



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

On left (θ, ϕ) -derivations in BCI -algebrasG. Muhiuddin ^{a,*}, Abdullah M. Al-roqi ^{a,b}^a Department of Mathematics, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia^b Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Received 15 April 2013; revised 19 June 2013; accepted 24 July 2013

Available online 17 September 2013

KEYWORDS

BCI -algebra;
 p -Semisimple BCI -algebra;
Left (θ, ϕ) -derivations;
Regular left (θ, ϕ) -derivations;
 $D(\theta, \phi)$ -invariant left (θ, ϕ) -derivation;
 θ -ideal

Abstract The notion of (regular) left (θ, ϕ) -derivations of a BCI -algebra is introduced, some useful examples are discussed, and related properties are investigated. Conditions for a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation to be regular are provided. The concepts of a $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ -invariant left (θ, ϕ) -derivation and θ -ideal are introduced, and their relations are discussed. Furthermore, some more interesting results are established.

2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 03G25; 06F35; 06A99

© 2013 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](#).

1. Introduction

Several authors [2–5] have studied derivations in rings and near-rings. Jun and Xin [6] applied the notion of derivation in ring and near-ring theory to BCI -algebras, and as a result, they introduced a new concept, called a (regular) derivation, in BCI -algebras. Using this concept as defined, they investigated some of its properties. Using the notion of a regular derivation, they also established characterizations of a p -semisimple BCI -algebra. For a self-map d of a BCI -algebra, they defined a d -invariant ideal and gave conditions for an ideal to be d -invariant. After the work of Jun and Xin [6], many research articles have been appeared on the derivations of BCI -algebras, and a greater interest has been devoted to

the study of derivation in BCI -algebras (see [1,7–11]). In [1], Abujabal and Al-Shehri introduced the notion of left derivation of a BCI -algebra and investigated some related properties.

The aim of this paper is to consider the generalizations of some results of Abujabal and Al-Shehri [1]. We introduce the notion of left (θ, ϕ) -derivations of a BCI -algebra X and investigate related properties. We provide conditions for a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation to be regular. We also introduce the concepts of a $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ -invariant left (θ, ϕ) -derivation and θ -ideal, and then, we investigate their relations. Finally, we establish some more interesting results.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following definitions and properties that will be needed in the sequel.

A nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ is called a BCI -algebra if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- (I) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
(II) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +966 595702997.

E-mail address: chishtygm@gmail.com (G. Muhiuddin).

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

- (III) $x * x = 0$,
- (IV) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

Define a binary relation \leq on X by letting $x * y = 0$ if and only if $x \leq y$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set. A BCI-algebra X satisfying $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in X$, is called BCK-algebra.

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties: for all $x, y, z \in X$

- (a1) $x * 0 = x$.
- (a2) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.
- (a3) $x \leq y$ implies $x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$.
- (a4) $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$.
- (a5) $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$.
- (a6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$.
- (a7) $x * 0 = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

For a BCI-algebra X , denote by X_+ (resp. $G(X)$) the BCK-part (resp. the BCI-G part) of X , i.e., X_+ is the set of all $x \in X$ such that $0 \leq x$ (resp. $G(X) := \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = x\}$). Note that $G(X) \cap X_+ = \{0\}$ (see [12]). If $X_+ = \{0\}$, then X is called a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra*. In a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra* X , the following hold:

- (a8) $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$.
- (a9) $0 * (0 * x) = x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (a10) $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$.
- (a11) $x * y = 0$ implies $x = y$.
- (a12) $x * a = x * b$ implies $a = b$.
- (a13) $a * x = b * x$ implies $a = b$.
- (a14) $a * (a * x) = x$.

Let X be a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra*. We define addition “+” as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 and $x - y = x * y$. Conversely let $(X, +)$ be an abelian group with identity 0 and let $x * y = x - y$. Then X is a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra* and $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (see [13]).

