

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access



# Separation Problem for Bi-Harmonic Differential Operators in $L^p$ – spaces on Manifolds

H. A. Atia

Correspondence:  
h\_a\_atia@hotmail.com  
Faculty of Science, Department of  
Mathematics, Zagazig University,  
Zagazig, Egypt

## Abstract

Consider the bi-harmonic differential expression of the form

$$A = \Delta_M^2 + q$$

on a manifold of bounded geometry  $(M, g)$  with metric  $g$ , where  $\Delta_M$  is the scalar Laplacian on  $M$  and  $q \geq 0$  is a locally integrable function on  $M$ .

In the terminology of Everitt and Giertz, the differential expression  $A$  is said to be separated in  $L^p(M)$ , if for all  $u \in L^p(M)$  such that  $Au \in L^p(M)$ , we have  $qu \in L^p(M)$ . In this paper, we give sufficient conditions for  $A$  to be separated in  $L^p(M)$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Keywords:** Separation problem, Bi-harmonic differential operator, Manifold

**AMS Subject Classification :** 47F05, 58J99

## Introduction

In the terminology of Everitt and Giertz, the concept of separation of differential operators was first introduced in [1]. Several results of the separation problem are given in a series of pioneering papers [2–5]. For more backgrounds concerning to our problem, see [6–8]. Atia et al. [9] have studied the separation property of the bi-harmonic differential expression  $A = \Delta_M^2 + q$ , on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  without boundary in  $L^2(M)$ , where  $\Delta_M$  is the Laplacian on  $M$  and  $0 \leq q \in L^2_{loc}(M)$  is a real-valued function.

Recently, Atia [10] has studied the sufficient conditions for the magnetic bi-harmonic differential operator  $B$  of the form  $B = \Delta_E^2 + q$  to be separated in  $L^2(M)$ , on a complete Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with metric  $g$ , where  $\Delta_E$  is the magnetic Laplacian on  $M$  and  $q \geq 0$  is a locally square integrable function on  $M$ . In [11], Milatovic has studied the separation property for the Schrodinger-type expression of the form  $L = \Delta_M + q$ , on non-compact manifolds in  $L^p(M)$ . Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold without boundary, with metric  $g$  (i.e.,  $M$  is a  $C^\infty$  – manifold without boundary and  $g = (g_{jk})$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$ ) and  $\dim M = n$ . We will assume that  $M$  is connected. We will also assume that we are given a positive smooth measure  $d\mu$ , i.e., in any local coordinates  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$ , there exists a strictly positive  $C^\infty$  – density  $\rho(x)$  such that  $d\mu = \rho(x) dx^1 dx^2 \dots dx^n$ . In the sequel,  $L^2(M)$  is the space of complex-valued square integrable functions on  $M$  with the inner product:

$$(u, v) = \int_M (uv^-) d\mu, \tag{1}$$

and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm in  $L^2(M)$  corresponding to the inner product (1). We use the notation  $L^2(\Lambda^1 T^*M)$  for the space of complex-valued square integrable 1-forms on  $M$  with the inner product:

$$(W, \Psi)_{L^2(\Lambda^1 T^*M)} = \int_M \langle W, \bar{\Psi} \rangle d\mu, \tag{2}$$

where for 1-forms  $W = W_j dx^j$  and  $\Psi = \Psi_k dx^k$ , we define  $\langle W, \Psi \rangle = g^{jk} W_j \Psi_k$ , where  $(g^{jk})$  is the inverse matrix to  $(g_{jk})$ , and  $\bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Psi}_k dx^k$  (above, we use the standard Einstein summation convention).

The notation  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Lambda^1 T^*M)}$  stands for the norm in  $L^2(\Lambda^1 T^*M)$  corresponding to the inner product (2). To simplify notations, we will denote the inner products (1) and (2) by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . In the sequel, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^p(M)$  is the space of complex-valued  $p$ -integrable functions on  $M$  with the norm:

$$\|u\|_p = \left( \int_M |u|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{3}$$

