



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Positive solutions of a quadratic integro-differential equation



F.M. Gaafar

Faculty of Science, Damanhour University, Damanhour, Egypt

Received 6 May 2013; revised 16 July 2013; accepted 25 July 2013

Available online 29 September 2013

**KEYWORDS**

Integro-differential quadratic equation;  
 Measure of noncompactness;  
 Positive absolute continuous solutions

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the existence of at least one positive and nondecreasing solution for the initial value problem of a quadratic integro-differential equation by applying the technique of measure of noncompactness. Some examples will be included to illustrate the obtained results.

**MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION:** 11D09; 47Gxx; 47H10; 45G10

© 2013 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.  
 Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

**1. Introduction**

The study of initial value problems of nonlinear quadratic functional differential and integral equations is initiated in the works of Dhage [1] and Dhage and O'Regan [2]. The theory of quadratic integral equations is also intensively studied and finds numerous applications in describing real world problems (see [3–21] for instance). Many authors studied the existence of solutions for several classes of nonlinear quadratic integral equations with nonsingular kernels (see e.g. [4–8] and the references therein). The quadratic integral equation can be very often encountered in many applications (see [11–16] and the references therein).

Many papers studied the existence of integrable solutions (see [13,15,21]) by applying the technique of measure of noncompactness.

In this paper, we deal with the quadratic integro differential equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^t f_1(s, x'(s)) ds \int_0^t f_2(s, x'(s)) ds \quad a.e., \quad t \in (0, 1] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{with } x(0) = x_0 \quad (2)$$

We prove the existence of at least one solution  $x \in AC(0, 1]$  (absolutely continuous on  $(0, 1]$ ) of the quadratic integro differential Eq. (1) with the initial condition (2) where the functions  $f_i(t, x(t))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are  $L_1$ -Carathéodory functions. Our proof depends on the measure of noncompactness. In fact, our result in this paper is motivated by the extension of the work of El-Sayed and Hashem [13].

**2. Definitions and auxiliary facts**

In this section, we collect some definitions and results needed in our further investigations.

E-mail address: [fatmagaafar2@yahoo.com](mailto:fatmagaafar2@yahoo.com)

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Let  $L_1 = L_1(I)$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$  be denoted the space of lebesgue integrable functions on  $I$  and the norm in  $L_1(I)$  is defined by

$$\|x\|_{L_1} = \int_0^1 |x(t)|dt$$

Assume that the function  $f: I \times R \rightarrow R$  satisfies Carathéodory condition i.e., it is measurable in  $t$  for any  $x$  and continuous in  $x$  for almost all  $t$ . Then to every function  $x(t)$  being measurable on the interval  $I$  we may assign the function

$$(Fx)(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I.$$

The operator  $F$  defined in such a way is called the superposition operator. This operator is one of the simplest and most important operators investigated in nonlinear functional analysis. For this operator we have the following theorem due to Krasnosel'skii [22].

**Theorem 1.** *The superposition operator  $F$  maps  $L_1$  into itself if and only if*

$$|f(t, x)| \leq c(t) + k|x| \text{ for all } t \in I$$

and  $x \in R$ , where  $c(t)$  is a function from  $L_1$  and  $k$  is a nonnegative constant.

Now let  $E$  be a Banach space with zero element  $\theta$  and let  $X$  be a nonempty bounded subset of  $E$ . Moreover denote by  $B_r = B(\theta, r)$  the closed ball in  $E$  centered at  $\theta$  and with radius  $r$ . In the sequel we shall need some criteria for compactness in measure; the complete description of compactness in measure was given by Fréchet [22], but the following sufficient condition will be more convenient for our purposes (see [22]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a bounded subset of  $L_1$ . Assume that there is a family of subsets  $(\Omega_c)_{0 \leq c \leq b-a}$  of the interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $\text{meas } \Omega_c = c$  for every  $c \in [0, b - a]$ , and for every  $x \in X$ ,  $x(t_1) \leq x(t_2)$ ,  $(t_1 \in \Omega_c, t_2 \notin \Omega_c)$ , then the set  $X$  is compact in measure.*