For a BCI-algebra X we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, in particular $0 * (0 * x) = a_x$, and $L_p(X) := \{a \in X \mid x * a = 0 \Rightarrow x = a, \forall x \in X\}$. We call the elements of $L_p(X)$ the *p-atoms* of X . For any $a \in X$, let $V(a) := \{x \in X \mid a * x = 0\}$, which is called the *branch* of X with respect to a . It follows that $x * y \in V(a * b)$ whenever $x \in V(a)$ and $y \in V(b)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $a, b \in L_p(X)$. Note that $L_p(X) = \{x \in X \mid a_x = x\}$, which is the *p-semisimple part* of X , and X is a *p-semisimple BCI-algebra* if and only if $L_p(X) = X$ (see [14, Proposition 3.2]). Note also that $a_x \in L_p(X)$, i.e., $0 * (0 * a_x) = a_x$, which implies that $a_x * y \in L_p(X)$ for all $y \in X$. It is clear that $G(X) \subset L_p(X)$, and $x * (x * a) = a$ and $a * x \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$ and all $x \in X$. A BCI-algebra X is said to be torsion free if $x + x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ for all $x \in X$ [10]. For more details, refer to [6,12–15].

3. Left (θ, ϕ) -derivations

In what follows θ, ϕ are endomorphisms and I_X is the identity map of a BCI-algebra X unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1 [1]. A self-map D of a BCI-algebra X is called a *left derivation* of X if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(D(x * y) = (x * D(y)) \wedge (y * D(x))).$$

Definition 3.2. A self-map $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ of a BCI-algebra X is called a *left (θ, ϕ) -derivation* of X if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x * y) = (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y)) \wedge (\phi(y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x))).$$

Note that if $\theta = \phi = I_X$, then the left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI-algebra X is a left derivation of a BCI-algebra X , In this case, $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is denoted by D .

Example 3.3. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, a, b\}$ with the following Cayley table:

*	0	a	b
0	0	0	b
a	a	0	b
b	b	b	0

(1) Define a map

$$d_{(\theta, \phi)} : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x \in \{0, a\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = b, \end{cases}$$

and define two endomorphisms

$$\theta : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0, a\}, \\ b & \text{if } x = b, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0, b\}, \\ a & \text{if } x = a. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of X .

(2) Define a map

$$d_{(\theta, \phi)} : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0, b\}, \\ a & \text{if } x = a, \end{cases}$$

and define two endomorphisms

$$\theta : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in \{0, a\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = b, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x \in \{0, b\}, \\ a & \text{if } x = a. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of X .

Proposition 3.4. Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI-algebra X . Then

- (1) $(\forall x \in X)(x \in L_p(X) \Rightarrow d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \in L_p(X))$.
- (2) $(\forall x \in L_p(X))(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) = \theta + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x))$.
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in L_p(X))(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + y) = \theta(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y))$.
- (4) $(\forall x \in X)(x \in G(X) \Rightarrow d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \in G(X))$.

Proof.

(1) For any $x \in L_p(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * (0 * x)) \\ &= (\theta(0) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x)) \wedge (\phi(0 * x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \\ &= (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x)) \wedge (\phi(0 * x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \\ &= (\phi(0 * x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) * ((\phi(0 * x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) * (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x))) \\ &= 0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x) \in L_p(X). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let for all $x \in L_p(X)$. By (1), we have $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \in L_p(X)$. Then

$$d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) = 0 * (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) = 0 + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x).$$

(3) For any $x, y \in L_p(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + y) &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x * (0 * y)) \\ &= (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * y)) \wedge (\phi(0 * y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (\phi(0 * y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * ((\phi(0 * y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * y))) \\ &= \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * y) \\ &= \theta(x) * ((\theta(0) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y)) \wedge (\phi(y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) \\ &= \theta(x) * (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y)) \\ &= \theta(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $x \in G(X)$. Then $0 * x = x$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x) \\ &= (\theta(0) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \wedge (\phi(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \\ &= (\phi(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) * ((\phi(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) * (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x))) \\ &= 0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \in L_p(X)$. Hence $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \in G(X)$. \square

If we take $\theta = \phi = I_X$ in Proposition 3.4, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 [1]. *Let D be a left derivation of a BCI -algebra X . Then*

- (1) $(\forall x \in X) (x \in L_p(X) \Rightarrow D(x) \in L_p(X))$.
- (2) $(\forall x \in X) (D(x) = 0 + D(x))$.
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in L_p(X)) (D(x + y) = x + D(y))$.
- (4) $(\forall x \in X) (x \in G(X) \Rightarrow D(x) \in G(X))$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI -algebra X . Then*

- (1) $(\forall x \in X) (x \in L_p(X) \Rightarrow d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) = \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = \theta(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$.
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in L_p(X)) (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + y) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$.
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in X) (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x * y) \leq \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y))$.
- (4) *If θ is the identity map on X , then $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is identity on $L_p(X)$ if and only if $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = 0$.*

Proof.

