Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/joems

### Original Article Some existence results on Cantor sets

### Borys Álvarez-Samaniego<sup>a,\*</sup>, Wilson P. Álvarez-Samaniego<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Ortiz-Castro<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Núcleo de Investigadores Científicos, Facultad de Ingeniería, Ciencias Físicas y Matemática, Universidad Central del Ecuador (UCE), Quito, Ecuador <sup>b</sup> Facultad de Ciencias, Escuela Politécnica Nacional (EPN), Quito, Ecuador

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 2 November 2016 Revised 25 January 2017 Accepted 11 February 2017 Available online 18 March 2017

MSC: 54A05 54B05 54A25

*Keywords:* Cantor set Liouville numbers Diophantine numbers

#### 1. Introduction

First, we will introduce some basic topological concepts.

**Definition 1.1.** A nowhere dense set *X* in a topological space is a set whose closure has empty interior, i.e.  $int(\overline{X}) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A nonempty set  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a Cantor set if *C* is nowhere dense and perfect (i.e. C = C', where  $C' := \{p \in \mathbb{R}; p \text{ is an accumulation point of } C\}$  is the derived set of *C*).

**Definition 1.3.** A condensation point t of a subset A of a topological space, is any point t, such that every open neighborhood of t contains uncountably many points of A.

We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}$  the set of rational numbers. The symbol  $\mathbb{Z}$  is used to denote the set of integers,  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, ...\}$  denotes the positive integers and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  is the set of all natural numbers. The cardinality of a set *B* is denoted by |B|. We denote by **OR**, the class of all ordinal numbers. Moreover,  $\Omega$  represents the set of all countable ordinal numbers.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is a Liouville number if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist integer numbers  $p = p_n$  and  $q = q_n$ , such

that q > 1 and

for the existence of a Cantor set contained in a subset of the real line is given.

The existence of two different Cantor sets, one of them contained in the set of Liouville numbers and the

other one inside the set of Diophantine numbers, is proved. Finally, a necessary and sufficient condition

$$0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^n}. \tag{1.1}$$

© 2017 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

If  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is not a Liouville number, we say that  $\beta$  is a Diophantine number. The sets of Liouville and Diophantine numbers are, respectively, denoted by  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Joseph Liouville, by giving two different proofs, showed the existence of transcendental numbers for the first time in 1844 [1,2]. Later, in 1851 [3] more detailed versions of these proofs were given. It was also shown in [3] that the real number

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{k!}},$$

which was already mentioned in [1], is a transcendental number. It is worth noting that the techniques used in [1-3] allow proving that all Liouville numbers are transcendental.

Some general properties of the set of Liouville numbers are:  $\mathbb{L}$  is a null set under the Lebesgue measure (i.e.  $\lambda(\mathbb{L}) = 0$ ), it is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set in the real line,  $\mathbb{L}$  is an uncountable set and, more specifically, it has the cardinality of the continuum. Since the Lebesgue measure of the Diophantine numbers is infinity, it is an uncountable set. By using Theorem 3.1 below, there exists a Cantor set in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and since any uncountable closed set in  $\mathbb{R}$  has the cardinality of the continuum, it follows that  $\mathbb{D}$  has also the cardinality of the continuum. Moreover, in view of the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2017.02.002

1110-256X/© 2017 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



CrossMark

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* borys\_yamil@yahoo.com, balvarez@uce.edu.ec, balvarez@ impa.br (B. Álvarez-Samaniego), alvarezwilson@hotmail.com (W.P. Álvarez-Samaniego), jonathan.ortizc@epn.edu.ec (J. Ortiz-Castro).

set of Diophantine numbers is also dense in the real line. In addition,  $\mathbb{D}$  is a set of first category, i.e. it can be written as a countable union of nowhere dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we would like to prove an interesting result, which will only be mentioned in this section, related to the fact that the property of being a Cantor set is preserved by homeomorphisms when the homeomorphic image of its domain is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $f : A \mapsto B$  is a homeomorphism and B is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $C \subset A$  is a Cantor set, then  $f(C) \subset B$  is also a Cantor set.