The measure of weak noncompactness defined by De Blasi [3,20] is given by,

$$\beta(X) = \inf\{r > 0$$

: there exists a weakly compact subset  $Y$  of  $E$  such that  $X \subset Y + K_r$

The function  $\beta(X)$  possesses several useful properties which may be found in [20]. The convenient formula for the function  $\beta(X)$  in  $L_1$  was given by Appel and De Pascale (see [3])

$$\beta(X) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{x \in X} \left( \sup_{D \subset [a, b], \text{meas } D \leq \epsilon} \int_D |x(t)| dt \right) \right), \tag{3}$$

where the symbol  $\text{meas } D$  stands for Lebesgue measure of the set  $D$ .

Next, we shall also use the notion of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness  $\chi$  (see [22]) defined by

$$\chi(X) = \inf\{r > 0$$

: there exists a finite subset  $Y$  of  $E$  such that  $X \subset Y + K_r$

In the case when the set  $X$  is compact in measure, the Hausdorff and De Blasi measures of noncompactness will be identical. Namely we have (see [3,20])

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary nonempty bounded subset of  $L_1$ . If  $X$  is compact in measure then  $\beta(X) = \chi(X)$ .*

Finally, we will recall the fixed point theorem due to Darbo [7].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $Q$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of  $E$  and let  $H: Q \rightarrow Q$  be a continuous transformation which is a contraction with respect to the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness  $\chi$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\chi(HX) \leq \alpha\chi(X)$  for any nonempty subset  $X$  of  $Q$ . Then  $H$  has at least one fixed point in the set  $Q$ .*

### 3. Existence of solutions

Firstly, we study the existence of solutions of the quadratic integral equation

$$x(t) = f_1(t, x(t)) \int_0^t f_2(s, x(s)) ds + f_2(t, x(t)) \times \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s)) ds \tag{4}$$

Let the integral operator  $H_i$  be defined as

$$(H_i x)(t) = \int_0^t f_i(s, x(s)) ds, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then Eq. (4) may be written in operator form as:

$$(Ax)(t) = (F_1 x)(t) \cdot (H_2 x)(t) + (F_2 x)(t) \cdot (H_1 x)(t)$$

where  $(F_i x)(t) = f_i(t, x(t))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Consider the assumptions:

- (i)  $f_i: I \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  satisfy Carathéodory condition (i.e. measurable in  $t$  for all  $x \in R_+$  and continuous in  $x$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ) and there exist two functions  $a_1, a_2 \in L_1$  and constants  $b_1, b_2 > 0$  such that

$$f_i(t, x) \leq a_i(t) + b_i|x| \quad \forall (t, x) \in I \times R_+.$$

Moreover,  $f_i(t, x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are a.e. nondecreasing in both variables;

- (ii) Let  $d > \sqrt{16 b_1 b_2 \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\|}$ , where  $d = 1 - 2b_1 \|a_2\| - 2b_2 \|a_1\|$ .

Now let  $r$  be a positive root of the equation

$$2b_1 b_2 r^2 - (1 - 2b_1 \|a_2\| - 2b_2 \|a_1\|) r + 2\|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\| = 0.$$

Define the set

$$B_r = \{x \in L_1 : \|x\| \leq r\}.$$

For the existence of at least one  $L_1$ -positive solution of the quadratic integral Eq. (4) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let the assumptions (i) and (ii) be satisfied. If  $2rb_1 b_2 < 1$ , then the quadratic integral Eq. (4) has at least one solution  $x \in L_1$  which is positive and a.e. nondecreasing on  $I$ .*