In what follows, by  $C^1(M)$ , we denote the space of continuously differentiable complex-valued functions on  $M$ , and by  $C^\infty(M)$ , we denote the space of smooth complex-valued functions on  $M$ , by  $C_c^\infty(M)$  –the space of smooth compactly supported complex-valued functions on  $M$ , by  $\Omega^1(M)$  – the space of smooth 1-forms on  $M$ , and by  $\Omega_c^1(M)$  –the space of smooth compactly supported 1-forms on  $M$ . In the sequel, the operator  $d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$  is the standard differential and  $d^* : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  is the formal adjoint of  $d$  defined by the identity:  $(du, v)_{L^2(\Lambda^1 T^*M)} = (u, d^*v)$ ,  $u \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,  $v \in \Omega^1(M)$ . By  $\Delta_M = d^*d$ , we will denote the scalar Laplacian on  $M$ . We will use the product rule for  $d^*$  as follows:

$$d^*(uv) = ud^*v - \langle du, v \rangle, \quad u \in C^1(M), \quad v \in \Omega^1(M). \tag{4}$$

We consider the bi-harmonic differential expression:

$$A = \Delta_M^2 + q, \tag{5}$$

where  $q \geq 0$  is a locally integrable function on  $M$ .

**Definition 1** The set  $D_p$  :

Let  $A$  be as in (5), we will use the notation

$$D_p = \{u \in L^p(M) : Au \in L^p(M)\}. \tag{6}$$

**Remark 1** In general, it is not true that for all  $u \in D_p$ , we have  $\Delta_M^2 u \in L^p(M)$  and  $qu \in L^p(M)$  separately. Using the terminology of Everitt and Giertz, we will say that the differential expression  $A = \Delta_M^2 + q$  is separated in  $L^p(M)$  when the following statement holds true: for all  $u \in D_p$ , we have  $qu \in L^p(M)$ .

We will give sufficient conditions for  $A$  to be separated in  $L^p(M)$ . Assume that the manifold  $(M, g)$  has bounded geometry, that is

- (a)  $\inf_{x \in M} r_{inj}(x) > 0$ , where  $r_{inj}(x)$  is the injectivity radius of  $(M, g)$ ,
- (b) all covariant derivatives  $\nabla^j R$  of the Riemann curvature tensor  $R$  are bounded:  $|\nabla^j R| \leq K_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $K_j$  are constants.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a manifold of bounded geometry. Then, there exists a sequence of functions (called cut-off functions)  $\{\phi_j\}$  in  $C_c^\infty(M)$  such that for all  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

- (i)  $0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi_j \leq \phi_{j+1}$ ;
- (iii) for every compact set  $S \subset M$ , there exists  $j$  such that  $\phi_j|_S = 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\sup_{x \in M} |d\phi_j| \leq C_1$ ,  $\sup_{x \in M} |\Delta_M \phi_j| \leq C_1$ , and  $\sup_{x \in M} |\Delta_M^2 \phi_j| \leq C_1$ , where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $j$ . For the construction of  $\phi_j$  satisfying the above properties, see [12].

**Preliminary lemma**

In the following, we introduce a preliminary lemma which will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** Assume that  $(M, g)$  is a connected  $C^\infty$ -Riemannian manifold without boundary, with metric  $g$  and has bounded geometry. Assume that there exist a constant  $\gamma$  such that  $0 < \gamma \leq q \in C^1(M)$ , and

$$|\Delta_M q(x)| \leq \sigma q^{\frac{3}{2}}(x), \text{ for all } x \in M, \tag{7}$$

where  $0 < \sigma < \frac{2}{\sqrt{p-1}}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $|\Delta_M q(x)|$  denotes the norm of  $\Delta_M q(x) \in T_x^*M$  with respect to the inner product in  $T_x^*M$  induced by the metric  $g$ . Assume that  $f \in L^p(M)$  and that  $u \in L^p(M) \cap C^1(M)$  is a solution of the equation

$$\Delta_M^2 u + qu = f. \tag{8}$$

Additionally assume that for all  $k \in [-\frac{1}{2}, p - 1]$ ,

$$|u|^p q^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \in L^1(M) \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du \right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Then, the following properties hold:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) = 0, \tag{10}$$

and

$$q^{k+1} |u|^p \in L^1(M), \text{ and } \int_M q^{k+1} |u|^p d\mu \leq C_1 \|f\|_p^p, \tag{11}$$

for all  $k \in [-\frac{1}{2}, p - 1]$ , where  $\{\phi_j\}$  is as in (i-iv) and  $C_1 \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ .

*Proof* We first prove (10): Since  $u \in L^p(M) \cap C^1(M)$ , using integration by parts, product rule of  $d$ , the definition of  $\Delta_M = d^*d$ , and the formula  $d(u_\epsilon) = \frac{udu}{u_\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u, q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (du, d(q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (dudq^k, u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (duq^k du, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &\quad + (p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (duq^k du, u^2(u_\epsilon)^{p-4} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (du, q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d(\Delta_M \phi_j)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (du, dq^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (du, q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d(\Delta_M \phi_j)) \\ &\quad + (p-1) (du, q^k du |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, d^* (dq^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j)) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, d^* (q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d(\Delta_M \phi_j))) \\ &\quad + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, d^* (q^k du(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j)), \end{aligned}$$

using the product rule (4) of  $d^*$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (ud(u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j), dq^k) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) \\ &\quad - (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (ud(q^k(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j), du) \\ &\quad + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, q^k(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \Delta_M u) \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (ud(q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2}), d(\Delta_M \phi_j)) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M^2 \phi_j), \end{aligned}$$

using the product rule of  $d$  again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (ud(\Delta_M \phi_j), u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} dq^k) \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u \Delta_M \phi_j du, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} dq^k) \\ &\quad - (p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u \Delta_M \phi_j du, u^2(u_\epsilon)^{p-4} dq^k) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) \\ &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (u, q^k u(u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M^2 \phi_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+(p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u dq^k, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j; du \right) \\
 &+(p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u q^k d(\Delta_M \phi_j), (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} du \right) \\
 &-(p-1)(p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u q^k du, u (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} \Delta_M \phi_j; du \right) \\
 &-(p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u, q^k (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j; \Delta_M u \right) \\
 &+ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u dq^k, u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d(\Delta_M \phi_j) \right) \\
 &- \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u dq^k du, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d(\Delta_M \phi_j) \right) \\
 &-(p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( u dq^k du, u^2 (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} d(\Delta_M \phi_j) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 p \left( \Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) &= \left( u \Delta_M q^k, u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) + \left( u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M^2 \phi_j \right) \\
 &\quad - (p-1)(p-2) \left( u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \Delta_M \phi_j; du \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 p \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( u \Delta_M q^k, u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M^2 \phi_j \right) \\
 &\quad - (p-1)(p-2) \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \Delta_M \phi_j; du \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By properties of  $\{\phi_j\}$ , it follows that for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\phi_j(x) \rightarrow 1$ ,  $d\phi_j(x) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta_M \phi_j(x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Delta_M^2 \phi_j(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we apply dominated convergence theorem by using the assumption (7), the assumption  $|u|^p q^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \in L^1(M)$  and the condition (iv), we obtain (10).

We now prove (11): Since  $u \in L^p(M) \cap C^1(M)$ , using (8), integration by parts, product rule of  $d$ , the definition of  $\Delta_M = d^* d$ , and the formula  $d(u_\epsilon) = \frac{u du}{u_\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) &= \left( \Delta_M^2 u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \Delta_M^2 u, q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( d(\Delta_M u), d\left( q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( d(\Delta_M u), q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d\phi_j \right) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( d(\Delta_M u), q^k (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j; du \right) \\
 &\quad + (p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( d(\Delta_M u), q^k u^2 (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} \phi_j; du \right) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( d(\Delta_M u), u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j; dq^k \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\
 &= \left( d(\Delta_M u), q^k u |u|^{p-2} d\phi_j \right) + \left( d(\Delta_M u), u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j; dq^k \right) \\
 &\quad + (p-1) \left( d(\Delta_M u), q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j; du \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \Delta_M u, d^* \left( q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d\phi_j \right) \right) + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \Delta_M u, d^* \left( q^k (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j; du \right) \right) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \Delta_M u, d^* \left( u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j; dq^k \right) \right) + \left( qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

using the product rule (4) of  $d^*$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u d (q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2}), d\phi_j) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u, q^k u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u d (u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j), dq^k) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} ((\Delta_M u) u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j, \Delta_M q^k) \\ &\quad - (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u d (q^k (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j), du) \\ &\quad + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u q^k (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j, \Delta_M u) + (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j), \end{aligned}$$

using the product rule of  $d$  again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) &= - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u dq^k, u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d\phi_j) - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u q^k du, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d\phi_j) \\ &\quad - (p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u q^k du, u^2 (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} d\phi_j) \\ &\quad + (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u d\phi_j, u (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} dq^k) \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u \phi_j du, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} dq^k) + ((\Delta_M u) u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j, \Delta_M q^k) \\ &\quad - (p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u \phi_j du, u^2 (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} dq^k) \\ &\quad + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u dq^k, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} \phi_j du) \\ &\quad + (p-1) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u q^k, (u_\epsilon)^{p-2} d\phi_j du) \\ &\quad - (p-1)(p-2) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\Delta_M u q^k du, u (u_\epsilon)^{p-4} \phi_j du) \\ &\quad + (p-1) (\Delta_M u q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j, \Delta_M u) + (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) &= -(p-1)(p-2) (\Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du) \\ &\quad + (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) + (p-1) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) \\ &\quad + (\Delta_M u, u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) + (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We now estimate the term  $(\Delta_M u, u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M q^k)$ .

