [26–28], respectively. In [29] it was shown that α -compactness (due to Ganter, Steinlage and Warren) of fuzzy topological spaces is the categorical compactness (in the sense of Herrlich et al. [30]) which arises from a factorization structure on the category of fuzzy topological and fuzzy continuous. Also, some characterizations of α -compactness were given. In this paper, the concept of α -irresolute mappings between fuzzifying topological spaces has been studied. Furthermore, the concept of α -compactness in the framework of fuzzifying topology has been reported. The finite intersection property used to give a characterization of the fuzzifying α -compact spaces. Moreover, we study the image of fuzzifying α -compact spaces under fuzzifying α -continuity and fuzzifying α -irresolute mappings. Thus we fill a gap in the existing literature on fuzzifying topology. We use the terminologies and notations in [1,15–17,21] without any explanation. We will use the symbol \otimes instead of the second “AND” operation \wedge as dot is hardly visible. This mean that $[\alpha] \leq [\varphi \rightarrow \psi] \iff [\alpha] \otimes [\varphi] \leq [\psi]$. Also, we need the following two facts: $[\varphi \rightarrow \psi] \otimes [\varphi] \leq [\psi]$ and $[(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma) \wedge (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)] = [(\alpha \vee \beta) \rightarrow \gamma]$.

A fuzzifying topology on a set X [7,11] is a mapping $\tau \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$ such that:

- (1) $\tau(X) = 1, \tau(\phi) = 1$;
- (2) for any $A, B, \tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B)$;
- (3) for any $\{A_\lambda : \lambda \in A\}, \tau\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in A} A_\lambda\right) \geq \bigwedge_{\lambda \in A} \tau(A_\lambda)$.

The family of all fuzzifying α -open sets [17], denoted by $\tau_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$, is defined as

$$A \in \tau_\alpha := \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(A)))) \text{, i.e., } \tau_\alpha(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(A)))(x)$$

The family of all fuzzifying α -closed sets [17], denoted by $F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$, is defined as $A \in F_\alpha := X - A \in \tau_\alpha$. The fuzzifying α -neighborhood system of a point $x \in X$ [17] is denoted by $N_x^\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$ and defined as $N_x^\alpha(A) = \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau_\alpha(B)$. The fuzzifying α -closure of a set $A \subseteq X$ [17], denoted by $Cl_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(X)$, is defined as $Cl_\alpha(A)(x) = 1 - N_x^\alpha(X - A)$. If (X, τ) and (Y, σ) are two fuzzifying topological spaces and $f \in Y^X$, the unary fuzzy predicate $C_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying α -continuity [17], is given as $C_\alpha(f) := \forall B(B \in \sigma \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_\alpha)$. Let Ω be the class of all fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $T_2^\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$, called fuzzifying α -Hausdorffness [22], is given as follows:

$$T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) = (\forall x)(\forall y)((x \in X \wedge y \in Y \wedge x \neq y) \rightarrow (\exists B)(\exists C)(B \in N_x^\alpha \wedge C \in N_y^\alpha \wedge B \cap C \equiv \phi)).$$

A unary fuzzy predicate $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$, called fuzzifying compactness [21], is given as follows:

- (1) $\Gamma(X, \tau) := (\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_\circ(\mathfrak{R}, X) \rightarrow (\exists \phi)((\phi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\phi, A) \otimes FF(\phi)))$. For K, K_\circ (resp. \leq and FF) see ([15], Definition 4.4)(resp. ([15], Theorem 4.3) and ([21], Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.1)).
- (2) If $A \subseteq X$, then $\Gamma(A) := \Gamma(A, \tau/A)$.

2. Fuzzifying α -irresolute mappings

The purpose of this section is to introduce and study the concept of α -irresolute mappings in fuzzifying topological spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. A unary fuzzy predicate $I_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying α -irresoluteness, is given as follows:

$$I_\alpha(f) := \forall B(B \in \sigma_x \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_x).$$

The following theorem generalize the well known result in general topology which state that the concept α -continuous mappings is strictly weaker than that of α -irresolute mappings [23].

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. Then

$$\models f \in I_\alpha \rightarrow f \in C_\alpha.$$

Proof. From ([17], Theorem 3.3 (1) (a)) we have $\sigma(B) \leq \sigma_\alpha(B)$ and the result holds. \square

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$ and (Z, ν) be three fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$ and $g \in Z^Y$. Then

$$\models I_\alpha(f) \rightarrow (C_\alpha(g) \rightarrow C_\alpha(g \circ f))$$

Proof. It suffices $[I_\alpha(f)] \leq [C_\alpha(g) \rightarrow C_\alpha(g \circ f)]$. If $[C_\alpha(g)] \leq [C_\alpha(g \circ f)]$, the results holds. If $[C_\alpha(g)] \geq [C_\alpha(g \circ f)]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [C_\alpha(g)] - [C_\alpha(g \circ f)] &= \bigwedge_{V \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - \nu(V) + \sigma_\alpha(g^{-1}(V))) \\ &\quad - \bigwedge_{V \in P(Z)} \min(1, 1 - \nu(V) + \tau_\alpha(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{V \in P(Z)} (\sigma_\alpha(g^{-1}(V)) - \tau_\alpha(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)))) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [C_\alpha(g) \rightarrow C_\alpha(g \circ f)] &= \min(1, 1 - [C_\alpha(g)] + [C_\alpha(g \circ f)]) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_\alpha(U) + \tau_\alpha(f^{-1}(U))) \\ &= [I_\alpha(f)]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The above lemma is a generalization of the following well known result in general topology ([31], Proposition 4).