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary  $x \in L_1$ , then, we get

$$|(Ax)(t)| \leq (a_1(t) + b_1|x(t)|) \int_0^t (a_2(s) + b_2|x(s)|) ds + (a_2(t) + b_2|x(t)|) \int_0^t (a_1(s) + b_1|x(s)|) ds$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Ax)(t)\| &= \int_0^1 |(Ax)(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 a_1(t) \int_0^t a_2(s) ds dt + b_2 \int_0^1 a_1(t) \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt \\ &\quad + b_1 \int_0^1 |x(t)| \int_0^t a_2(s) ds dt + b_1 b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 |x(t)| \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt + \int_0^1 a_2(t) \int_0^t a_1(s) ds dt \\ &\quad + b_1 \int_0^1 a_2(t) \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt + b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 |x(t)| \int_0^t a_1(s) ds dt + b_1 b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 |x(t)| \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 a_2(s) \int_s^1 a_1(t) dt ds + b_2 \int_0^1 |x(s)| \int_s^1 a_1(t) dt ds \\ &\quad + b_1 \int_0^1 a_2(s) \int_s^1 |x(t)| dt ds + 2b_1 b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 |x(s)| \int_s^1 |x(t)| dt ds + \int_0^1 a_1(s) \int_s^1 a_2(t) dt ds \\ &\quad + b_1 \int_0^1 |x(s)| \int_s^1 a_2(t) dt ds + b_2 \int_0^1 a_1(s) \\ &\quad \times \int_s^1 |x(t)| dt ds \\ &\leq \|a_1\| \int_0^1 a_2(s) ds + b_2 \|a_1\| \int_0^1 |x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + b_1 \|x\| \int_0^1 a_2(s) ds + 2b_1 b_2 \|x\| \int_0^1 |x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \|a_2\| \int_0^1 a_1(s) ds + b_1 \|a_2\| \int_0^1 |x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + b_2 \|x\| \int_0^1 a_1(s) ds \\ &\leq 2\|a_1\| \|a_2\| + 2b_1 \|x\| \|a_2\| + 2b_2 \|a_1\| \|x\| \\ &\quad + 2b_1 b_2 \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate we show that the operator  $A$  maps the ball  $B_r$  into itself with

$$r = \frac{d - \sqrt{d^2 - 16b_1 b_2 \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\|}}{2b_1 b_2}.$$

From assumption (ii) we have

$$0 < d^2 - 16b_1 b_2 \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\| < d^2,$$

which implies that

$$0 < \sqrt{d^2 - 16b_1 b_2 \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\|} < d.$$

Then  $d$  is positive which implies that  $r$  is a positive constant.

Now, let  $Q_r$  denote the subset of  $B_r \in L_1$  consisting of all functions which are a.e. nondecreasing on  $I$ .

The set  $Q_r$  is nonempty, bounded, convex and closed (see Banaś [22, pp. 780]). Moreover this set is compact in measure (see Lemma 2 in [23, pp. 63]).