**Proof.** From the fact that  $C \subset A$  is a perfect set, we get that *C* is closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, since  $f^{-1}$  is continuous, we have that  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(C) = f(C)$  is a closed set in *B*, and since *B* is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows that f(C) is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now, we claim that  $f(C) \subset (f(C))'$ . In fact, let  $y \in f(C)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So, there is  $x \in C$  such that y = f(x). Since *f* is continuous at *x*, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

for all  $z \in A$ ,  $|z - x| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(z) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . (1.2)

Considering that  $x \in C = C'$ , there exists  $z_0 \in C \subset A$  such that  $0 < |z_0 - x| < \delta$ , and by (1.2) we deduce that  $|f(z_0) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, the injectivity of f implies that  $f(x) \neq f(z_0)$ . Then,  $f(z_0) \in (B(y, \varepsilon) \setminus \{y\}) \cap f(C)$ . In consequence,  $y \in (f(C))'$ . Hence, f(C) is a perfect set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, since  $C \neq \emptyset$ , we see that  $f(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Finally, we will show that  $\operatorname{int}(f(C)) = \emptyset$ . We suppose, by contradiction, that there exists  $y \in \operatorname{int}(f(C))$ . Then, there is r > 0 such that  $(y - r, y + r) \subset f(C)$ . Since (y - r, y + r) is a connected set, we have that  $f^{-1}((y - r, y + r)) \subset C \subset A$  is also a connected set. Let us take  $u, v \in f^{-1}((y - r, y + r))$  such that u < v. Then,  $(u, v) \subset f^{-1}((y - r, y + r)) \subset C$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\operatorname{int}(f(C)) = \emptyset$ . Since f(C) is a nonempty perfect and nowhere dense set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we conclude that f(C) is a Cantor set.  $\Box$ 

It is worth mentioning that "every uncountable  $G_{\delta}$  or  $F_{\sigma}$  set in a Polish space contains a homeomorphic copy of the Cantor space" [4]. In addition, Alexandroff [5] showed that every uncountable Borel-measurable set contains a perfect set. By using these last facts, one can also obtain some of the results of this paper. However, in Section 2, we will mainly proceed in a different way, by constructing an uncountable perfect and nowhere dense subset of the Liouville numbers. It deserves remark that Bendixson's Theorem, which states that every closed subset of the real line can be represented as a disjoint union of a perfect set and a countable set, is used in the proofs of the main results given in Sections 3 and 4.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the existence of a Cantor set in the set of Liouville numbers is proved. Section 3 is devoted to show the existence of a Cantor set inside the set of Diophantine real numbers. Finally, in Section 4, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Cantor set contained in a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is given.

#### 2. Existence of a Cantor set contained in the Liouville numbers

We begin this section showing the existence of an uncountable closed set, *S*, contained in  $\mathbb{L}$ . Then, we prove that *S* is a perfect set. Finally, since *S* is a closed set and  $\lambda(\mathbb{L}) = 0$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on the real line, we conclude that *S* is also nowhere dense.

First, let us consider the following set

$$A = \{ x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : x_{2n} + x_{2n+1} = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
 (2.1)

The next result concerns the cardinality of set A.

**Lemma 2.1.** The set A, given in (2.1), has the cardinality of the continuum. **Proof.** Let *f* be the function given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f: & A & \longmapsto & \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ & x & \longmapsto & y, \end{array}$$

where  $y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{2n+1} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } x_{2n} = 1, \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the definition of function f, one gets that f is bijective. Then,  $|A| = |\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}|$ . Since  $c = |\mathbb{R}| = |\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}|$ , we conclude that A has the cardinality of the continuum.  $\Box$ 

Using (2.1), we define the set

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{n-1}}{10^{n!}} : x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A \right\}.$$
 (2.2)

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $z = (z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  be a sequence such that  $z_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{z_i}{10^{i!}} = 0,$$

then  $z_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Proof. Since

$$-\frac{z_1}{10} = \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{z_i}{10^{i!}},$$

we see that

$$\left| \frac{z_1}{10} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{z_i}{10^{i!}} \right| \le \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{|z_i|}{10^{i!}} \le \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}}$$
$$< \sum_{i=2!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^i} = \frac{\frac{1}{10^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{10}} = \frac{1}{90}.$$

Thus,  $|z_1| < \frac{1}{9} < 1$ . Hence, we conclude that  $z_1 = 0$ .