Corollary 2.1. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -irresolute and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is α -continuous, then $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is α -continuous.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. We define the unary fuzzy predicates $\omega_k \in \mathfrak{F}(Y^X)$, where $k = 1, \dots, 5$, as follows:

- (1) $f \in \omega_1 = \forall B (B \in F_x^Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in F_x^X)$, where F_x^X and F_x^Y are the fuzzifying α -closed subsets of X and Y , respectively;
- (2) $f \in \omega_2 = \forall x \forall U (U \in N_{f(x)}^{x^Y} \rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \in N_x^{x^X})$, where $N_x^{x^X}$ and $N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}$ are the family of fuzzifying α -neighborhood systems of X and Y , respectively;
- (3) $f \in \omega_3 = \forall x \forall U (U \in N_{f(x)}^{x^Y} \rightarrow \exists V (f(V) \subseteq U \rightarrow V \in N_x^{x^X}))$;
- (4) $f \in \omega_4 = \forall A (f(Cl_x^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(f(A)))$;
- (5) $f \in \omega_5 = \forall B (Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B)))$.

Theorem 2.2. $\exists f \in I_\alpha \leftrightarrow f \in \omega_k, k = 1, \dots, 5$.

Proof.

(a) We will prove that $\exists f \in I_\alpha \leftrightarrow f \in \omega_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \omega_1] &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - F_x^Y(B) + F_x^X(f^{-1}(B))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(Y - B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(Y - B))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(Y - B) + \tau_x(X - f^{-1}(B))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(U) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(U))) = [f \in I_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We will prove that $\exists f \in I_\alpha \leftrightarrow f \in \omega_2$. First, we prove that $[f \in \omega_2] \geq [f \in I_\alpha]$. If $N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) \subseteq N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))$, then $\min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))) = 1 \geq [f \in I_\alpha]$. Suppose $N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) \not\subseteq N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))$. It is clear that, if $f(x) \in A \subseteq U$, then $x \in f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) - N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U)) &= \bigvee_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} \sigma_x(A) - \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq f^{-1}(U)} \tau_x(B) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} \sigma_x(A) - \bigvee_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} \tau_x(f^{-1}(A)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} (\sigma_x(A) - \tau_x(f^{-1}(A))) \end{aligned}$$

So $1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U)) \geq \bigwedge_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} (1 - \sigma_x(A) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(A)))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{f(x) \in A \subseteq U} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(A) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(A))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{V \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(V) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(V))) = [f \in I_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))) \geq [f \in I_\alpha]$. Second, we prove that $[f \in I_\alpha] \geq [f \in \omega_2]$. From Corollary 4.1 [17] we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in I_\alpha] &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_x(U) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(U))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min\left(1, 1 - \bigwedge_{f(x) \in U} N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(U)} N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))\right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min\left(1, 1 - \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(U)} N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(U)} N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))\right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))) = [f \in \omega_2]. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We prove that $[f \in \omega_2] = [f \in \omega_3]$. Since $f(V) \subseteq U$ implies $V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$, then from Theorem 4.2 (2) [17] we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \omega_3] &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min\left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + \bigvee_{V \in P(X), f(V) \subseteq U} N_x^{x^X}(V)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{x^Y}(U) + N_x^{x^X}(f^{-1}(U))) = [f \in \omega_2]. \end{aligned}$$

(d) We will prove that $[f \in \omega_4] = [f \in \omega_5]$. First, we prove $[f \in \omega_4] \leq [f \in \omega_5]$. Since for any fuzzy set \tilde{A} we have $[f^{-1}(f(\tilde{A})) \supseteq \tilde{A}] = 1$. Then for any $B \in P(Y)$, we have $[f^{-1}(f(Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \supseteq Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B))] = 1$. Also, since $[f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B] = 1$, then $[Cl_x^Y(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(B)] = 1$. From Lemma 1.2 (2) [15], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B))] &\geq [f^{-1}(f(Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B))] \\ &\geq [f^{-1}(f(Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(f(f^{-1}(B))))] \\ &\geq [f(Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(f(f^{-1}(B)))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \omega_5] &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} [Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} [f(Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(f(f^{-1}(B)))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} [f(Cl_x^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(f(A))] = [f \in \omega_4]. \end{aligned}$$

Second, for each $A \in P(X)$, there exists $B \in P(Y)$ such that $f(A) = B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \supseteq A$. Hence from Lemma 1.2 (1) [15] we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in \omega_4] &= \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} [f(Cl_x^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_x^Y(f(A))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} [f(Cl_x^X(A)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(f(A))))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} [Cl_x^X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(f(A)))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y), B=f(A)} [Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B))] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} [Cl_x^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_x^Y(B))] = [f \in \omega_5]. \end{aligned}$$

(e) We want to prove that $\exists f \in \omega_2 \leftrightarrow f \in \omega_5$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in \omega_5] &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} [CI_x^Y(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(CI_x^Y(B))] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - (1 - N_x^{\alpha_X}(X - f^{-1}(B))) + 1 - N_{f(x)}^{\alpha_Y}(Y - B)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{B \in P(Y)} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{\alpha_Y}(Y - B) + N_x^{\alpha_X}(f^{-1}(Y - B))) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \bigwedge_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{\alpha_Y}(U) + N_x^{\alpha_X}(f^{-1}(U))) = [f \in \omega_2]. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Fuzzifying α -compact spaces

Definition 3.1. A fuzzifying topological space (X, τ) is said to be fuzzifying α -topological space if $\tau_\alpha(A \cap B) \geq \tau_\alpha(A) \wedge \tau_\alpha(B)$.

In a topological space (X, τ) , a family \mathfrak{R} of subsets of X is said to be α -covering of X if and only if \mathfrak{R} covers X and $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \alpha(X)$, where $\alpha(X)$ is the class of all α -sets in X . We generalize this notion to the fuzzifying setting in the following definition:

Definition 3.2. A binary fuzzy predicate $K_x \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F}(P(X)) \times P(X))$, called fuzzifying α -open covering, is given as $K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) := K(\mathfrak{R}, A) \otimes (\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_\alpha)$.