From assumption (i) we deduce that the operator  $A$  maps  $Q_r$  into itself. Since the operator  $(F_i x)(t) = f_i(t, x(t))$  is continuous (Theorem 1 in Section 2), then the operator  $H_i$  is continuous and hence the product  $F_i H_i$  is continuous. Thus the operator  $A$  is continuous on  $Q_r$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of  $Q_r$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and take a measurable subset  $D \subset I$  such that  $\text{meas } D \leq \epsilon$ . Then, for any  $x \in X$ , using the same reasoning as in [22,23], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_{L_1(D)} &= \int_D |(Ax)(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_D a_1(t) \int_0^t a_2(s) ds dt + \int_D a_2(t) \int_0^t a_1(s) ds dt + b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_D a_1(t) \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt + b_1 \int_D a_2(t) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt + b_1 \int_D |x(t)| \int_0^t a_2(s) ds dt + b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_D |x(t)| \int_0^t a_1(s) ds dt + 2b_1 b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_D |x(t)| \int_0^t |x(s)| ds dt \\ &\leq \int_D a_2(s) \int_D a_1(t) dt ds + \int_D a_1(s) \int_D a_2(t) dt ds + b_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_D |x(s)| \int_D a_1(t) dt ds + b_1 \int_D |x(s)| \int_D a_2(t) dt ds \\ &\quad + b_1 \int_D a_2(s) \int_D |x(t)| dt ds + b_2 \int_D a_1(s) \\ &\quad \times \int_D |x(t)| dt ds + 2b_1 b_2 \int_D |x(s)| \int_D |x(t)| dt ds \\ &\leq \|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \int_D a_2(s) ds + \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} \int_D a_1(s) ds + b_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_D a_2(s) \int_D |x(t)| dt ds + b_2 \int_D a_1(s) \int_D |x(t)| dt ds \\ &\quad + b_2 \|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \int_D |x(s)| ds + b_1 \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} \int_D |x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + 2b_1 b_2 \int_D |x(s)| \int_D |x(t)| dt ds \\ &\leq 2\|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} + 2b_1 \|x\|_{L_1(D)} \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} \\ &\quad + 2b_2 \|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \|x\|_{L_1(D)} + 2b_1 b_2 \|x\|_{L_1(D)} \|x\|_{L_1(D)} \\ &\leq 2\|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} + rb_1 \|a_2\|_{L_1(D)} + rb_2 \|a_1\|_{L_1(D)} \\ &\quad + 2rb_1 b_2 \|x\|_{L_1(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \{\sup\{ \int_D |a_i(t)| dt : D \subset I, \text{ meas } D < \epsilon \}\} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We obtain

$$\beta(Ax(t)) \leq 2rb_1 b_2 \beta(x(t)).$$

This implies

$$\beta(AX) \leq 2rb_1b_2\beta(X), \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta$  is the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness.

Keeping in mind Theorem 3 we can write (5) in the form

$$\chi(AX) \leq 2rb_1b_2\chi(X),$$

where  $\chi$  is the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Since  $2r b_1b_2 < 1$ , from Theorem 4 follows that  $A$  is contraction with respect to the measure of noncompactness  $\chi$ . Thus  $A$  has at least one fixed point in  $Q_r$  which is a solution of the quadratic integral Eq. (4).  $\square$

**Definition 6.** By a solution of the problem of quadratic integro-differential Eqs. (1) and (2) we mean a function  $x \in AC(0, 1]$  and this function satisfies (1) and (2).

**Theorem 7.** Let the assumption of Theorem 5 are satisfied, then there exists at least one solution  $x \in AC(0, 1]$  of the quadratic integro-differential Eqs. (1) and (2) which is positive and nondecreasing on  $I$ .

**Proof.** Differentiation both sides of (1), we obtain

$$x'(t) = f_1(t, x'(t)) \int_0^t f_2(s, x'(s)) ds + f_2(t, x'(t)) \int_0^t f_1(s, x'(s)) ds$$

put  $x'(t) = u(t) \in L_1$ , then (1) will be similar to (4), and,

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t u(s) ds \in AC(0, 1],$$

and then from Theorem 5 there exist at least one positive and nondecreasing solution of (1) and (2).  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section we provide some examples illustrating our result obtained in Theorem 7.

**Example 8.** Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \left( \int_0^t f(s, x'(s)) ds \right)^2, & a.e. t \in (0, 1] \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

then this problem has at least one positive and nondecreasing solution  $x \in AC(0, 1]$ , by taking  $f_1(t, x(t)) = f_2(t, x(t))$  in Eq. (1).

**Example 9.** Consider the quadratic integro-differential problem

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_0^t \left( \frac{t}{10} + \frac{1}{3-t} x'(s) \right) ds \int_0^t \left( -\frac{1}{6} \ln(1-s) + \frac{1}{3-t} x'(s) \right) ds, & a.e. t \in (0, 1] \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

observe that the above problem is a special case of (1) and (2). Indeed if we put

$$f_1(t, y) = \frac{t}{10} + \frac{1}{3-t} y(t), \quad f_2(t, y) = -\frac{1}{6} \ln(1-t) + \frac{1}{3-t} y(t).$$

Then we can easy check that the assumptions of Theorem 7 are satisfied, then the problem has at least one positive and nondecreasing positive solution  $x \in AC(0, 1]$ .

