

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access



# Estimation of initial Maclaurin coefficients of certain subclasses of bounded bi-univalent functions

Alaa Hassan El-Qadeem<sup>1\*</sup>  and Mohammed Ahmed Mamon<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

ahassan@science.zu.edu.eg

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Zagazig  
University, 44519 Zagazig, Egypt  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, two bounded bi-univalent function subclasses were defined by using Salagean  $q$ -differential operator. The functions are defined in the open unit disc of complex plane. The main purpose is to determine some estimations on the initial Maclaurin coefficients for functions in these subclasses. Finally, the Fekete-Szegő inequalities for these are also obtained.

**Keywords:** Analytic function, Starlike function, Convex function, Subordination, Bi-univalent function, Fekete-Szegő inequalities, Bounded function

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C50

## Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1)$$

normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ , which are defined on the open unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions of the form (1) which are univalent in  $U$ . In the geometric function theory, there are two important subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$ , which are the well-known subclasses of starlike and convex functions, namely,  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , for which the inequalities  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z f'(z) / f(z) \right\} > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + z f''(z) / f'(z) \right\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ) are the sufficient conditions, respectively (see [1], Ch.8). An analytic function  $f$  is subordinate to an analytic function  $g$ , written  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , provided there exist an analytic function  $w$  defined on  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  satisfying  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  (see [2]). Ma and Minda [3] gave a unified representation of various subclasses of starlike and convex functions by introducing the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  of functions  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $z f'(z) / f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$  and  $1 + z f''(z) / f'(z) \prec \varphi(z)$  ( $z \in U$ ), respectively, where  $\varphi$  is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disc  $U$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 1$ ,  $\varphi'(0) > 0$ , and  $\varphi$  maps  $U$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  include several well-known subclasses as special case. For example, when  $\varphi(z) = (1 + Az) / (1 + Bz)$  ( $1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  are reduced to the subclasses  $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$  and  $\mathcal{K}[A, B]$ , which were introduced by Janowski [4]. For  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta) = \mathcal{S}^*((1 + (1 - 2\beta)z) / (1 - z))$  and

$\mathcal{K}(\beta) = \mathcal{K}((1 + (1 - 2\beta)z)/(1 - z))$  are subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order  $\beta$  (see [1], Ch.9),  $\mathcal{S}^* := \mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*((1 + z)/(1 - z))$  and  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}((1 + z)/(1 - z))$ . Moreover, the subclasses of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) can be obtained by  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^* := \mathcal{S}^*((1 + z)/(1 - z))^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha := \mathcal{K}(((1 + z)/(1 - z))^\alpha)$  (see [5]).

The Koebe one quarter theorem ensures that the image of  $U$  under every univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  contains a disk of radius  $\frac{1}{4}$  (see [6]). Thus, every univalent function  $f$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, (z \in U) \text{ and } f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega (|\omega| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}). \tag{2}$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $U$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $U$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denotes the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$ , consisting of all bi-univalent functions defined on the unit disc  $U$ . Since  $f \in \Sigma$  has the Maclaurin series expansion given by (1), a simple calculation shows that its inverse  $g = f^{-1}$  has the series expansion

$$g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - \dots \tag{3}$$

Examples of functions in the class  $\Sigma$  are

$$\frac{z}{1-z}, -\log(1-z) \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right). \tag{4}$$

and so on. However, the familiar Koebe function is not a member of  $\Sigma$ . Other common examples of functions in  $\mathcal{S}$  such as

