

Egyptian Mathematical Society

Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org [www.elsevier.com/locate/joems](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/1110256X)



# Mappings on pairwise para-lindel $\ddot{\rho}$ f bitopological spaces



CrossMark

# Hend Bouseliana<sup>a</sup>, Adem Kılıçman <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University Putt Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and Institute for Mathematical Research, University Putt Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Received 26 October 2013; accepted 8 June 2014 Available online 3 August 2014

## **KEYWORDS**

Bitopological spaces; Separation axioms; Pairwise paralindelof spaces

Abstract The aim of this paper is to study and present the effect of some types of mapping on pairwise paralindeloïf spaces, pairwise nearly paralindeloïf spaces and pairwise almost paralindeloïf spaces. The main results are that the paralindel $\delta$ f property is not preserved under closed mappings. But it is preserved under perfect mappings in bitopological settings.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 54A05; 54D10; 54C20

ª 2014 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.

### 1. Introduction

A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is known as a set X together with two arbitrary topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  which are defined on  $X$  (see [\[1\]](#page-4-0)). The notions of mappings and continuity stand among the most essential concepts in topology. Some topological properties are preserved under some types of mappings. For example, covering properties as compact, lindelof and paracompact spaces are preserved under closed mappings and perfect mappings. But paralindelof spaces are preserved under L-perfect (quasi perfect) mappings but not under closed

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



mappings [\[6\].](#page-4-0) A L-perfect (quasi perfect) mappings are a continuous, closed and surjection with Lindelöf point inverses  $[7,5]$ . In this work, we extend the result for effecting the closed and L-perfect mappings on paralindel $\delta$ f spaces in topological space to bitopological space. Also, we study two classes of paralindel $\partial$ f spaces such that nearly paralindel $\partial$ f and almost paralindelof spaces under some kind of mappings as almost continuous mappings in bitopological settings, see [\[10,11\]](#page-4-0).

In Section 3, we concentrate on some separation axioms. We shall introduce another definition of collectionwise Hausdorff bitopological spaces, pairwise collectionwise Hausdorff. Also, we shall extend the notion of collectionwise normal spaces to bitopological settings.

In Section 4, we will study the effect some mappings on two kinds of paralindelof bitopological spaces, pairwise paralindelöf spaces. First, we will show the relation between pairwise paralindelof and pairwise CwH. We prove by example that pairwise paralindelof spaces are not preserved by closed mappings. In addition, we show the effect of some kinds of L-perfect mappings on paralindel $\delta$ f property.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.06.004>

1110-256X ª 2014 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.

 $*$  Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [bouseliana2007@yahoo.com](mailto:bouseliana2007@yahoo.com) (H. Bouseliana), [akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my](mailto:akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my) (A. Kılıçman).

In Section 5, we shall extend some results in [\[5\]](#page-4-0) to a bitopological settings. We study the notions of pairwise nearly paralindel $\partial$ f and pairwise almost paralindel $\partial$ f spaces under some types of mappings.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  are always meant topological spaces and bitopological spaces, respectively. By  $i - int(A)$  and  $i - cl(A)$  we shall mean the interior and the closure of a subset A of X with respect to  $\tau_i$ , respectively, where  $i = 1$  or 2.

A subset S of X is said to be  $(i, j)$ -regular open (rep.  $(i, j)$ regular closed) if  $i - int - (j - cl(S)) = S$  (rep.  $i - cl (j - int(S)) = S$ ). S is said to be pairwise regular open (resp. pairwise regular closed) if it is both  $(i, j)$ -regular open and  $(j, i)$ -regular open (resp.  $(i, j)$ -regular closed and  $(j, i)$ -regular closed). A subset S of X is said to be  $(i, j)$ -nearly Lindelosf relative to X if for every family of  $(i, j)$ -regular open subsets of X covering S, there exists a countable subfamily covering S.

**Definition.** A bitopological space  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $(i, j)$ -almost regular [\[2\]](#page-4-0) if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $(i, j)$ regular open set U containing x, there exists an  $(i, j)$ -regular open set V such that  $x \in V \subset j - cl(V) \subset U$ . X is called pairwise almost regular (p-almost regular) if it is  $(1, 2)$ -almost regular and  $(2, 1)$ -almost regular.
- (2)  $(i, j)$ -P-space (resp. *i*-P-space) if countable intersection of *i*-open sets in  $X$  is *j*-open (resp. *i*-open).  $X$  is called pairwise P-space (resp. P-space) if it is  $(1, 2)$ -P-space and  $(2, 1)$ -P-space (resp. 1-P-space and 2-P-space).