In classical topology, a space (X, τ) is α -compact if and only if every α -covering of X has a finite subcover. Also, a subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be α -compact if and only if $(A, \tau_{/A})$ is α -compact, where $\tau_{/A}$ denotes the induced topology on A . The following definition generalize these notions.

Definition 3.3. Let Ω be the class of all fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $\Gamma_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$, called fuzzifying α -compactness, is given as follows:

- (1) $(X, \tau) \in \Gamma_\alpha := (\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, X) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \otimes FF(\varphi)))$;
- (2) If $A \subseteq X$, then $\Gamma_\alpha(A) := \Gamma_\alpha(A, \tau_{/A})$.

Lemma 3.1. $\models K_o(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)$.

Proof. Since $\models \tau \subseteq \tau_\alpha$, (see [17, Theorem 3.3 (1) (a)]), then we have $[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau] \leq [[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_\alpha]$. Therefore, $[K_o(\mathfrak{R}, A)] \leq [K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)]$. \square

Since in general topology every α -compact space is compact ([24], Remark 3.1), we have the following theorem in fuzzifying topology.

Theorem 3.1. $\models (X, \tau) \in \Gamma_\alpha \rightarrow (X, \tau) \in \Gamma$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 the proof is immediate. \square

The following theorem generalize the notions which state that:

- (1) A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is α -compact relative to (X, τ) if and only if every cover of A by α -open sets of (X, τ) has a finite subcover.
- (2) A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is α -compact relative to (X, τ) if and only if it is compact in (X, τ_α) .

Theorem 3.2. For any fuzzifying topological space (X, τ) and $A \subseteq X$ we have

- (1) $\models \Gamma_\alpha(A) \leftrightarrow (\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi)))$, where K_x is related to τ .
- (2) $\Gamma_\alpha(A) = \Gamma(A, \tau_\alpha)$.

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F}(X))$, we set $\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F}(A))$ defined as $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}(A \cap B) = \mathfrak{R}(B)$, $B \subseteq X$. Then $K(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \bigvee_{x \in C} \mathfrak{R}(C) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \bigvee_{x \in B} \mathfrak{R}(B) = K(\mathfrak{R}, A)$, because $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A \cap B$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_{x/A}] &= \bigwedge_{C \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(C) + \tau_{x/A}(C)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{C \subseteq A} \min\left(1, 1 - \bigvee_{C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \mathfrak{R}(B) + \bigvee_{C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \tau_x(B)\right) \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{C \subseteq A, C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_x(B)) \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_x(B)) = [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x].
 \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}$, we define $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$ as $\varphi'(B) = \begin{cases} \varphi(B) & \text{if } B \subseteq A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then $\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}$, $FF(\varphi') = FF(\varphi)$ and $K(\varphi', A) = K(\varphi, A)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\Gamma_\alpha(A) \otimes K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)] &\leq [\Gamma_\alpha(A) \otimes K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\
 &\leq [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))) \otimes [K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\
 &\leq [K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \otimes [K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\
 &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \otimes [K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\
 &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
 &\leq [(\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', A) \otimes FF(\varphi'))] \\
 &\leq [(\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))]
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Gamma_\alpha(A) \leq [K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow [(\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))]$, where $K'_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) = [K(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \otimes (\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_{x/A})]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_\alpha(A) &\leq \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))} [K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta)))]
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{F}(P(A))$, if $[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_{x/A}] = \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_{x/A}(B)) = \lambda$, then for any $n \in N$ and $B \subseteq A$, $\bigvee_{B=A \cap C, C \subseteq X} \tau_x(C) = \tau_{x/A}(B) > \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, and there exists $C_B \subseteq X$ such that $C_B \cap A = B$ and $\tau_x(C_B) > \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Now, we define $\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{F}(P(X))$ as $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \max_{B \subseteq A} (0, \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n})$. Then $[\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_x] = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) &= \bigwedge_{x \in Ax \in C \subseteq X} \bigvee \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \bigwedge_{x \in Ax \in B} \bigvee \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(C_B) \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{x \in Ax \in B} \bigvee \left(\lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in Ax \in B} \bigvee \mathfrak{R}(B) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n} = K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) &= [K(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \otimes (\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_x)] = [K(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\
&\geq \max \left(0, K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&\geq \max(0, K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1) - \frac{1}{n} \\
&= \max(0, K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x/A] - 1) - \frac{1}{n} \\
&= K(\mathfrak{R}, A) \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x/A] - \frac{1}{n} = K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) - \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}$, we set $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{I}(P(A))$ as $\varphi'(B) = \varphi(C_B), B \subseteq A$. Then $\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}$, $FF(\varphi') = FF(\varphi)$ and $K(\varphi', A) = K(\varphi, A)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
&\quad \otimes [K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)] - \frac{1}{n} \leq [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
&\quad \otimes \left([K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)] - \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq [K_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
&\quad \otimes [K_x(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \\
&\quad \otimes FF(\varphi))] \leq [(\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', A) \\
&\quad \otimes FF(\varphi'))] \leq [(\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \\
&\quad \otimes FF(\beta))].
\end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \\
&\quad \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \otimes [K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A)] \\
&\quad \leq [(\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
&\quad \leq [K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \\
&\quad \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))] \\
&\quad \leq \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))} [K'_x(\mathfrak{R}, A) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta, A) \otimes FF(\beta))] \\
&\quad = \Gamma_x(A).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Obvious. \square

The following definition is given in [21].