Now, we suppose, by induction, that for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $n \ge 2$ , we have that  $z_k = 0$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . From the fact that

$$-\frac{z_n}{10^{n!}} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{z_i}{10^{i!}},$$

we get

$$\left| \frac{Z_n}{10^{n!}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_i}{10^{i!}} \right| \le \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{|z_i|}{10^{i!}} \le \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \sum_{i=(n+1)!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^i} = \frac{1}{\frac{10^{(n+1)!}}{1-\frac{1}{10}}} = \frac{10}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(n+1)!}}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |z_n| &< \frac{10}{9} \cdot \frac{10^{n!}}{10^{(n+1)!}} = \frac{10}{9} \cdot 10^{n!(1-(n+1))} \\ &= \frac{10}{9} \cdot 10^{-n(n!)} \le \frac{10}{9} \cdot 10^{-4} = \frac{1}{9000} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $-n(n!) \le -4$  for  $n \in \{2, 3, ...\}$ . Hence,  $|z_n| < 1$ , and thus we conclude that  $z_n = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

The next proposition shows that the set *S* has the cardinality of the continuum.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let *S* and *A* be the sets respectively defined by (2.2) and (2.1). Then, |S| = |A| = c.

**Proof.** Let *g* be the function given by

$$x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \longmapsto \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{n-1}}{10^{n!}}.$$

By the definition of function *g*, we see that *g* is surjective. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, it follows that *g* is injective. Therefore, we conclude that *g* is bijective. Hence, |S| = |A| = c.  $\Box$ 

The subsequent result states that S is closed.

**Proposition 2.2.** The set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , given in (2.2), is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that there is a sequence  $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in *S* with  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x^n = y$ . So, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can write

$$x^n = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}},$$

where  $(x_i^n)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in A$ . Since  $(x^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a convergent sequence, we have that  $(x^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, for  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{90} > 0$ , there is  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$m, n \ge N_0 \Longrightarrow |x^m - x^n| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}}\right| < \varepsilon_0$$

Then, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m, n \ge N_0$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{|x_0^m - x_0^n|}{10} &\leq \left|\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}}\right| + \left|\sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}}\right| \\ &< \varepsilon_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{|x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n|}{10^{i!}} \leq \varepsilon_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \varepsilon_0 + \sum_{i=2!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^i} \\ &= \varepsilon_0 + \frac{0.1^2}{1 - 0.1} = \frac{1}{90} + \frac{1}{90} = \frac{1}{45}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $x_0^n = x_0^m$ . Hence, there is  $x_0 \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_0^n = x_0$ . We proceed now by induction on k. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let us suppose that for all  $j \in \{0, ..., k-1\}$ , there exist  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_j^n =: x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ . Using again the fact that  $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence, we see that for  $\varepsilon_k = \frac{10}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(k+2)!}} > 0$ , there is  $\widetilde{N}_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$m, n \ge \widetilde{N}_{k-1} \Longrightarrow |x^m - x^n| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}} \right| < \varepsilon_k.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Since for every  $j \in \{0, 1, ..., k-1\}$ , there exist  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_j^n = x_j \in \{0, 1\}$  we have that there is  $N_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$n \ge N_j \Longrightarrow x_j^n = x_j. \tag{2.4}$$

Let  $N_k := \max\{N_0, N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}, \widetilde{N}_{k-1}\} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$m, n \ge N_k \Longrightarrow |x^m - x^n| = \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}} \right| < \varepsilon_k, \tag{2.5}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.3) and (2.4). Then, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$m, n \ge N_k \Longrightarrow \frac{|x_k^m - x_k^n|}{10^{(k+1)!}} \\ \le \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}} \right| + \left| \sum_{i=k+2}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n}{10^{i!}} \right| \\ < \varepsilon_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^{+\infty} \frac{|x_{i-1}^m - x_{i-1}^n|}{10^{i!}}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \varepsilon_k + \sum_{i=(k+2)!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^i}$$
  