#### Acknowledgement

The author is extending his heartfelt thanks to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions for the improvement of the article.

#### References

- [1] B.C. Dhage, On  $\alpha$ -condensing mappings in Banach algebras, *Math. Student* 63 (1994) 149–152.
- [2] B.C. Dhage, D. O'Regan, A fixed point theorem in Banach algebras with applications to nonlinear integral equation, *Funct. Diff. Equat.* 7 (3–4) (2000) 259–267.
- [3] J. Appell, E. De Pascale, Su. alcuni parametri connessi con la misuradi non compactezza di Hausdorff in spazi di funzioni misurabili, *Boll. Union Mat. Ital.* 6 (3) (1984) 497–515.
- [4] I.K. Argyros, On a class of quadratic integral equations with perturbations, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 20 (1992) 51–63.
- [5] J. Banaś, L. Olszpwz, Measures of noncompactness related to monotonicity, *Comment. Math.* 41 (2001) 13–23.
- [6] J. Banaś, A. Martinon, Monotonic solutions of a quadratic integral equation of Volterra type, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 47 (2–3) (2004) 271–279.
- [7] J. Banaś, K. Goebel, Measure of noncompactness in Banach space, *Lect. Note Pure Appl. Math.* (60) (1980).
- [8] J. Banaś, B. Rzepka, Monotonic solutions of a quadratic integral equations of fractional order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332 (2007) 1370–1378.
- [9] B.C. Dhage, Nonlinear quadratic first order functional integro-differentiak equations with periodic boundary conditions, *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* 18 (2009) 303–322.
- [10] A.M.A. El-Sayed, H.H.G. Hashem, Monotonic positive solution of nonlinear quadratic Hammerstein and Urysohn functional integral equations, *Comment. Math.* 48 (2008) 199–207.
- [11] C.T. Kelly, Approximation of solutions of some quadratic integral equations in transport theory, *J. Integr. Equat.* 4 (1982) 221–237.
- [12] S. Hu, M. Khavanin, W. Zhuang, Integral equations arising in the kinetic theory of gases, *Appl. Anal.* 34 (1989) 261–266.
- [13] A.M.A. El-Sayed, H.H.G. Hashem, Monotonic positive solution of a nonlinear quadratic functional integral equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 216 (2010) 2576–2580.
- [14] A.M.A. El-Sayed, M.M. Saleh, E.A.A. Ziada, Numerical and analytic solution for nonlinear quadratic integral equations, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* 12 (8) (2008) 183–191.
- [15] H.H.G. Hashem, A.R. Al-Rwaily, Asymptotic stability of solutions to a nonlinear Urysohn quadratic integral equation, *Hindawi Publ. Corporat. Int. J. Anal.* 4 (2013) 7. Article ID 259418.
- [16] H.A.H. Salem, On the quadratic integral equations and their applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011) 2931–2943.
- [17] I.K. Argyros, Quadratic equations and applications to Chandrasekhars and related equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 32 (1985) 275–292.
- [18] J. Banaś, M. Lecko, W.G. El-Sayed, Existence theorems of some quadratic integral equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 227 (1998) 276–279.

- [19] J. Banaś, J.R. Rodriguez, K. Sadarangani, On a nonlinear quadratic integral equation of Urysohn–Stieltjes type and its applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 47 (2001) 1175–1186.
- [20] F.S. De Blasi, On a property of the unit sphere in Banach spaces, *Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roum.* 21 (3–4) (1977) 259–262.
- [21] M. Cichon, M.A. Metwali, On quadratic integral equations in Orlicz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 387 (1) (2012) 419–432.
- [22] J. Banaś, On the superposition operator and integrable solutions of some functional equations, *Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A.* 12 (1988) 777–784.
- [23] J. Banaś, Integrable solutions of Hammerstein and Urysohn integral equations, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* 46 (1989) 61–68.