(1) For any $x \in L_p(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x * 0) = (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \wedge (\phi(0) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \wedge (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * ((0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) \\ &= (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * ((0 * (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= 0 * (0 * (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) \\ &= \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = \theta(x) * (0 * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \\ &= \theta(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) \in L_p(X)$ and $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) \in G(X)$.

(2) If $x, y \in L_p(X)$, then $x + y \in L_p(X)$. Using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + y) &= \theta(x + y) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = \theta(x) + \theta(y) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) \\ &= \theta(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(y) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) \\ &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0). \end{aligned}$$

(3) For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x * y) &= (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y)) \wedge (\phi(y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (\phi(y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * ((\phi(y) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) * (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y))) \\ &\leq \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

(4) It follows from (1). This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.7. A left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ of a BCI -algebra X is said to be *regular* if $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = 0$.

Example 3.8.

- (1) The left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ of X in Example 3.3(1) is not regular.
- (2) The left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ of X in Example 3.3(2) is regular.

Theorem 3.9. *If X is a BCK -algebra, then every left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of X is regular.*

Proof. Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCK -algebra X . Then by Proposition 3.6(3), we have $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0 * x) \leq \theta(0) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) = 0$ and we have $0 \leq d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)$, so we obtain $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) = 0$. Hence $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is regular. \square

In a BCI -algebra, Theorem 3.9 is not true as seen in the following example:

Example 3.10. In Example 3.3(1), $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ is a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI -algebra X which is not regular.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be a regular left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI -algebra X . Then*

- (1) *Both $\theta(x)$ and $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)$ belong to the same branch for all $x \in X$.*
- (2) $(\forall x \in X) (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) \leq \theta(x))$.
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in X) (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) * \theta(y) \leq \theta(x) * d_{(\theta, \phi)}(y))$.

Proof.

(1) For any $x \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(a_x * x) \\ &= (\theta(a_x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) \wedge (\phi(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(a_x)) \\ &= (\phi(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(a_x)) * ((\phi(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(a_x)) * (\theta(a_x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x))) \\ &= \theta(a_x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta(a_x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \in L_p(X)$. Hence $\theta(a_x) \leq d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)$, and so $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \in V(\theta(a_x))$. Obviously, $\theta(x) \in V(\theta(a_x))$.

(2) Since $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular, $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x * 0) \\ &= (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0)) \wedge (\phi(0) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) \\ &= (\theta(x) * 0) \wedge (0 * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) \\ &= (0 * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) * ((0 * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) * \theta(x)) \\ &\leq \theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Since $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \leq \theta(x)$ for all $x \in X$ by (2). Using (a3), we have

$$d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) * \theta(y) \leq \theta(x) * \theta(y) \leq \theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.12. For any left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ of a BCI-algebra X , the set

$$d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0) := \{x \in X \mid d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) = 0\}$$

is a subalgebra of X if $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, if θ is one-one and $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular, then $d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0) \subseteq X_+$.

Proof. Assume that $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x, y \in d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0)$. Then $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) = 0 = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y)$, and so

$$d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x * y) \leq \theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y) = 0 * 0 = 0$$

by Proposition 3.6(3). Hence $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x * y) = 0$ by (a7), that is, $x * y \in d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0)$. Hence $d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0)$ is a subalgebra of X .

Assume that θ is one-to-one and $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular. Let $x \in d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0)$. Then $0 = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \leq \theta(x)$ by Theorem 3.11(2), which implies that $\theta(x) \in X_+$, that is, $0 * \theta(x) = 0$. It follows that $\theta(0 * x) = \theta(0)$ so that $0 * x = 0$ since θ is one-one. Therefore, $x \in X_+$, and thus $d_{(\theta,\phi)}^{-1}(0) \subseteq X_+$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.13. For a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ of a BCI-algebra X , we say that an ideal A of X is a θ -ideal (resp. ϕ -ideal) if $\theta(A) \subseteq A$ (resp. $\phi(A) \subseteq A$).