Definition 3.4. Let X be a set. A unary fuzzy predicate $fI \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{I}(P(X)))$, called fuzzy finite intersection property, is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
fI(\mathfrak{R}) &:= (\forall \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\beta) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall B)((B \in \beta) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (x \in B))).
\end{aligned}$$

In a topological space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is α -compact;
- (2) Each family of α -closed sets in X has the finite intersection property;
- (3) Each family of α -closed sets in X whose intersection is a subset of an α -set B contains a finite subfamily whose intersection is a subset of B .

We extend this notion in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 &:= (\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))) \wedge (\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow x \in A));
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_2 &:= (\forall \mathfrak{R})(\exists B)((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \wedge (B \in \tau_x) \otimes (\forall \varphi) \\
&\quad ((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \otimes FF(\varphi) \rightarrow \neg(\bigcap \varphi \subseteq B)) \rightarrow \neg(\bigcap \mathfrak{R} \subseteq B)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \leftrightarrow \pi_i, i = 1, 2$.

Proof.

(a) We prove $\Gamma_x(X, \tau) = [\pi_1]$. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$, we set $\mathfrak{R}^c(X - A) = \mathfrak{R}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x] &= \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \tau_x(A)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{X - A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}^c(X - A) + F_x(X - A)) \\
&= [\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FF(\mathfrak{R}) &= 1 - \bigwedge \{ \delta \in [0, 1] : F(\mathfrak{R}_\delta) \} \\
&= 1 - \bigwedge \{ \delta \in [0, 1] : F(\mathfrak{R}_\delta^c) \} = FF(\mathfrak{R}^c)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}^c &\iff \beta(M) \leq \mathfrak{R}^c(M) \iff \beta^c(X - M) \\
&\leq \mathfrak{R}(X - M) \iff \beta^c \leq \mathfrak{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_x(X, \tau) &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(K_x(\mathfrak{R}, X) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x) \otimes K(\mathfrak{R}, X) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \otimes FF(\varphi))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x) \rightarrow (K(\mathfrak{R}, X) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \otimes FF(\varphi)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \otimes FF(\varphi)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \beta^c)((\beta^c \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\beta^c, X) \otimes FF(\beta^c)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}^c) \wedge FF(\beta) \otimes K(\beta^c, X)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists \beta)((\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}^c) \wedge FF(\beta) \otimes (\forall x)(\exists B)(B \in \beta^c \wedge x \in B))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})(\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x \rightarrow (\neg((\exists \beta)(\beta \leq \mathfrak{R}^c \wedge FF(\beta) \\
 &\otimes (\forall x)(\exists B)(B \in \beta^c \wedge x \in B))) \\
 &\rightarrow \neg((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x) \rightarrow (fI(\mathfrak{R}^c) \\
 &\rightarrow \neg((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A)))] \\
 &= [(\forall \mathfrak{R})((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq F_x) \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}^c) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R}^c \rightarrow x \in A))] \\
 &= [\pi_1].
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) We prove $[\pi_1] = [\pi_2]$. Let $X - B \in P(X)$. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \wedge (B \in \tau_x)] &= [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \wedge (X - B \in F_x)] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + F_x(A)) \wedge F_x(X - B) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + F_x(A)) \\
 &\wedge \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - [A \in \{X - B\}] + F_x(A)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - [(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})(A)] + F_x(A)) \\
 &= [(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \subseteq F_x].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $\beta \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$, let $\varphi = \beta \setminus \{X - B\} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$. $\varphi(A) = \begin{cases} \beta(A), & A \neq X - B \\ 0, & A = X - B \end{cases}$. Then $\varphi \leq \beta$, $\varphi \cup \{X - B\} \geq \beta$, $[FF(\varphi)] = [FF(\beta)]$, $[\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}] = [\beta \leq (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \otimes FF(\varphi) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\varphi \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow (x \in A))] \\
 = \bigwedge_{\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}} \min \left(1, 1 - [FF(\varphi)] + \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} ((\varphi \cup \{X - B\})(A) \rightarrow A(x)) \right) \\
 \leq \bigwedge_{\beta \leq (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})} \min(1, 1 - [FF(\beta)]) \\
 + \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{A \in P(X)} (\beta(A) \rightarrow A(x)) = fI(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_1 &\otimes [((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \wedge (B \in \tau_x)) \otimes (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \otimes FF(\varphi) \rightarrow \neg(\bigcap_{\varphi \subseteq B}) \\
 &= \pi_1 \otimes [(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \subseteq F_x] \otimes (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \otimes FF(\varphi) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\varphi \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow x \in A)] \\
 &= \pi_1 \otimes [(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \subseteq F_x] \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \\
 &\leq [(\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow x \in A)] = [\neg(\bigcap_{\varphi \subseteq B})].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_1 &\leq \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))} \bigvee_{B \subseteq X} ((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x \wedge B \in \tau_x) \otimes (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \otimes FF(\varphi) \\
 &\rightarrow \neg(\bigcap_{\varphi \subseteq B})) \rightarrow \neg(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{R} \subseteq B}) = \pi_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_2 \otimes [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R})] &= \pi_2 \otimes [((\mathfrak{R} \setminus \{B\}) \cup \{B\}) \subseteq F_x] \\
 &\otimes [fI((\mathfrak{R} \setminus \{B\}) \cup \{B\})] \\
 &= \pi_2 \otimes [(\mathfrak{R}' \subseteq F_x) \wedge (X - B \in \tau_x) \\
 &\otimes (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}') \otimes FF(\varphi) \\
 &\rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\varphi \cup \{B\}) \\
 &\rightarrow x \in A))] \\
 &= \pi_2 \otimes [(\mathfrak{R}' \subseteq F_x) \wedge (X - B \in \tau_x) \\
 &\otimes (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}') \otimes FF(\varphi) \\
 &\rightarrow \neg(\bigcap_{\varphi \subseteq X - B})] \\
 &\leq [\neg(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{R}' \subseteq X - B})] \\
 &= [(\exists x)(\forall A)((A \in (\mathfrak{R}' \cup \{B\}) \\
 &\rightarrow (x \in A))] = [(\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \\
 &\rightarrow (x \in A))].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_2 \leq \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))} [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x) \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow (x \in A))] = \pi_1. \quad \square$$