The following definitions is given the concepts of pairwise continuous, pairwise open and pairwise closed functions in the sense of Tallafha et al. [\[14\].](#page-4-0)

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be two bitopological spaces. A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be

- (1) continuous if the functions  $f: (X, \tau_1) \to (Y, \sigma_1)$  are both continuous. Equivalently, a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow$  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called *i*-continuous if the function  $f: (X, \tau_I) \to (Y, \sigma_I)$  is continuous. f is said continuous if it is *i*-continuous for each  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (2) open (resp. closed) if the functions  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$ and  $f: (X, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_2)$  are both open (resp. closed). Equivalently, a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called i-open (resp. i-closed) if the function  $f: (X, \tau_i) \to (Y, \sigma_i)$  is open (resp. closed). f is said open (resp. closed) if f is *i*-open (resp. *i*-closed) for each  $i = 1, 2$ .

The next lemma is quite similar with the classical results in general topology, so we omit the proof.

**Lemma.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are bitopological spaces and  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . Then, f is *i-continuous if and* only if  $f(i-cl(A)) \subseteq i-cl(f(A))$  for every  $A \subseteq X$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

in this work, sometimes we shall denote pairwise by  $p-$  as p-paralindelos stand for pairwise paralindelosf. Also,  $\tau_{dis}, \tau_{cof}$  and  $\tau_{\text{cor}}$  are denoted to discrete topology, cofinite topology and cocountable topology respectively.

#### 3. Separation axioms in bitopological spaces

Kelly  $[1]$  was the first one who introduced the notion of *p*-regular spaces and p-normal spaces. Now, we will generalize these concepts to regular bitopological spaces and normal bitopological spaces respectively.

**Definition.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be regular (normal) if the topological space  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$ are both regular (normal). Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is regular (normal) space if for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $\tau_i$ -closed set F such that  $x \notin F$  (two  $\tau_i$ -closed subsets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ , there are two  $\tau_i$ -open subsets U and V such that  $x \in U, F \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$   $(F_1 \subset U, F_2 \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset)$ for all  $i = 1, 2$ .

The notions of collectionwise Hausdorff (CwH) and collectionwise normal spaces have played an increasingly important role in topology. Here, we extend the other concepts of collectionwise Hausdorff and collectionwise normal spaces to bitopological spaces.

**Definition.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(i, j)$ -CwH space  $((i, j)$ -CwN) if every *i*-closed discrete collection  $D = \{d_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of points (discrete collection  $\{F_s : s \in S\}$  of  $i$ -closed subsets of  $X$ ), then there exists a pairwise disjoint collection  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of *j*-open sets such that  $d_\alpha \in U_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta(F_s \subset U_s \text{ for each } s \in S).$ 

X is called pairwise CwH (pairwise CwN) if it is both  $(1, 2)$ -CwH and  $(2, 1)$ -CwH  $((1, 2)$ -CwN and  $(2, 1)$ -CwN).

It is clear that in  $i - T_1$  space, every  $(i, j)$ -CwN is  $(i, j)$ -CwH. In the following example, we can see the relation between these concepts in a clearer point of view.

**Example.** The space  $(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{\text{cof}}, \tau_{\text{dis}})$  is  $(\tau_{\text{cof}}, \tau_{\text{dis}})$ -CwN. Also, Since  $\mathcal R$  is  $\tau_{cof}T_1$ ,  $\mathcal R$  is  $(\tau_{cof}, \tau_{dis})$ -CwH.

#### 4. Mappings on pairwise paralindel $\partial$ f spaces

In this section, we are going to study the behavior for some types of pairwise paralindelof spaces under several types of combinations of pairwise continuous and pairwise closed functions. We shows that some mappings preserve certain type of pairwise paralindel $\partial$ f spaces where as others not.