Using the assumption (7), we get

$$|\Delta_M q^k| \leq \sigma |k| q^{k+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{13}$$

Using (13) and the inequality  $ab \leq (p-1)a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4(p-1)}$ , for all  $0 \leq a, b \in R$ , we have

$$\left| (\Delta_M u, u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) \right| \leq \int_M |\Delta_M u| |\Delta_M q^k| |u|^{p-1} \phi_j d\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_M \sigma |\Delta_M u| |k| q^{k+\frac{1}{2}} |u|^{p-1} \phi_j d\mu \\
 &= \int_M \left( |\Delta_M u| |u|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \phi_j^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{k}{2}} \right) \left( \sigma |k| q^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \phi_j^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) d\mu \\
 &\leq (p-1) \int_M |\Delta_M u|^2 |u|^{p-2} \phi_j q^k d\mu + \frac{\sigma^2 k^2}{4(p-1)} \int_M q^{k+1} \phi_j |u|^p d\mu \\
 &= (p-1) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) + \frac{\sigma^2 k^2}{4(p-1)} (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) \\
 &= (p-1) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) + (1-\alpha) (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j), \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2 k^2}{4(p-1)}$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

From (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta_M u, u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) &\geq - \left| (\Delta_M u, u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M q^k) \right| \\
 &\geq (1-p) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) + (\alpha - 1) (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j). \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (15) into (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) &\geq -(p-1)(p-2) (\Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du) \\
 &\quad + (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) + (p-1) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) \\
 &\quad + (1-p) (\Delta_M u, q^k |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \Delta_M u) \\
 &\quad + (\alpha - 1) (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) + (qu, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) \\
 &= -(p-1)(p-2) (\Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du) \\
 &\quad + (\Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j) + \alpha (u, q^{k+1} u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j). \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the inequality:

$$|ab| \leq \frac{|a|^p}{\lambda^p} + \lambda |b|^t, \tag{17}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $\phi_j \leq 1$  and  $t = \frac{p}{p-1} > 1$ , this implies  $(\phi_j)^t \leq \phi_j$ .

Using this and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) &\leq \left| (f, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \int_M |f|^p d\mu + \lambda \int_M (\phi_j)^t q^{kt} |u|^t |u|^{(p-2)t} d\mu \\
 &\leq \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p + \lambda \int_M \phi_j q^{kt} |u|^t |u|^{(p-2)t} d\mu \\
 &= \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p + \lambda \left( q^{\frac{kp}{p-1}} |u|, \phi_j |u|^{p-1} \right). \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (18) into (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) + \alpha \left( u, q^{k+1} u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \\ & - (p-1)(p-2) \left( \Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du \right) \leq \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p + \lambda \left( q^{\frac{kp}{p-1}} |u|, \phi_j |u|^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k \leq p - 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is arbitrary, we can choose a sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & - (p-1)(p-2) \left( \Delta_M u q^k du, u |u|^{p-4} \phi_j du \right) \\ & + \left( \Delta_M u, q^k u |u|^{p-2} \Delta_M \phi_j \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( u, q^{k+1} u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right) \leq \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\int_M q^{k+1} |u|^p \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( u, q^{k+1} u |u|^{p-2} \phi_j \right). \tag{20}$$

Combining (19) and (20) and using (9) and (10), we obtain  $\int_M q^{k+1} |u|^p \, d\mu \leq C_1 \|f\|_p^p$ , where  $C_1 \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ , which is the proof of (11) and the lemma.  $\square$

**Preparatory result**

The following proposition is the most important result of this section.

**Proposition 1** *Assume that  $(M, g)$  is a connected  $C^\infty$ -Riemannian manifold without boundary, with metric  $g$  and has bounded geometry. Assume that the hypotheses (7), (8), and (9) of the Lemma 1 are satisfied. Then*

$$\|qu\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p, \tag{21}$$

where  $C \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ .

*Proof* Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $\frac{m}{2} < p \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$ . By the result (11) in Lemma 1 with  $k = -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{m}{2}$ , we get  $q^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|^p \in L^1(M), q |u|^p \in L^1(M), \dots, q^{\frac{m}{2}+1} |u|^p \in L^1(M)$ . Since  $q(x) \geq \gamma > 0$ , thus  $|u|^p q^{p-\frac{1}{2}} = |u|^p q^{\frac{m}{2}+1} q^\beta \leq |u|^p q^{\frac{m}{2}+1} \gamma^\beta$ , where  $\beta = p - \frac{m+1}{2} \leq 0$ . This implies  $|u|^p q^{(p-1)+\frac{1}{2}} \in L^1(M)$ , so by (11) (for  $k = p - 1$ ), we obtain  $q^p |u|^p \in L^1(M)$  and  $\int_M q^p |u|^p \, d\mu \leq C_1 \|f\|_p^p$ , which implies  $\|qu\|_p^p \leq C_1 \|f\|_p^p$ , that is  $\|qu\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$ , where  $C \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ . Hence, the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, and let  $u \in L^1_{loc}(M), \Delta_M u \in L^1_{loc}(M)$ . Then,  $\Delta_M^2 |u| \leq \text{Re}((\Delta_M^2 u) \text{sign} \bar{u})$ , where  $\text{sign} u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{u(x)}{|u(x)|} & \text{if } u(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . See [13].*

**Distributional inequality** For  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we consider the inequality,  $(\Delta_M^2 + \lambda) u = \nu \geq 0, u \in L^p(M)$ , where  $\nu \geq 0$  means that  $\nu$  is a positive distribution, i.e.,  $\langle \nu, \phi \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $0 \leq \phi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ . See [14].

**Lemma 3** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a manifold of bounded geometry and let  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $u \in L^p(M)$  satisfies the distributional inequality:  $(\Delta_M^2 + \lambda) u \geq 0$ , then  $u \geq 0$  (almost every where or, equivalently, as a distribution). See [15].*



**Lemma 4** *If  $u \in L^p(M)$  satisfies the equation  $\Delta_M^2 u + qu = 0$ , (which is understood in distributional sense), then  $u = 0$ .*

*Proof* Since  $q \in C^1(M) \subset L^\infty_{loc}(M)$ , it follows that  $qu \in L^1_{loc}(M)$ . Since we have  $\Delta_M^2 u + qu = 0$ , it follows that  $\Delta_M^2 u = -qu \in L^1_{loc}(M)$ . From Lemma 2 and the assumption  $q \geq \gamma > 0$ , we get

$$\Delta_M^2 |u| \leq \operatorname{Re}((\Delta_M^2 u) \operatorname{sign} \bar{u}) = -\operatorname{Re}((qu) \operatorname{sign} \bar{u}) = -qu \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} = -q \frac{|u|^2}{|u|} = -q|u| \leq -\gamma|u|,$$

which implies  $(\Delta_M^2 + \gamma)|u| \leq 0$ . From Lemma 3, we get  $|u| \leq 0$ . This implies  $u = 0$ , hence the proof.  $\square$

### The Main result

We now introduce our main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1** *Assume that  $(M, g)$  is a connected  $C^\infty$ -Riemannian manifold without boundary, with metric  $g$  and has bounded geometry. Assume that the assumption (7) of the Lemma 1 is satisfied. Then*

$$\|qu\|_p \leq C \|Au\|_p, \text{ for all } u \in D_p, \tag{22}$$

where  $C \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ .

*Proof* Let  $u \in D_p$  and

$$(\Delta_M^2 + q)u = f, \tag{23}$$

so  $f \in L^p(M)$ . Thus, there exist a sequence  $(f_j)$  in  $C_c^\infty(M)$  such that  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(M)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $T$  be the closure of  $(\Delta_M^2 + q)|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$  in  $L^p(M)$ . By [15], it follows that:

- (i)  $\operatorname{Dom}(T) = D_p$ , and  $Tu = (\Delta_M^2 + q)u$  for all  $u \in D_p$ .
- (ii) The operator  $T$  is invertible, and  $T^{-1} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$  is a bounded linear operator.