Definition 3.14. For a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ of a BCI-algebra X , we say that an ideal A of X is $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant if $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(A) \subseteq A$.

Example 3.15. (1) Let $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of X which is described in Example 3.3 (1). We know that $A := \{0, a\}$ is both a θ -ideal and a ϕ -ideal of X . But $A := \{0, a\}$ is an ideal of X which is not $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant.

(2) Let $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of X which is described in Example 3.3(2). We know that $A := \{0, a\}$ is both

a θ -ideal and a ϕ -ideal of X . Also, $A := \{0, a\}$ is a $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant ideal of X .

Theorem 3.16. Let $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI-algebra X . Then $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular if and only if every θ -ideal of X is $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant.

Proof. Let A be a θ -ideal of X . Suppose $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular, then it follows from Theorem 3.11(2) that $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) \leq \theta(x)$ for all $x \in X$ which implies $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) * \theta(x) = 0$. Let $y \in X$ be such that $y \in d_{(\theta,\phi)}(A)$. Then $y = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)$ for some $x \in A$. Thus

$$y * \theta(x) = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) * \theta(x) = 0 \in A.$$

Note that $\theta(x) \in \theta(A) \subseteq A$. Since A is an ideal of X , it follows that $y \in A$ so that $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(A) \subseteq A$. Therefore, A is $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant.

Conversely, suppose that every θ -ideal of X is $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant. Since the zero ideal $\{0\}$ is clearly θ -ideal and $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ -invariant, we have $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(\{0\}) \subseteq \{0\}$, and so $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) = 0$. Hence $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ is regular. This completes the proof. \square

If we take $\theta = \phi = I_X$ in Theorem 3.16, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.17 [1]. Let D be a left derivation of a BCI-algebra X . Then D is regular if and only if every ideal of X is D -invariant.

Proposition 3.18. Let $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a p -semisimple BCI-algebra X . Then $(\forall x, y \in X)$ $(d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x * y) = \theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y))$.

Proof. Let X be a p -semisimple BCI-algebra. Then for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x * y) &= (\theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y)) \wedge (\phi(y) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x)) \\ &= \theta(x) * d_{(\theta,\phi)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

If we take $\theta = \phi = I_X$ in Proposition 3.18, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.19 [1]. Let D be a left derivation of a p -semisimple BCI-algebra X . Then $(\forall x, y \in X)$ $(D(x * y) = x * D(y))$.

Theorem 3.20. Let X be a torsion free BCI-algebra and $d_{(\theta,\phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation on X such that $\theta \circ d_{(\theta,\phi)} = d_{(\theta,\phi)}$. If $d_{(\theta,\phi)}^2 = 0$ on $Lp(X)$, then $d_{(\theta,\phi)} = 0$ on $Lp(X)$.

Proof. Let us suppose $d_{(\theta,\phi)}^2 = 0$ on $Lp(X)$. Let $x \in Lp(X)$, then $x + x \in Lp(X)$, $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x + x) \in Lp(X)$ by Proposition 3.4(1). Using Proposition 3.6(1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}^2(x + x) = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x + x)) \\ &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) + \theta(d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x + x)) = d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) + d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x + x) \\ &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) + d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) - d_{(\theta,\phi)}(0) \\ &= d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since X is a torsion free. Therefore, $d_{(\theta,\phi)}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in Lp(X)$ implying thereby $d_{(\theta,\phi)} = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.21. *Let X be a torsion free BCI-algebra and let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}, \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be two left (θ, ϕ) -derivations on X such that $\theta \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)} = \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}$. If $d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)} = 0$ on $L_p(X)$, then $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2 = 0$ on $L_p(X)$.*