4. Some properties of fuzzifying α -compact spaces

Theorem 4.1. For any fuzzifying topological space (X, τ) and $A \subseteq X$,

- (1) $\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F_x \rightarrow \Gamma_x(A)$;
- (2) $\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F_x \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$;
- (3) $\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F \rightarrow \Gamma_x(A)$;
- (4) $\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$.

Proof.

- (1) For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(A))$, we define $\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$ as $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{R}(B) & \text{if } B \subseteq A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then $FF(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}) = 1 - \bigwedge \{\beta \in [0, 1] : F(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\beta)\} = 1 - \bigwedge \{\beta \in [0, 1] : F(\mathfrak{R}_\beta)\} = FF(\mathfrak{R})$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq X} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} \left(\left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \right) \\
&= \bigvee_{x \in X} \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \wedge \bigvee_{x \in X} \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \\
&= \bigvee_{x \in X} \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \\
&= \bigvee_{x \in A} \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \vee \bigvee_{x \notin A} \left(\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

If $x \notin A$, then for any $x' \in A$ we have

$$\bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) = \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \leq \bigwedge_{x' \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)).$$

Therefore

$$\bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq X} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) = \bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[fI(\overline{\mathfrak{R}})] &= [(\forall \beta)(\overline{\beta} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge FF(\overline{\beta}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall B)((B \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \rightarrow (x \in B))] \\
&= \bigwedge_{\overline{\beta} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}} \min \left(1, 1 - FF(\overline{\beta}) + \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq X} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{\overline{\beta} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{R}}} \min \left(1, 1 - FF(\beta) + \bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \\
&= [fI(\mathfrak{R})].
\end{aligned}$$

We want to prove $F_x(A) \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x/A] \leq [\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq F_x]$. In fact,

$$F_x \left(\bigcap_{i \in A} A_i \right) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in A} F_x(A_i) \text{ (see [17, Theorem 3.2]). Thus}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_x(A) \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x/A] &= \max \left(0, F_x(A) + \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + F_x/A(B)) - 1 \right) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + (F_x(A) + F_x/A(B) - 1) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + (F_x(A) \wedge F_x/A(B)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \left(F_x(A) \wedge \bigvee_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} F_x(B') \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \bigvee_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} (F_x(A) \wedge F_x(B')) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \bigvee_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} (F_x(A \cap B')) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + F_x(B) \\
&= \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + F_x(B)) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B) + F_x(B)) \\
&= [\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq F_x].
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes F_x(A) \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x/A] \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}) &\leq \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes [\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq F_x] \otimes fI(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}) \leq \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \overline{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \\
&= \bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes F_x(A) \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x/A] \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}) &\rightarrow \bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(A))} \left([\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_x/A] \otimes fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow \bigvee_{x \in A} \bigwedge_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \\
&= \Gamma_x(A).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) From (1) above and Theorem 3.1, the result holds.

(3) From Theorem 3.3 [17] we have $\models F \subseteq F_x$ or $[A \in F] \leq [A \in F_x]$. Then we obtain

$$[\Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F] \leq [\Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes A \in F_x] \leq \Gamma_x(A).$$

(4) From (3) above and Theorem 3.1 the result holds. \square

As a corollary of the above theorem we have the following well known theorem for classical topological spaces (see [25], Corollary 3.5).

Theorem 4.2. Every α -closed subset of an α -compact space is α -compact.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two fuzzifying topological spaces and $f \in Y^X$ is surjection. Then

$$\models \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes C_x(f) \rightarrow \Gamma(f(X))$$

Proof. (1) For $\beta \in \mathfrak{I}(P(Y))$, we define $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$ as $\mathfrak{R}(A) = f^{-1}(\beta)(A) = \beta(f(A))$. Then $K(\mathfrak{R}, X) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{x \in A} \mathfrak{R}(A) =$

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{x \in A} \beta(f(A)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{f(x) \in B} \beta(B) = \bigwedge_{y \in f(X)} \bigvee_{y \in B} \beta(B) = K(\beta, f(X))$$

and some calculations lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
[\beta \subseteq \sigma] \otimes [C_x(f)] &= \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \sigma(B)) \\
&\otimes \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(B))) \\
&= \max(0, \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \sigma(B)) \\
&\quad + \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(B))) - 1) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \max(0, \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \sigma(B)) \\
&\quad + \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(B))) - 1) \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(B))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X} \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X, f^{-1}(B)=A} \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \tau_x(f^{-1}(B))) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X} \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X, f^{-1}(B)=A} \min(1, 1 - \beta(B) + \tau_x(A)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X} \min \left(1, 1 - \bigvee_{f^{-1}(B)=A} \beta(B) + \tau_x(A) \right) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \tau_x(A)) = [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x].
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}$, we set $\bar{\wp} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(Y))$ defined as $\bar{\wp}(f(A)) = f(\wp)(f(A)) = \wp(A)$, $A \subseteq X$. Then $\bar{\wp}(f(A)) = f(\wp)(f(A)) \leq f(\mathfrak{R})(f(A)) = f(f^{-1}(\beta)(f(A))) \leq \beta(f(A))$, $FF(\wp) = 1 - \bigwedge \{\delta \in [0, 1] : F(\wp|_{\delta})\} = 1 - \bigwedge \{\delta \in [0, 1] : F(f(\wp)|_{\delta})\} = FF(f(\wp)) \leq FF(\bar{\wp})$ and $K(\bar{\wp}, f(X)) = \bigwedge_{y \in f(X)} \bigvee_{y \in B} \bar{\wp}(B) = \bigwedge_{y \in f(X)} \bigvee_{y \in B=f(A)} \wp(A) \geq \bigwedge_{y \in f(X)} \bigvee_{f^{-1}(y) \in A} \wp(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{x \in A} \wp(A) = K(\wp, X)$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [C_x(f)] \otimes [K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X))] \\ &= [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [C_x(f)] \otimes [K(\beta, f(X))] \otimes [\beta \subseteq \sigma] \\ &\leq [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x] \otimes [K(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &= [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [K_x(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp)((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, X) \otimes FF(\wp))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \bar{\wp})((\bar{\wp} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\bar{\wp}, f(X)) \otimes FF(\bar{\wp}))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \otimes FF(\wp'))], \end{aligned}$$