Consider the sequence  $T^{-1}f_j = w_j$ , since  $T^{-1} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$  is a bounded linear operator, so  $w_j \rightarrow T^{-1}f$  in  $L^p(M)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $w = T^{-1}f$ . Using the property (i) of  $T$ , we get

$$(\Delta_M^2 + q)w = f. \tag{24}$$

From (23) and (24), we get  $(\Delta_M^2 + q)(u - w) = 0$ . By Lemma 4, we obtain  $u = w$ . Since  $T^{-1}f_j = w_j$ , it follows that  $w_j \in D_p$ , and by the property (i) of  $T$ , we get

$$(\Delta_M^2 + q)w_j = f_j. \tag{25}$$

In (25), we have  $q \in C^1(M)$  and  $f_j \in C_c^\infty(M)$ , so by elliptic regularity, we get  $w_j \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(M)$ . By Sobolev embedding theorem [16], we get  $w_j \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(M) \subset L^t_{loc}(M)$ , where  $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{m}$ . Hence,  $qw_j \in L^t_{loc}(M)$ . Using elliptic regularity again, we get  $w_j \in W^{2,t}_{loc}(M)$  with  $t > p$ . Applying the same procedure, we will obtain  $w_j \in C^1(M)$ . Thus,  $w_j \in C^1(M) \cap L^p(M)$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1. From (25) for  $j, r = 1, 2, \dots$ , we get  $(\Delta_M^2 + q)(w_j - w_r) = f_j - f_r$ . Also, from (21), we get

$$\|q(w_j - w_r)\|_p \leq C \|f_j - f_r\|_p. \tag{26}$$

Since  $(f_j)$  is a cauchy sequence in  $L^p(M)$ , from (26), it follows that  $(qw_j)$  is also a cauchy sequence in  $L^p(M)$ , which implies  $(qw_j)$  converges to  $s \in L^p(M)$ . Let  $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ , then  $0 = (qw_j, \Psi) - (w_j, q\Psi) \rightarrow (s, \Psi) - (w, q\Psi) = (s - qw, \Psi)$ . So  $qw = s$  (because  $C_c^\infty(M)$  is dense in  $L^p(M)$ ). Hence,  $qw_j \rightarrow qw$  in  $L^p(M)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . But, we have  $u = w$ , so  $qu = qw$ . Since we have  $\|qw_j\|_p \leq C \|f_j\|_p$ , by taking the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\|qu\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p = C \|Au\|_p$ , where  $C \geq 0$  is a constant independent of  $u$ . This concludes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

The author read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

Not applicable.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The author declare that he have no competing interests.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 November 2018 Accepted: 4 March 2019

Published online: 01 August 2019

#### References

1. Everitt, W. N., Giertz, M.: Some properties of the domains of certain differential operators. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **23**, 301–324 (1971)
2. Atia, H. A.: Separation problem for second order elliptic differential operators on Riemannian manifolds. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **19**(2), 229–240 (2015)
3. Biomatov, K. Kh.: Coercive estimates and separation for second order elliptic differential equations. Sov. Math. Dokl. **38**(1), 157–160 (1989)
4. Everitt, W. N., Giertz, M.: Inequalities and separation for Schrodinger-type operators in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. **79** A, 257–265 (1977)
5. Milatovic, O.: Separation property for Schrodinger operators on Riemannian manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **56**, 1283–1293 (2006)
6. Eichhorn, J.: Elliptic differential operators on non compact manifolds. Teubner-Texte Math. **106**, 4–169 (1988). Teubnet, Leipzig, Berlin, 1986/87
7. Masamune, J.: Essential self adjointness of Laplacians on Riemannian manifolds with fractal boundary. Commun. Partial Differ. Equat. **24**(3–4), 749–757 (1999)
8. Shubin, M. A.: Essential self-adjointness for semi bounded magnetic Schrodinger operators on non-compact manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. **186**, 92–116 (2001)
9. Atia, H. A., Alsaedi, R. A., Ramady, A.: Separation of bi-harmonic differential operators on Riemannian manifolds. Forum Math. **26**(3), 953–966 (2014)
10. Atia, H. A.: Magnetic bi-harmonic differential operators on Riemannian manifolds and the separation problem. J. Contemp. Math. Anal. **51**(5), 222–226 (2016)
11. Milatovic, O.: Separation property for Schrodinger operators in  $L^p$ -spaces on non-compact manifolds. Complex Variables Elliptic Equat. **58**(6), 853–864 (2013)
12. Shubin, M. A.: Spectral theory of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. Asterisque. **207**, 35–108 (1992)
13. Braverman, M., Milatovic, O., Shubin, M.: Essential self-adjointness of Schrodinger type operators on manifolds. Russ. Math. Surv. **57**(4), 641–692 (2002)
14. Gelfand, I. M., Vilenkin, N. Ya.: Generalized Functions, applications of harmonic analysis. Academic Press, New York (1964)
15. Milatovic, O.: On  $m$ -accretive Schrodinger operators in  $L^p$ -spaces on manifolds of bounded geometry. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324**, 762–772 (2006)
16. Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N. S.: Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Springer, New York (1998)