Early in 1969, Fletcher, Hoyle and Patty gave definition of pairwise paracompactness [\[8\].](#page-4-0) According to them a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise paracompact if every  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X has  $\tau_i$ -open  $\tau_i$ -locally finite refinement for  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ . In sense of Fletcher's definition, we shall generalize it to pairwise paralindelof as following. First, we shall introduce the definition of paralindel $\delta$ <sup>f</sup> property in bitopological spaces as a generalization of paracompact which are pairwise paralindelöf spaces.

**Definition.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(i, j)$ -paralindel $\partial f$  if for each *i*-open cover of *X*, there is *j*-locally countable  $j$ -open refinement. X is called pairwise paralindel of if it is  $(1, 2)$ -paralindel $\delta$ f and  $(2, 1)$ -paralindel $\delta$ f.

Now, We will state the next Lemma before we show the relation of p-collectionwise Hausdorff spaces with p-paralindelöf spaces.

**Lemma.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be subsets of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . For any  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ , let  $h * k$  and  $k * h$  elements in  $H \cup K$ . Let  $S(x)$  be *i-open neighborhood of x for each*  $x \in H \cup K$ .

Suppose that for each  $x \in H \cup K$ , there is no element  $x' \in H \cup K$  such that  $x * x'$  and  $x' \in i - cl(S(x))$ . Suppose also that for each  $x \in H \cup K$ , there are only countable many points  $x' \in H \cup K$  with  $x * x'$  for which  $S(x) \cap S(x') \neq \emptyset$ .

Then, each  $S(x)$  can be refined to *i*-open neighborhood  $R(x)$ of x. So that the collection  $\{R(x) : x \in H \cup K\}$  satisfies the following:

For each  $R(x)$ , there is no set  $R(x')$  such that  $x * x'$  and  $R(x) \cap R(x') \neq \phi$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** see [\[3\]](#page-4-0).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem.** Every  $(i, j)$ -paralindelöf,  $i$ -T<sub>1</sub> and  $i$ -regular space is  $(i, j)$ -CwH.

**Proof.** Let  $X_0 = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a discrete collection of points of X. Since X is  $i$ -T<sub>1</sub> space,  $X_0$  is  $i$ -closed discrete subset of X. By the regularity of X, for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  let  $U_{\alpha}$  be a *i*open neighborhood of  $x_\alpha$  such that  $i - cl(U_\alpha) \cap X_0 = \{x_\alpha\}.$ Then the family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{X - X_0\}$  forms *i*-open cover of X. Since X is  $(i, j)$ -paralindelosf, U has j-locally countable family  $V$  of *j*-open subsets of  $X$  which refines  $U$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , let  $V_{\alpha}$  be a *j*-open neighborhood of  $x_{\alpha}$ belong to V, i.e.,  $x_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \subset V$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Write  $V_0 = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}.$ 

Due to  $V_0 \subset V$ ,  $V_0 = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is also *j*-locally countable. So for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , there is *j*-neighborhood  $G_{\alpha}$  that meets  $V_0$  at most countably many members, i.e., the family  $\mathcal{V}'_0 = \{ V_\alpha \subset \mathcal{V}_0 : V_\alpha \cap G_\alpha \}$  is star countable.

Now, by applying the lemma: let  $H = X_0, K = X_0$  and  $S(x_\alpha) = V'_\alpha \subset V'_0$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ; let  $R(x_\alpha) \subset S(x_\alpha)$ . Let  $W_{\alpha} = R(x_{\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $W_0 = \{W_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a collection of disjoint *j*-open sets with  $x_{\alpha} \in W_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Therefore, X is  $(i, j)$ -cwH.  $\Box$ 

**Example.** Let  $(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{\text{coc}}, \tau_{\text{coc}})$  be a bitopological space. It is clear that  $(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{\text{coc}}, \tau_{\text{coc}})$  is pairwise paralindelost space. But it is not pairwise CwH since it is  $T_1$  space but not pairwise Hausdorff space (see  $[13]$ ).

Now, we will study the closed mapping properties of pairwise paralindelöf spaces. We show that the pairwise paralindelöf spaces are not preserved under closed mappings.

**Example.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i$ -normal, on- $(\tau_i, \tau_i)$ -collectionwise normal and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -paralindelof space, there is *i*-closed mapping  $\phi$  :  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  where the space Y does not have the paralindelöf property.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a discrete collection of  $\tau_i$ closed subsets of X. Since X is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -collectionwise normal space,  $\mathcal F$  cannot be separated by  $\tau_i$ -open sets.