where K'_σ is related to σ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [C_x(f)] \leq K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X)) \rightarrow (\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \\ &\quad \otimes FF(\wp')) \leq \bigwedge_{\beta \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))} (K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X)) \\ &\quad \rightarrow (\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \otimes FF(\wp'))) \\ &= [\Gamma(f(X))]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two fuzzifying topological space and $f \in Y^X$ is surjection. Then $\vdash \Gamma_x(X, \tau) \otimes I_x(f) \rightarrow \Gamma_x(f(X))$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4.3 we have for any $\beta \in \mathfrak{I}(P(Y))$ we define $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))$ as $\mathfrak{R}(A) = f^{-1}(\beta)(A) = \beta(f(A))$. Then $K(\mathfrak{R}, X) = K(\beta, f(X))$ and $[\beta \subseteq \sigma_x] \otimes [I_x(f)] \leq [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x]$. For any $\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}$, we set $\bar{\wp} \in \mathfrak{I}(P(Y))$ defined as $\bar{\wp}(f(A)) = f(\wp)(f(A)) = \wp(A)$, $A \subseteq X$ and we have $FF(\wp) \leq FF(\bar{\wp})$, $K(\bar{\wp}, f(X)) \geq K(\wp, X)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [I_x(f)] \otimes [K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X))] \\ &= [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [I_x(f)] \otimes [K(\beta, f(X))] \otimes [\beta \subseteq \sigma_x] \\ &\leq [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_x] \otimes [K(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &= [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [K_x(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp)((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, X) \otimes FF(\wp))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \bar{\wp})((\bar{\wp} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\bar{\wp}, f(X)) \otimes FF(\bar{\wp}))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \beta) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \otimes FF(\wp'))], \end{aligned}$$

where K'_σ is related to σ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_x(X, \tau)] \otimes [I_x(f)] \leq K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X)) \\ &\quad \rightarrow (\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \beta) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \otimes FF(\wp')) \\ &\leq \inf_{\beta \in \mathfrak{I}(P(X))} (K'_\sigma(\beta, f(X)) \\ &\quad \rightarrow (\exists \wp')((\wp' \leq \beta) \wedge K(\wp', f(X)) \otimes FF(\wp'))) \\ &= [\Gamma_x(f(X))]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary of the above two theorems we have the following theorem [24].

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, τ) , (Y, σ) be two topological spaces and $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a surjective mapping. If f is α -continuous (resp. α -irresolute) and X is α -compact, then Y is compact (resp. α -compact).

Theorem 4.6. Let (X, τ) be any fuzzifying α -topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then

- (1) $T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes (\Gamma_x(A) \wedge \Gamma_x(B)) \wedge A \cap B = \phi \models^{ws} T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \rightarrow (\exists U)(\exists V)((U \in \tau_x) \wedge (V \in \tau_x) \wedge (A \subseteq U) \wedge (B \subseteq V) \wedge (U \cap V = \phi))$;
- (2) $T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes \Gamma_x(A) \models^{ws} T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \rightarrow A \in F_x$, where $\phi \models^{ws} \psi$ means that $[\phi] > 0$ implies $[\psi] = 1$ (see [21], Definition 3.1).

Proof.

- (1) Assume $A \cap B = \phi$ and $T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) = t$. Let $x \in A$. For any $y \in B$ and $\lambda < t$ we have $\bigvee \{\tau_x(P) \wedge \tau_x(Q) : x \in P, y \in Q, P \cap Q = \phi\} = \bigvee \{\tau_x(P) \wedge \tau_x(Q) : x \in P \subseteq U, y \in Q \subseteq V, U \cap V = \phi\} = \bigvee_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ \bigvee_{x \in P \subseteq U} \tau_x(P) \wedge \bigvee_{y \in Q \subseteq V} \tau_x(Q) \right\} = \bigvee_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ N_x^\alpha(U) \wedge N_y^\alpha(V) \right\} \geq \bigwedge_{x \neq y} \bigvee_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ N_x^\alpha(U) \wedge N_y^\alpha(V) \right\} = T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) = t > \lambda$, i.e., there exist P_y, Q_y such that $x \in P_y, y \in Q_y, P_y \cap Q_y = \phi$ and $\tau_x(P_y) > \lambda, \tau_x(Q_y) > \lambda$. Set $\beta(Q_y) = \tau_x(Q_y)$ for $y \in B$. Since $[\beta \subseteq \tau_x] = 1$, we have

$$[K_x(\beta, B)] = [K(\beta, B)] = \bigwedge_{y \in B} \bigvee_{y \in C} \beta(C) \geq \bigwedge_{y \in B} \beta(Q_y) = \bigwedge_{y \in B} \tau_x(Q_y) \geq \lambda.$$