$$z - \frac{z^2}{2} \text{ and } \frac{z}{1-z^2} \tag{5}$$

are also not members of  $\Sigma$  (see [7]). Several authors have introduced and investigated subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained bounds for the initial coefficients (see [7–11]). The research into  $\Sigma$  was started by Lewin ([9]). It focused on problems connected with coefficients. Many papers concerning bi-univalent functions have been published recently. A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\beta)$  of bi-starlike function of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ), or  $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\beta)$  of bi-convex function of order  $\beta$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are respectively starlike or convex functions of order  $\beta$ . For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the function  $f \in \Sigma$  is strongly bi-starlike function of order  $\alpha$  if both the functions  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are strongly starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ . The class of all such functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma,\alpha}^*$ . These classes were introduced by Brannan and Taha [8], they obtained estimates on the initial coefficients  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  for functions in these classes. We owe the revival of these topics to Srivastava et al. ([7]). The investigations in this direction have also been carried out, among others, by Ali et al. [12], Frasin and Aouf [13]. Hamidi and Jahangiri (e.g., [14]) have revealed the importance of the Faber polynomials in general studies on the coefficients of bi-univalent functions. In fact, little is known about exact bounds of the initial coefficients of  $f \in \Sigma$ . For the most general families of functions given by (1), we know that  $|a_2| < 1.51$  for bi-univalent functions ([9]),  $|a_2| \leq 2$  for bi-starlike functions (Kedzierawski [15]), and  $|a_2| < 1$  for bi-convex functions ([8]). Only the last estimate is sharp, equality holds only for  $f(z) = z/(1 - z)$  and its rotations.

In this study, we are concerned with a different type of classes of bi-univalent functions, which are of the bounded type. A bounded function classes was firstly introduced and discussed by Singh [16]. Singh and Singh [17] introduced a bounded starlike and convex

function classes  $\mathcal{S}_M^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}_M$ , respectively, these were followed by the subclasses  $\mathcal{S}_M^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_M(\alpha)$  represented a bounded starlike and convex function of order  $\alpha$ , respectively.

The  $q$ -difference operator, which was introduced by Jackson [18], and may go back to Heine [19], is defined by

$$\partial_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz)-f(z)}{z(q-1)}, & z \neq 0; \\ f'(0) & , z = 0; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\partial_q^0 f(z) = f(z), \partial_q^1 f(z) = \partial_q f(z) \text{ and } \partial_q^m f(z) = \partial_q \left( \partial_q^{m-1} f(z) \right) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For the function  $f(z)$  denoted by (1), we have

$$\partial_q^1 f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^k \quad (z \neq 0),$$

where

$$[v]_q = \frac{q^v - 1}{q - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} q^j, \quad v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) and  $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq q < 1$ , Salagean  $q$ -differential operator  $D_q^n$ , introduced by Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [20] (see also [21]), defined by

$$D_q^0 f(z) = f(z), D_q^1 f(z) = z \partial_q f(z) \text{ and } D_q^m f(z) = D_q \left( D_q^{m-1} f(z) \right) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{6}$$

For the functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(w)$  denoted by (1) and (3), we have

$$D_q^m f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q^m a_k z^k, \tag{7}$$

$$D_q^m g(w) = w - [2]_q^m a_2 w^2 + [3]_q^m (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 + \dots \tag{8}$$

In this present work, we introduce two bounded subclasses of  $\Sigma$  associated with Salagean  $q$ -differential operator and obtain the initial Maclaurin coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for these function classes. Also, we give bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  for each subclass.

**Estimations of  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$**

The main results in this section is to define two bounded subclasses of the class  $\Sigma$ , then some estimations of the first two Maclaurin coefficients of functions belonging to those subclasses were calculated.

**Definition 1** For  $0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $M > \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $S_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  be the subclass of  $\Sigma$  consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the following condition

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{D_q^{n+1} f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D_q^n f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M, \quad (z \in U) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{D_q^{n+1} g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1} g(w) + (1-\lambda) D_q^n g(w)}}{b} - M \right| < M, \quad (w \in U) \tag{10}$$

where  $z, w \in U$ , and  $g = f^{-1} \in \Sigma$  is given by (3). Also, let  $C_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  be the subclass of  $\Sigma$  consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the following condition

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{D_q^{n+2}f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M, (z \in U) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{D_q^{n+2}g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}g(w) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}g(w)}}{b} - M \right| < M, (w \in U) \tag{12}$$

where  $z, w \in U$ , and  $g = f^{-1} \in \Sigma$  is given by (3).