Let define  $Y$  as a quotient space obtained from identifying each  $F_{\alpha}$  with a point  $P_{\alpha}$  and let  $\phi$  :  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be the corresponding quotient map which is closed. Then Y is  $\sigma_i$ normal space and so that Y is  $\sigma_i$ -T<sub>1</sub> and  $\sigma_i$ -regular space. It is known that every *i*-regular  $(i, j)$ -paralindelo<sup> $f$ </sup> spaces are  $(i, j)$ collectionwise Hausdorff. Take the set  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  as  $\sigma_i$ closed discrete set in Y (since Y is  $\sigma_i$ -T<sub>1</sub>). If we suppose that Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -paralindelos space, the collection P is separated by  $\sigma_i$ -open sets in Y which makes the collection F separated by  $\tau_i$ open sets in X. This contacts that X is not  $(i, j)$ -collectionwise normal space. So Y cannot be  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -collectionwise Hausdorff. Therefore Y must not be  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -paralindelost space.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma** [\[9\].](#page-4-0) A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is *i-closed if* and only if for each point  $y \in y$  and  $\tau_i$ -open set G in X such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset G$ , there exists  $\sigma_i$ -open set H containing y such that  $f^{-1}(H)\subset G.$ 

**Theorem.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is *i-closed, j-continu*ous and  $f^{-l}(y)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -paralindelöf, so X is  $\tau_i$ -paralindelöf.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  be *j*-open cover of X. Let  $y \in Y$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $(j, i)$ -Lindelof relative to X, there is a countable *i*-open, *j*-open subcover such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} U_{\alpha_n}$ . Since f is *i*closed, there is *i*-open nbd  $V(y)$  of y such that  $f^{-l}(y) \subset f^{-l}(V(y)) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} U_{\alpha_n}$ . Set the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y | y \in Y\}$ as *i*-open cover of  $Y$ .  $V$  has *j*-locally countable family  $W = \{W_y : y \in Y\}$  of j-open sets which refines V. For each  $y \in Y, n \in \mathcal{N}$ , let  $e^{-1}(W_y) \cap U_{\alpha_n}$ . Put  $V = \{V(y, \alpha_n) : y \in Y, n \in \mathcal{N}\}\$ . Thus, V is j-locally countable j-open refinement of  $U$ .  $\square$ 

**Corollary.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is closed, continuous and  $f^{-l}(y)$  is pairwise Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if Y is pairwise paralindelöf, so  $X$  is paralindelöf.

#### 5. Mappings on generalizations of paralindel of bitopological spaces

The idea of nearly paralindelof spaces has been studied by Daniel Thanapalan [\[4\]](#page-4-0) by using regular open sets. He continued to study mappings on nearly paralindel  $(7,5)$ . In this section, we define the notion of nearly paralindelof spaces in bitopological spaces which will call pairwise nearly paralindelof space. Moreover, we shall study the pairwise some mappings on pairwise nearly paralindelof spaces.

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. X is  $(i, j)$ nearly paralindel $\partial$ f space if for every  $(i, j)$ -regular open covering of  $X$  admits  $i$ -open refinement  $i$ -locally countable family covering  $X$ .  $X$  is called pairwise nearly paralindel of if it is both  $(1, 2)$ -nearly paralindelos and  $(2, 1)$ -nearly paralindelos f.

**Theorem.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $(i, j)$ -almost continuous, j-continuous, i-open, i-closed and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is i-Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if X is  $(i, j)$ -nearly paralindelöf, so is Y.

**Proof.** Let U be  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open cover of Y. Since f is  $(i, j)$ -almost continuous [\[12\]](#page-4-0),  $\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X. Write  $V = \{\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(U))) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}\)$ . So, V is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ regular open cover of X and has  $\tau_i$ -open refinement B which is  $\tau_i$ -locally countable. Let  $C = \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}\$ . Since f is *i*-open, C is  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. Now, we shall show that C is  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable. Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelor relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ , there is  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $W_x$  of x which meets only countably many members of B.  $\{W_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, there is a countable subset  $C \subset f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset W = \bigcup \{W_x : x \in C\}.$ Moreover, W meets only countably many members of B. If  $V = Y - f(X - W)$ , then V is  $\sigma_i$ -open in Y. If  $V \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . So, C is  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable. Since B is refinement of V, there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B \subset \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(U)))$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Because f is j-continuous and i-open, We have

$$
\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(U)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(U)) \Rightarrow B
$$
  