Proof. Let us suppose $d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)} = 0$ on $L_p(X)$. Let $x \in L_p(X)$, then $x + x \in L_p(X)$, $d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x) \in L_p(X)$ by Proposition 3.4(1). Using Proposition 3.6(1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(x + x) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) \\ &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \\ &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= ((d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) * \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) * (0 * \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) \\ &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(0) + (d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) = \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since X is a torsion free. Therefore, $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in L_p(X)$ and so $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2 = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.22. *Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be a left (θ, ϕ) -derivation of a BCI-algebra X . If $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2 = 0$ on $L_p(X)$, then $(\theta \circ d_{(\theta, \phi)})(x) = \frac{1}{2}((\theta \circ d_{(\theta, \phi)})(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$ for all $x \in L_p(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2 = 0$ on $L_p(X)$. Let $x \in L_p(X)$. Then $x + x \in L_p(X)$ by Proposition 3.4(1). Using Proposition 3.6(1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}^2(x + x) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) \\ &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + 2\theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) - \theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\theta \circ d_{(\theta, \phi)})(x) = \frac{1}{2}((\theta \circ d_{(\theta, \phi)})(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$ for all $x \in L_p(X)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.23. *Let $d_{(\theta, \phi)}$ and $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}$ be two left (θ, ϕ) -derivations and a of a BCI-algebra X . If $d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)} = 0$ on $L_p(X)$, then $(\theta \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(x) = \frac{1}{2}((\theta \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$ for all $x \in L_p(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L_p(X)$. Then $x + x \in L_p(X)$, and so $\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x) \in L_p(X)$ by Proposition 3.4(1). It follows from Propositions 3.6(1) and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d_{(\theta, \phi)} \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(x + x) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x + x)) \\ &= d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + \theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) + d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) = d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0) + 2\theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) - \theta(d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0)) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\theta(\check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)}(x)) = \frac{1}{2}((\theta \circ \check{d}_{(\theta, \phi)})(0) - d_{(\theta, \phi)}(0))$ for all $x \in L_p(X)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee(s) for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper. This research was partially supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, University of Tabuk, Ministry of Higher Education, Saudi Arabia.

References

- [1] H.A.S. Abujabal, N.O. Al-Shehri, On left derivations of BCI-algebras, *Soochow J. Math.* 33 (3) (2007) 435–444.
- [2] H.E. Bell, L.C. Kappe, Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 53 (3–4) (1989) 339–346.
- [3] H.E. Bell, G. Mason, On derivations in near-rings, near-rings and near-fields, *North-Holland Math. Studies* 137 (1987) 31–35.
- [4] K. Kaya, Prime rings with α derivations, *Hacettepe Bull. Mat. Sci. Eng.* 16–17 (1987–1988) 63–71.
- [5] E. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957) 1093–1100.
- [6] Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin, On derivations of BCI-algebras, *Inform. Sci.* 159 (2004) 167–176.
- [7] S. Ilbira, A. Firat, B.Y. Jun, On symmetric bi-derivations of BCI-algebra, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 5 (60) (2011) 2957–2966.
- [8] G. Mudiuddin, A.M. Al-roqi, On t-derivations of BCI-algebras, *Abs. Appl. Anal.* 2012 (2012) 12. Article ID 872784.
- [9] G. Mudiuddin, A.M. Al-roqi, On (α, β) -derivations in BCI-algebras, *Disc. Dynam. Nat. Soc.* 2012 (2012) 11 pages. Article ID 403209.
- [10] M.A. Ozturk, Y. Ceven, Y.B. Jun, Generalized derivations of BCI-algebras, *Honam Math. J.* 31 (4) (2009) 601–609.
- [11] J. Zhan, Y.L. Liu, On f-derivations of BCI-algebras, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005 (11) (2005) 1675–1684.
- [12] Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh, On the BCI-G part of BCI-algebras, *Math. Japon.* 38 (4) (1993) 697–702.
- [13] D.J. Meng, BCI-algebras and abelian groups, *Math. Japon.* 32 (5) (1987) 693–696.
- [14] Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin, E.H. Roh, The role of atoms in BCI-algebras, *Soochow J. Math.* 30 (4) (2004) 491–506.
- [15] S.A. Bhatti, M.A. Chaudhry, B. Ahmad, On classification of BCI-algebras, *Math. Japon.* 34 (6) (1989) 865–876.