=  $\varepsilon_k + \frac{0.1^{(k+2)!}}{1-0.1} = \frac{10}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(k+2)!}} + \frac{10}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(k+2)!}}$   
=  $\frac{20}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(k+2)!}},$ 

where in the second inequality on the right-hand side of the implication above, we have used (2.5). Thus, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(1. 1)

$$m, n \ge N_k \implies |x_k^m - x_k^n| < \frac{20}{9} \cdot \frac{10^{(k+1)!}}{10^{(k+2)!}} = \frac{20}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{(k+1)!(k+1)}} < \frac{20}{9 \cdot 10^4} < 1 \implies x_k^m = x_k^n.$$
(2.6)

It follows from (2.6) that there is  $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_k^n = x_k$ . By the principle of finite induction, we conclude that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_l^n =: x_l \in \{0, 1\}.$$
(2.7)

Moreover, it follows from the last expression that for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N_l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$n \ge N_l \Longrightarrow x_l^n = x_l. \tag{2.8}$$

Claim 1:  $x := (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in A$ .

Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We see that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x_{2i}^n + x_{2i+1}^n = 1.$$

By using (2.7) into the last expression we get

$$x_{2i} + x_{2i+1} = 1.$$
(2.9)

By (2.7),  $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and using (2.9), we conclude that  $x \in A$ . Claim 2:  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}}$ .

In fact, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < +\infty$ , there is  $a = a(\varepsilon) \in \{2, 3, ...\}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=a}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \varepsilon.$$
(2.10)

By (2.8), there exists  $P = P(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$n \ge P \Longrightarrow x_k^n = x_k \in \{0, 1\}, \forall k \in \{0, 1, \dots, a-2\}.$$
So, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
$$(2.11)$$

$$n \ge P \Longrightarrow \left| x^{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^{n}}{10^{i!}} - \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right|$$
$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_{i-1}^{n} - x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} - \sum_{i=a}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^{n} - x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right|$$
$$= \left| \sum_{i=a}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}^{n} - x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right|$$
$$\le \sum_{i=a}^{+\infty} \frac{|x_{i-1}^{n} - x_{i-1}|}{10^{i!}} \le \sum_{i=a}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \varepsilon,$$
(2.12)

where in the third equality above we have used (2.11) and in the last inequality we have used (2.10). Then,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} x^n = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}}.$$

By the uniqueness of the limit in the real line, we conclude that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}}$ .

328

g

Using Claims 1 and 2, we obtain that  $y \in S$ . Hence, S is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\Box$ 

By using a standard proof, we now show the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** The set *S*, given in (2.2), is contained in the set of Liouville numbers, more precisely

$$S \subset (0,1) \cap \mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{L}. \tag{2.13}$$

**Proof.** Let  $y \in S$ . Thus, there exists  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A$  such that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{|\Omega_0^{i!}|}$ . Then,

$$0 < y = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} < \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i!}} < \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{i}} = \frac{1}{9} < 1$$

We now consider  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We define  $q_n, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  as follows

$$q_n := 10^{n!} > 1$$
 and  $p_n := q_n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_{k-1}}{10^{k!}}.$ 

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| y - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{k-1}}{10^{k!}} < \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{k!}} \\ &< \sum_{k=(n+1)!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^k} = \frac{1}{10^{(n+1)!}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{10^{(n+1)!}} \cdot \frac{10}{9} < \frac{10}{10^{(n+1)!}} \\ &\leq \frac{10^{n!}}{10^{(n+1)!}} = \frac{1}{10^{n!(n+1)-n!}} = \frac{1}{10^{n! \cdot n}} = \frac{1}{q_n^n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of completeness, we will show here that  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . In fact, we suppose, by contradiction, that there are  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\frac{p}{q} = y = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}}$ . Since  $y \in (0, 1)$ , we see that 0 . $Then, <math>p \in \{1, 2, ..., q - 1\}$ . Moreover, there is  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$q < 10^{m! \cdot m - 1}.\tag{2.14}$$

Furthermore, the expression  $\frac{p}{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}}$  is equivalent to

$$p \cdot 10^{m!} = q \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{k-1} 10^{m!-k!} + q \cdot 10^{m!} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{k-1}}{10^{k!}}.$$
 (2.15)