\n
$$
\subset \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(U)))
$$
  
\n
$$
\subset \tau_i - int(f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(U))) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(U))
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow f(B) \subset \sigma_j - cl(U) \Rightarrow f(B)
$$
  
\n
$$
\subset \sigma_i - int(\sigma_j - cl(U) = U.
$$

Thus, C refines U. Therefore, Y is  $(i, j)$ -nearly paralindelor.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise almost continuous, continuous, open, closed and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if X is pairwise nearly paralindelöf, so is  $Y$ .

**Proposition.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $(i, j)$ -almost continuous, i-continuous, i-open and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is *i*-Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then, if X is *i-paralind*elöf,  $Y$  is  $(i, j)$ -nearly paralindelöf.

**Proof.** Let U be  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -regular open cover of Y. Since f is  $(i, j)$ -almost continuous [\[12\]](#page-4-0),  $\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X. So,  $V = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X and has  $\tau_i$ -open refinement B which is  $\tau_i$ -locally countable. Set  $C = \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}\.$  Since f is *i*-open and surjection, C is  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. Now, we shall show that C is  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable. Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelosf relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ , there is  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $W_x$  of x which meets only countably many members of  $\beta$ . Then, the family  $\{W_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, there is a countable subset  $C \subset f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset W =$  $\bigcup \{W_x : x \in C\}$ . Moreover, W meets only countably many members of B. If we write  $V = Y - f(X - W)$ , then V is  $\sigma_i$ open in Y. If  $V \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . So, C is  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable. Since B is refinement of V, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B \subset f^{-1}(U) \Rightarrow f(B) \subset U$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{C}$  refines U. Therefore, Y is  $(i, j)$ -nearly paralindelorf.  $\square$ 

**Corollary.** If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise almost continuous, continuous, open and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelof relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then, if X is paralindelot, Y is pairwise nearly paralindelöf.

P. T. Daniel Thanapalan has studies the concept of almost paralindelöf and its properties (see  $[4,7,5]$  $[4,7,5]$  $[4,7,5]$ ). In this section, we shall extend the idea of almost paralindelöf to bitopological spaces show some its behavior under some types of mappings.

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. X is  $(i, j)$ almost paralindel $\partial$ <sup>f</sup> space if for every *i*-open covering of X admits *i*-open refinement *i*-locally countable family  $V$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{j - cl(V) : V \in V\}$ . X is called pairwise almost paralindel $\partial$ f if it is both  $(1, 2)$ -almost paralindel $\partial$ f and  $(2, 1)$ -almost paralindel $\delta$ f.

**Theorem.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be continuous, *i-open*, *i*-closed and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is *i*-Lindelöf relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if X is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost paralindelot, so is Y.

**Proof.** Let  $U$  be  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y. Then,  $V = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}\$ is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X and has  $\tau_i$ -locally countable family B of  $\tau_i$ -open subset of X which refines V and  $X = \bigcup \{\tau_j - cl(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}\)$ . Set  $\mathcal{C} = \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}\$ as a collection of  $\sigma_i$ -open subsets of Y. C refines U since B refines U and for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B \subset f^{-1}(U)$ so that  $f(B) \subset U$ . Since f is surjective, we have

$$
Y = f(X) = f(\cup \{\tau_j - cl(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}) = \cup \{f(\tau_j - cl(B)) : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \cup \{\sigma_j - cl(f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}.
$$

Furthermore, since f is *i*-closed,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelor relative to X and B is *i*-locally countable, so that for each  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ , there is  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $W_x$  of x which meets only countably many members of B.  $\{W_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$  is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Thus, there exists a countable subset  $C \subset f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset W = \bigcup \{W_x : x \in C\}$ . Moreover, W meets only countably many members of B. If  $V = Y - f(X - W)$ , then V is  $\sigma_i$ -open in Y. So, if  $V \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Then, C is  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable. Therefore, Y is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -almost paralindel $\partial$ f.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be continuous, open, closed and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is lindelöf relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if X is pairwise almost paralindelot, so is Y.