It is clear that

$$f(z) \in C_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M) \iff z\partial_q f(z) \in S_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$$

**Lemma 1** Let  $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$  ( $M > \frac{1}{2}$ ),  $f$  defined by (1) and  $g = f^{-1}$ , then we have

$$f(z) \in S_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M) \iff \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+1}f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D_q^n f(z)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1+z}{1-mz} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+1}g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1}g(w) + (1-\lambda)D_q^n g(w)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1+w}{1-mw} \end{cases}, \tag{13}$$

and also,

$$f(z) \in C_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M) \iff \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+2}f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1+z}{1-mz} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+2}g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}g(w) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}g(w)} - 1 \right) < \frac{1+w}{1-mw} \end{cases}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$(0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } z, w \in U)$$

**Lemma 2** (see [22]) If  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $|c_n| \leq 2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of all functions  $h$  which is analytic in  $U$  for which  $\text{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$ , where  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  for  $z \in U$ .

**Remark 1** In Definitions 1, 2 and for special choices of the parameters  $\lambda, b, M$ , also, taking  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , then we can obtain the following subclasses:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Sigma}^0(\lambda, 1 - \beta, \infty) &= \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \begin{cases} \text{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)} \right) > \beta, \\ \text{Re} \left( \frac{wg'(w)}{(1-\lambda)g(w) + \lambda wg'(w)} \right) > \beta \end{cases}, 0 < \beta \leq 1, z, w \in U \right\} \\ S_{\Sigma}^0(\lambda, b, \infty) &= S_{\Sigma}(1, \lambda) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \begin{cases} \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{wg'(w)}{(1-\lambda)g(w) + \lambda wg'(w)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases}, z, w \in U \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which were introduced by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [23].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^0(\gamma, \tau, \infty) &= \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\tau, 0, 1, \gamma, 0) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma zf'(z)} - 1 \right) \right) > 0, \\ &\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{zg'(w)}{(1-\gamma)g(w) + \gamma wg'(w)} - 1 \right) \right) > 0 \end{aligned} , z, w \in U \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which was introduced by Srivastava et al. [24].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^0(0, 1 - \beta, \infty) &= \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}(\beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta, \\ &\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) > \beta \end{aligned} , 0 \leq \beta < 1, z, w \in U \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}^0(0, 1 - \beta, \infty) &= \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta, \\ &\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) > \beta \end{aligned} , 0 \leq \beta < 1, z, w \in U \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the classes of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions introduced by Brannan and Taha [8].

**Theorem 1** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the subclass  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda) \left| [3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1) - [2]_q^{2n} ([2]_q - 1) \left( 1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q - 1)}{b(m+1)} \right) \right|}}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{[3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{|b|(m+1)}{[2]_q^{2n} (1-\lambda) ([2]_q - 1)^2} \right\},$$

where

$$0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*, z \in U \text{ and } m = 1 - \frac{1}{M} (M > \frac{1}{2}),$$

*Proof* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ . Then, it satisfy the conditions (13). By the definition, there exist two analytic functions  $u, v : U \rightarrow U$  with  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$  and  $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$  for all  $z, w \in U$  satisfying

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+1} f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1} f(z) + (1-\lambda) D_q^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - mu(z)}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+1} g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+1} g(w) + (1-\lambda) D_q^n g(w)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - mv(w)}, \tag{16}$$

Now, define the two functions  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  by

$$p(z) := \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

$$q(z) := \frac{1 + v(z)}{1 - v(z)} = 1 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + \dots$$

It is equivalent to

$$u(z) := \frac{1 - p(z)}{1 + p(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_1 z + \left( p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right), \tag{17}$$

$$v(z) := \frac{1 - q(z)}{1 + q(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( q_1 z + \left( q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right). \tag{18}$$

Then  $p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  are analytic in  $U$  with  $p(0) = 1 = q(0)$ . In view of Janowski [4], Since  $u, v : U \rightarrow U$ , the functions  $p(z), q(z) \in P(M)$  and have a positive real part in  $U$  where  $P(M)$  is the class of all function  $\psi(z) = 1 + \delta_1 z + \delta_2 z^2 + \dots$  which are analytic in  $U$  and satisfy the condition

$$|\psi(z) - \rho| < \rho, (\rho \geq 1, z \in U).$$

Therefore, in view of the Lemma 1, we have

$$|p_i| \leq 2 \text{ and } |q_i| \leq 2 (n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{19}$$