On the other hand, Since $[T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes (\Gamma_x(A) \wedge \Gamma_x(B))] > 0$, $T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) + (\Gamma_x(A) \wedge \Gamma_x(B)) - 1 > 0$ or $1 - t < \Gamma_x(A) \wedge \Gamma_x(B) \leq \Gamma_x(A)$. From Theorem 3.2 we have for any $\lambda \in (1 - \Gamma_x(A), t)$, it holds that $1 - \lambda < \Gamma_x(A) \leq 1 - [K_x(\beta, B)] + \bigvee_{\wp \leq \beta} \{K(\wp, B) \otimes FF(\wp)\} \leq 1 - \lambda + \bigvee_{\wp \leq \beta} \{K(\wp, B) \otimes FF(\wp)\}$, i.e., $\bigvee_{\wp \leq \beta} \{K(\wp, B) \otimes FF(\wp)\} > 0$ and there exists $\wp \leq \beta$ such that $K(\wp, B) + FF(\wp) - 1 > 0$, i.e., $1 - FF(\wp) < K(\wp, B)$. Then, $\bigwedge \{\theta: F(\wp_\theta)\} < K(\wp, B)$. Now, there exists θ_1 such that $\theta_1 < K(\wp, B)$ and $F(\wp_{\theta_1})$. Since $\wp \leq \beta$, we may write $\wp_{\theta_1} = \{Q_{y_1}, \dots, Q_{y_n}\}$. We put $U_x = \{P_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{y_n}\}$, $V_x = \{Q_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{y_n}\}$ and have $V_x \supseteq B, U_x \cap V_x = \phi, \tau_x(U_x) \geq \tau_x(P_{y_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_x(P_{y_n}) > \lambda$ because (X, τ) is fuzzifying α -topological space. Also, $\tau_x(V_x) \geq \tau_x(Q_{y_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_x(Q_{y_n}) > \lambda$. In fact, $\bigwedge_{y \in B} \bigvee_{y \in D} \wp(D) = K(\wp, B) > \theta_1$, and for any $y \in B$, there exists

D such that $y \in D$ and $\wp(D) > \theta_1, D \in \wp_{\theta_1}$. Similarly, if $\lambda \in (1 - [\Gamma_x(A) \wedge \Gamma_x(B)], t)$, then we can find $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$ with $U_o = U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_m} \supseteq A$. By putting $V_o = V_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{x_m}$ we obtain $V_o \supseteq B, U_o \cap V_o = \phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists U)(\exists V)((U \in \tau_x) \wedge (V \in \tau_x) \wedge (A \subseteq U) \wedge (B \subseteq V) \wedge (U \cap V \\ &= \phi)) \geq \tau_x(U_o) \wedge \tau_x(V_o) \\ &\geq \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \tau_x(U_{x_i}) \wedge \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \tau_x(V_{x_i}) > \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we let $\lambda \rightarrow t$ and complete the proof.

- (2) Assume $[T_2^\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes \Gamma_\alpha(A)] > 0$. Then for any $x \in X - A$ we have from (1) above that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{x \in U \subseteq X-A} \tau_\alpha(U) &\geq \bigvee \{ \tau_\alpha(U) \wedge \tau_\alpha(V) : x \in U, A \subseteq V, U \cap V \\ &= \emptyset \} \geq [T_2^\alpha(X, \tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_\alpha(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} N_x^\alpha(A)$ (see [17], Corollary 4.1), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(A) = \tau_\alpha(X - A) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X-A} N_x^\alpha(X - A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X-A} \bigvee_{U \subseteq X-A} \tau_\alpha(U) \\ &\geq [T_2^\alpha(X, \tau)]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary of the above theorem we have the following result in general topology.

Theorem 4.7.

- (1) For any disjoint α -compact subsets A and B of an α -Hausdorff space X , there exist disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
- (2) Every α -compact subset of α -Hausdorff space is α -closed.

Definition 4.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $Q_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying α -closedness, is given as follows:

$$Q_\alpha(f) := \forall B (B \in F_\alpha^X \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in F_\alpha^Y),$$

where F_α^X and F_α^Y are the fuzzy families of τ, σ - α -closed in X and Y , respectively.

Theorem 4.8. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space (Y, σ) be a fuzzifying α -topological space and $f \in Y^X$. Then $\models \Gamma_\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma) \otimes I_\alpha(f) \rightarrow Q_\alpha(f)$.

Proof. For any $A \subseteq X$, we have the following:

- (i) From Theorem 4.1 we have $[\Gamma_\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes F_\alpha^X(A)] \leq \Gamma_\alpha(A)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad I_\alpha(f \setminus A) &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_\alpha(U) + \tau_{\alpha/A}((f/A)^{-1}(U))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_\alpha(U) + \tau_{\alpha/A}(A \cap f^{-1}(U))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min\left(1, 1 - \sigma_\alpha(U) + \bigvee_{A \cap f^{-1}(U) = B \cap A} \tau_\alpha(B)\right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_\alpha(U) + \tau_\alpha(f^{-1}(U))) \\ &= I_\alpha(f). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) From Theorem 4.4, we have $[\Gamma_\alpha(A) \otimes I_\alpha(f \setminus A)] \leq \Gamma_\alpha(f(A))$.