**Theorem.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be *i*-continuous, *i*open, *i-closed and surjection such that*  $f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelöf relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then, if  $\sigma_i$  paralindelot with respect to  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\tau_i$ is paralindelöf with respect to  $\tau_i$ .

**Proof.** Let U be  $\tau_i$ -open cover of X. Let  $y \in Y$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\tau_i$ -Lindel $\partial f$  relative to X, there is a countable subset  $\Delta(y)$  =  $\{\alpha_{n(y)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \cup \{U\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta(y)\}\$ . By closdness of f, there is  $\sigma_i$ -open neighborhood V(y) of y such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(V(y)) \subset \bigcup \{U\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta(y)\}.$  Set the family  $\vartheta = \{V_v : v \in Y\}$  as  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of Y.  $\vartheta$  has  $\sigma_i$ -locally countable family  $W = \{W_y : y \in Y\}$  of  $\sigma_i$ -open sets which refines 9 and covers Y. We can think of  $W$  to be precise. For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $V(y, \alpha_{n(y)}) = f^{-1}(W_y) \cap U \alpha_{n(y)}$ . Put  $Q = \{V(y, \alpha_{n(y)}) : y \in Y, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$ . Thus, Q is  $\tau_i$ -locally countable  $\tau_i$ -open refinement of U. Therefore,  $\tau_i$  is paralindelost with respect to  $\tau_i$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Corollary.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise almost continuous, open, closed and surjection such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is nearly lindelöf relative to X for each  $y \in Y$ . Then if X is almost paralindelöf and pairwise almost regular, so  $Y$  is pairwise nearly paralindelöf.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved the paper.

The authors also gratefully acknowledge that this research was partially supported by the University Putra Malaysia under the ERGS Grant Scheme having project Number 5527068.

#### References

- [1] [J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 \(3\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0025) [\(1963\) 71–89](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0025).
- [2] [A.R. Singal, S.P. Arya, On pairwise almost regular spaces,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0030) [Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 6 \(26\) \(1971\) 335–343](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0030).
- [3] C.L. Navy, Non paracompactness in paraLindelof Spaces, The University of Wisconsin – Madison , PhD., 1981.
- [4] [P.T. Daniel Thanapalan, On nearly paralindeloff spaces, Indian](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0035) [J. Pure Appl. Math. 22 \(4\) \(1991\) 303–311](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0035).
- [5] [P.T. Daniel Thanapalan, On mappings of \(locally\) almost and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0040) [\(locally\) nearly paralindelof spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0040) [23 \(12\) \(1992\) 867–872](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0040).
- [6] [D.K. Burke, Paralindelof spaces and closed mappings,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0045) [Topology Proc. 5 \(1980\) 47–57](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0045).
- [7] [P.T. Daniel Thanapalan, Mappings and products of nearly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0050) [paralindelof, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 22 \(7\) \(1991\) 567–573](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0050).
- [8] [P. Fletcher, H.B. Hoyle, C.W. Patty, The comparison of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0055) [topologies, Duke Math. J. 26 \(1969\) 325–331.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0055)
- [9] Hend M. Bouseliana, A. Kılıcman, On pairwise super continuous multifunctions, in: International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Statistics 2013 (ICMSS2013) AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 1557, 2013, pp. 376–379.
- [10] [A. K](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0060)ılıcman, Z. Salleh, On pairwise Lindelöf bitopological [spaces, Topology Appl. 154 \(8\) \(2007\) 1600–1607](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0060).
- [11] A. Kılıçman, Z. Salleh, On pairwise almost regular-lindeloff spaces, Scientiae Math. Japonicae 70(3) (2009) 285–298 (e2009, pp. 481–494).
- [12] [S. Bose, D. Sinha, Pairwise almost continuous map and weakly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0065) [continuous map in bitopological spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 74](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0065) [\(1982\) 195–206](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0065).
- [13] [L.A. Steen, J.A. Seebach Jr., Counterexamples in Topology, 2nd](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0070) [ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0070).
- [14] [A. Tallafha, A. Al-Bsoul, A. Fora, Countable dense](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0080) [homogeneous bitopological spaces, Tr. J. Math. 23 \(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1110-256X(14)00074-1/h0080) 233-242, TÜBİTAK.