By substituting from (7), (8), (17), and (18) into (15) and (16), we obtain

$$1 + [2]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) a_2 z + (1 - \lambda) \left( [3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1) a_3 - [2]_q^{2n} ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q a_2^2) \right) z^2 + \dots = 1 + \frac{b(m+1)p_1}{2} z + \frac{b(m+1)}{2} \left( p_2 + \frac{p_1^2(m-1)}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \tag{20}$$

$$1 - [2]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) a_2 w + (1 - \lambda) \left( [3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1) (2a_2^2 - a_3) + [2]_q^{2n} ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q a_2^2) \right) w^2 + \dots = 1 + \frac{b(m+1)q_1}{2} w + \frac{b(m+1)}{2} \left( q_2 + \frac{q_1^2(m-1)}{2} \right) w^2 + \dots \tag{21}$$

which yields the following relations

$$[2]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) a_2 = \frac{b}{2} (m + 1) p_1 \tag{22}$$

$$[3]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([3]_q - 1) a_3 - [2]_q^{2n} (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q a_2^2) = \frac{b}{2} (m + 1) \left( p_2 + \frac{p_1^2}{2} (m - 1) \right) \tag{23}$$

$$- [2]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) a_2 = \frac{b}{2} (m + 1) q_1 \tag{24}$$

$$[3]_q^n (1 - \lambda) ([3]_q - 1) (2a_2^2 - a_3) - [2]_q^{2n} (1 - \lambda) ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q a_2^2) = \frac{b}{2} (m + 1) \left( q_2 + \frac{q_1^2}{2} (m - 1) \right) \tag{25}$$

From (22) and (24), we obtain

$$p_1 = -q_1, \tag{26}$$

and

$$2 [2]_q^{2n} (1 - \lambda)^2 ([2]_q - 1)^2 a_2^2 = \frac{b^2}{4} (m + 1)^2 (p_1^2 + q_1^2). \tag{27}$$

By adding (23) to (25) then use (27), we obtain

$$a_2^2 = \frac{b(m+1)(p_2+q_2)}{4(1-\lambda) \left( [3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1) - [2]_q^{2n} ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q - 1)}{b(m+1)}) \right)}, \tag{28}$$

applying Lemma 2 to the coefficients  $p_2$  and  $q_2$ , we conclude

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda) \left| [3]_q^n ([3]_q - 1) - [2]_q^{2n} ([2]_q - 1) \left( 1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q - 1)}{b(m+1)} \right) \right|}}$$

By subtracting (25) from (23), we have

$$2 [3]_q^n (1-\lambda) ([3]_q - 1) (a_3 - a_2^2) = \frac{b}{2} (m+1) \left( (p_2 - q_2) + \frac{(m-1)}{2} (p_1^2 - q_1^2) \right), \tag{29}$$

by substituting from (26) and (27) into (29), we conclude

$$a_3 = \frac{b(m+1)(p_2 - q_2)}{4 [3]_q^n (1-\lambda) ([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{b^2(m+1)^2 (p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{8 [2]_q^{2n} (1-\lambda)^2 ([2]_q - 1)^2}. \tag{30}$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 2 to the coefficients  $p_1, p_2, q_1$  and  $q_2$ , we conclude

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{[3]_q^n (1-\lambda) ([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{|b|^2 (m+1)^2}{[2]_q^{2n} (1-\lambda)^2 ([2]_q - 1)^2}$$

The proof is completed. □

For  $n = 0, b = 1 - \beta, m = 1$ , and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we obtain the bounds corresponding to the class  $M_\Sigma(\beta, \lambda)$  given by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [23].

**Corollary 1** *Let  $f$  given by (1) be a function in the class  $M_\Sigma(\beta, \lambda)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}}{(1-\lambda)}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{1-\beta}{1-\lambda}$$

Additionally, put  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain bounds of the class of bi-starlike function of order  $\beta$  denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma(\beta)$ .