- (iv) From Theorem 4.6 (2) we have $T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma) \otimes \Gamma_\alpha(f(A)) \models^{ws} T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow f(A) \in F_\alpha^Y$, which implies $\models T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma) \otimes \Gamma_\alpha(f(A)) \rightarrow f(A) \in F_\alpha^Y$. By combining (i)–(iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_\alpha(X, \tau) \otimes T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma) \otimes I_\alpha(f)] &\leq [(F_\alpha^X(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_\alpha(A)) \otimes I_\alpha(f \setminus A) \\ &\quad \otimes T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma)] \leq [(F_\alpha^X(A) \rightarrow (\Gamma_\alpha(A) \\ &\quad \otimes I_\alpha(f \setminus A))) \otimes T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma)] \\ &\leq [F_\alpha^X(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_\alpha(f(A)) \otimes T_2^\alpha(Y, \sigma)] \\ &= [F_\alpha^X(A) \rightarrow F_\alpha^Y(f(A))] \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{A \subseteq X} ([F_\alpha^X(A) \rightarrow F_\alpha^Y(f(A))]) \\ &= Q_\alpha(f). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a crisp setting from the above theorem we have

Theorem 4.9. An α -irresolute map from α -compact space to α -Hausdorff space is α -closed.

5. Conclusion

The present paper investigates topological notions when these are planted into the framework of Ying's fuzzifying topological spaces (in semantic method of continuous valued-logic). It continue various investigations into fuzzy topology in a legitimate way and extend some fundamental results in general topology to fuzzifying topology. An important virtue of our approach (in which we follow Ying) is that we define topological notions as fuzzy predicates (by formulae of ukasiewicz fuzzy logic) and prove the validity of fuzzy implications (or equivalences). Unlike the (more wide-spread) style of defining notions in fuzzy mathematics as crisp predicates of fuzzy sets, fuzzy predicates of fuzzy sets provide a more genuine fuzzification; furthermore the theorems in the form of valid fuzzy implications are more general than the corresponding theorems on crisp predicates of fuzzy sets. The main contributions of the paper are to study α -compact spaces in fuzzifying topology and the behavior of α -compact spaces under various types of mappings. There are some problems for further study:

- (1) What is the justification for fuzzifying α -compactness in the setting of (2, L) topologies.
- (2) Obviously, fuzzifying topological spaces in [13] form a fuzzy category. Perhaps, this will become a motivation for further study of the fuzzy category.
- (3) It would be interesting to give examples and results considering sums, hereditary and productivity, etc.

References

- [1] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (I), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 39 (1991) 303–321.
- [2] U. Höhle, Many Valued Topology and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [3] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of fuzzy sets: logic, topology, and measure theory, Handbook of Fuzzy Sets Series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

- [4] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, A. Šostak, (Eds.), Special Issue on Fuzzy Topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 73 (1995) 1–183.
- [5] T. Kubiak, On Fuzzy Topologies, Ph.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland, 1985.
- [6] Y.M. Liu, M.K. Luo, *Fuzzy Topology*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [7] G.J. Wang, *Theory of L-Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, Shanxi Normal University Press, Xian, 1988 (in Chinese).
- [8] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [9] J.A. Goguen, The fuzzy Tychonoff theorem, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 43 (1973) 182–190.
- [10] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [11] U. Höhle, Uppersemicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 78 (1980) 659–673.
- [12] U. Höhle, A. Šostak, Axiomatic foundations of fixed-basis fuzzy topology, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, Handbook of Fuzzy Sets Series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 123–272.
- [13] S.E. Rodabaugh, Categorical foundations of variable-basis fuzzy topology, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, Handbook of Fuzzy Sets Series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 273–388.
- [14] J.B. Rosser, A.R. Turquette, *Many-Valued Logics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1952.
- [15] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (II), *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 47 (1992) 221–223.
- [16] M.S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (III), *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 55 (1993) 193–207.
- [17] F.H. Khedr, F.M. Zeyada, O.R. Sayed, α -continuity and $c\alpha$ -continuity in fuzzifying topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 116 (2000) 325–337.
- [18] D. Qiu, Fuzzifying topological linear spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147 (2004) 249–272.
- [19] D. Qiu, Characterizations of fuzzy finite automata, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 141 (2004) 391–414.
- [20] O.R. Sayed, Semi-compactness in fuzzifying topology, *Proceedings of Pakistan Academic Sciences* 42 (3) (2005) 177–186, 299–303.
- [21] M.S. Ying, Compactness in fuzzifying topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 55 (1993) 79–92.
- [22] O.R. Sayed, On Fuzzifying Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Assiut University, Egypt, 2002.
- [23] S.N. Maheshwari, S.S. Thakur, On α -irresolute mappings, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematical* 11 (1980) 209–214.
- [24] S.N. Maheshwari, S.S. Thakur, On α -compact spaces, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics. Acadrmia Sinica* 13 (4) (1985) 341–347.
- [25] T. Noiri, G. Di Maio, Properties of α -compact spaces, III *Convegno Topologia, Trieste, Giugno 1986*, *Supp. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II* 18 (1988) 359–369.
- [26] R. Prasad, S.S. Thakur, R.K. Saraf, Fuzzy α -irresolute mappings, *Fuzzy Mathematics* 2 (1994) 335–339.
- [27] T.E. Gantner, R.C. Steinlage, R.H. Worren, Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 62 (1978) 547–562.
- [28] S.S. Thakur, R.K. Saraf, α -Compact fuzzy topological spaces, *Mathematica Bohemica* 120 (3) (1995) 299–303.
- [29] I.W. Alderton, α -Compactness of fuzzy topological spaces: a categorical approach, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 20 (1997) 269–282.
- [30] H. Herrlich, G. Salicrup, G.E. Strecker, Factorizations, denseness, separation, and relatively compact objects, *Topology Applications* 27 (1987) 157–196.
- [31] I.L. Reilly, M.K. Vamanamurthy, On α -continuity in topological spaces, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* 45 (1–2) (1985) 27–32.