**Corollary 2** [8] *Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2(1-\beta)}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq (1-\beta)(5-4\beta)$$

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the subclass  $C_{\Sigma,q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda) \left| [3]_q^{n+1} ([3]_q - 1) - [2]_q^{2n+2} ([2]_q - 1) (1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(m-1)(1-\lambda)([2]_q - 1)}{b(m+1)}) \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{[3]_q^{n+1} ([3]_q - 1)} + \frac{|b|(m+1)}{[2]_q^{2n+2} (1-\lambda) ([2]_q - 1)^2} \right\},$$

where

$$0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*, z \in U \text{ and } m = 1 - \frac{1}{M} \left( M > \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

*Proof* Let  $f \in C_{\Sigma,q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$ . Then, it satisfy the conditions (14). By the definition, there exist two analytic functions  $u, v : U \rightarrow U$  with  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$  and

$|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$  for all  $z, w \in U$  satisfying

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+2}f(z)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}f(z) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1+u(z)}{1-mu(z)}, \tag{31}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D_q^{n+2}g(w)}{\lambda D_q^{n+2}g(w) + (1-\lambda)D_q^{n+1}g(w)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1+v(w)}{1-mv(w)}. \tag{32}$$

Now, define the two functions  $r(z)$  and  $s(z)$  by

$$r(z) := \frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)} = 1 + r_1z + r_2z^2 + \dots,$$

$$s(z) := \frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)} = 1 + s_1z + s_2z^2 + \dots$$

It is equivalent to

$$u(z) := \frac{1-r(z)}{1+r(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( r_1z + \left( r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right), \tag{33}$$

$$v(z) := \frac{1-s(z)}{1+s(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( s_1z + \left( s_2 - \frac{s_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right). \tag{34}$$

Then  $r(z)$  and  $s(z)$  are analytic in  $U$  with  $p(0) = 1 = q(0)$ . Since  $u, v : U \rightarrow U$ , the functions  $r(z)$  and  $s(z)$  have a positive real part in  $U$ . Therefore, in view of the Lemma 2, we have

$$|r_i| \leq 2 \text{ and } |s_i| \leq 2(n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{35}$$

By following the same steps in proving Theorem 1, we can complete the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

For  $n = 0, b = 1 - \beta, m = 1, \lambda = 0$  and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we obtain the bounds corresponding to the class  $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\beta)$  given by Brannan and Taha [8].

**Corollary 3** *Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{1-\beta}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(4-3\beta)(1-\beta)}{3}$$

**Fekete-Szegö inequalities**

Fekete and Szegö [25] introduced the generalized functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  where  $\mu$  is some real number. In this section, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the functions belonging to the classes  $S_{\Sigma,q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  and  $C_{\Sigma,q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$ . Before establishing our results, we need the following Lemma introduced by Zaprawa [11].

**Lemma 3** *Let  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $|p_1|, |p_2| < R$ , then*

$$|(k+l)p_1 + (k-l)p_2| \leq \begin{cases} 2|k|R, & |k| \geq |l| \\ 2|l|R, & |k| \leq |l| \end{cases}.$$



**Theorem 3** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $S_{\Sigma,q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda)} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)}, & |h(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \\ |h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \geq \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \end{cases},$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1) - [2]_q^{2n}([2]_q-1) \left(1-\lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q-1)}{b(m+1)}\right)}$$

*Proof* Using (29), we can write

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{b(m+1)(p_2 - q_2)}{4[3]_q^n(1-\lambda)([3]_q-1)} \tag{36}$$

From (30) and (36), we obtain

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b(m+1)}{4(1-\lambda)} \left\{ \left( h(\mu) + \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \right) p_2 + \left( h(\mu) - \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \right) q_2 \right\} \tag{37}$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1) - [2]_q^{2n}([2]_q-1) \left(1-\lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q-1)}{b(m+1)}\right)}$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 2 to the coefficients  $p_2$  and  $q_2$  which obtain

$$|p_2| \leq 2 \text{ and } |q_2| \leq 2$$

Thus, by applying Lemma 3 into (37), we conclude

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda)} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)}, & |h(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \\ |h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \geq \frac{1}{[3]_q^n([3]_q-1)} \end{cases},$$

which completes the proof. □

For  $n = 0, b = 1 - \beta, m = 1$  and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we obtain bounds of the Fekete-Sezğö inequality of the class  $M_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda)$  given by Zaprawa [11].

**Corollary 4** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $M_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda)$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\beta)|1-\mu|}{(1-\lambda)^2}, & 2|1-\mu| \geq (1-\lambda) \\ \frac{1-\beta}{1-\lambda}, & 2|1-\mu| \leq (1-\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Additionally, put  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain Fekete-Sezğö inequality of the class  $S_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ .

**Corollary 5** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 2(1-\beta)|1-\mu|, & 2|1-\mu| \geq 1 \\ 1-\beta, & 2|1-\mu| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, q}^n(\lambda, b, M)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|(m+1)}{(1-\lambda)} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[3]_q^{n+1}([3]_q-1)}, & |h(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{[3]_q^{n+1}([3]_q-1)} \\ |h(\mu)|, & |h(\mu)| \geq \frac{1}{[3]_q^{n+1}([3]_q-1)} \end{cases},$$

where

$$h(\mu) = \frac{(1-\mu)}{[3]_q^{n+1}([3]_q-1) - [2]_q^{2n+2}([2]_q-1) \left(1-\lambda + \lambda [2]_q + \frac{(1-\lambda)(m-1)([2]_q-1)}{b(m+1)}\right)}$$

*Proof* Just as we derived Theorem 3, we can deduce Theorem 4, so we choose to omit the proof □

**Corollary 6** Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\beta}{3}, & 3|1-\mu| \leq 1 \\ (1-\beta)|1-\mu|, & 3|1-\mu| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

**Funding**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Authors' contributions**

AHE collected the data regarding the previous articles about subclasses of bi-univalent functions, then choosing the bounded functions to investigate. MAM performed the calculations and was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, 44519 Zagazig, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, 31527 Tanta, Egypt.

Received: 22 March 2019 Accepted: 7 May 2019

Published online: 20 June 2019

**References**

1. Goodman, A. W.: Univalent functions, Vol. I, Mariner, Tampa Florida (1983)
2. Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T.: Differential subordinations: theory and applications. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (2000)
3. Ma, WC, Minda, D: A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, pp. 157–169, (1992)
4. Janowski, W.: Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*. **23**, 159–177 (1970)
5. Brannan, D. A., Kirwan, W. E.: On some classes of bounded univalent functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2**(1), 431–443 (1964)
6. Duren, P. L.: Univalent Functions, New York, Berlin. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg and Tokyo (1983)
7. Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K., Gochhayat, P.: Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**(10), 1188–1192 (2010)
8. Brannan, D. A., Taha, T. S.: On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *studia universitatis babes-bolyai mathematica*. **31**(2), 70–77 (1986)
9. Lewin, M.: On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **18**, 63–68 (1967)
10. Li, X.-F., Wang, A.-P.: Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Int. Math. Forum.* **7**(30), 1495–1504 (2012)
11. Zaprawa, P.: Estimates of Initial Coefficients for Bi-Univalent Functions. *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, 6 (2014). Article ID 357480

12. Ali, R. M., Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V., Supramaniam, S.: Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**(3), 344–351 (2012)
13. Frasin, B. A., Aouf, M. K.: New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(9), 1569–1573 (2011)
14. Hamidi, S. G., Jahangiri, J. M.: Faber polynomial Coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique.* **352**(1), 17–20 (2014)
15. Kedzierawski, A. W.: Some remarks on bi-univalent functions, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska. Sect. A-Math.* **39**, 77–81 (1985)
16. Singh, R.: On a class of starlike functions. *Compos. Math.* **19**(1), 78–82 (1968)
17. Singh, R., Singh, V.: On a class of bounded starlike functions. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **5**, 733–754 (1974)
18. Jackson, F. H.: On q-functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. Royal Soc. Edinb.* **45**, 253–281 (1909)
19. Heine, E.: *Handbuch der Kugelfunctionen. Theorie und Anwendungen.* **1** (1878)
20. Govindaraj, M., Sivasubramanian, S.: On a class of analytic function related to conic domains involving q-calculus. *Anal. Math.* **43**(3), 475–487 (2017)
21. El-Qadeem, A. H., Mamon, M. A.: Comprehensive subclasses of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a q-difference operator. *Trans. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **172**(3), 510–526 (2018)
22. Pommerenke, C.: On univalent functions. *Math. Ann.* **236**, 199–208 (1978)
23. Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Magesh, N., Prameela, V.: Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 3 (2013). Article ID 573017
24. Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S., Ghanim, F.: Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Afrika Matematika.* **28**(5), 693–706 (2017)
25. Fekete, M., Szegő, G.: Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Functionen. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **8**, 85–89 (1933)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)

---