Using (2.15) we see that  $(q \cdot 10^{m!} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{k-1}}{10^{k!}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} 1 &\leq q \cdot 10^{m!} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{k-1}}{10^{k!}} < q \cdot 10^{m!} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^{k!}} \\ &< q \cdot 10^{m!} \cdot \sum_{k=(m+1)!}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^k} = \frac{q \cdot 10^{m!}}{10^{(m+1)!}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{10^k} \\ &= \frac{q}{10^{m! \cdot m}} \cdot \frac{10}{9} < \frac{q}{10^{m! \cdot m-1}} < 1, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.14). Last expression shows that the assumption  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  leads to a contradiction. Hence,  $S \subset (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{L}$ .  $\Box$ 

The succeeding result says that the set *S* is equal to its set of accumulation points.

#### **Proposition 2.4.** The set S, given in (2.2), is a perfect set, i.e. S = S'.

**Proof.** Since *S* is closed, it is enough to show that every element of *S* is an accumulation point of *S*. In order to prove the last assertion, let  $a \in S$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We will show that there exists  $b \in S$  such that  $0 < |a - b| < \varepsilon$ . We take  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $N > \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{2}{\varepsilon})$ . Since *a* 

 $\in$  S, there is  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in A$  such that  $a=\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i1}}$ . For all  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$y_i := \begin{cases} 1 - x_i, & \text{if } (2N - i)(2N + 1 - i) = 0, \\ x_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2.16)

Since  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , it follows directly from (2.16) that  $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We will now show that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_{2i} + y_{2i+1} = 1$ . In fact, if i = N, then  $y_{2i} + y_{2i+1} = 1 - x_{2i} + 1 - x_{2i+1} = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $i \neq N$ , then  $y_{2i} + y_{2i+1} = x_{2i} + x_{2i+1} = 1$ . Thus,  $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in A$ , and therefore

$$b := \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{y_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \in S.$$
(2.17)

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |a-b| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} - \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{y_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_{i-1} - y_{i-1}}{10^{i!}} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x_i - y_i}{10^{(i+1)!}} \right| = \left| \frac{x_{2N} - y_{2N}}{10^{(2N+1)!}} + \frac{x_{2N+1} - y_{2N+1}}{10^{(2N+2)!}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{x_{2N} - 1 + x_{2N}}{10^{(2N+1)!}} + \frac{x_{2N+1} - 1 + x_{2N+1}}{10^{(2N+2)!}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2x_{2N} - 1}{10^{(2N+1)!}} + \frac{2x_{2N+1} - 1}{10^{(2N+2)!}} \right| \le \frac{|2x_{2N} - 1|}{10^{(2N+1)!}} + \frac{|2x_{2N+1} - 1|}{10^{(2N+2)!}} \\ &= \frac{1}{10^{(2N+1)!}} + \frac{1}{10^{(2N+2)!}} \le \frac{1}{10^{(2N)!}} + \frac{1}{10^{(2N)!}} \\ &\le \frac{2}{10^{2N}} < \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the fact that for all  $z \in \{0, 1\}, |2z - 1| = 1$ . This concludes the proof of the proposition.  $\Box$ 

We now proceed to prove the key theorem of this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** The set S, given in (2.2), is a Cantor set contained in the set of Liouville numbers.

**Proof.** Let *S* be the set given by (2.2). By Propositions 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, *S* is an uncountable perfect set contained in  $\mathbb{L}$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.2, *S* is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $\lambda(\mathbb{L}) = 0$  and  $S \subset \mathbb{L}$ , we have that *S* is a nowhere dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We may therefore conclude that *S* is a Cantor set such that  $S \subset \mathbb{L}$ .  $\Box$ 

Before ending this section, we state an important definition and a lemma that we will use in the proof of Proposition 2.5 below.

**Definition 2.1** (Cantor–Bendixson's derivative). Let *A* be a subset of a topological space. For a given ordinal number  $\alpha \in \mathbf{OR}$ , we define, using transfinite recursion, the  $\alpha$ th derivative of *A*, written  $A^{(\alpha)}$ , as follows:

• 
$$A^{(0)} = A$$
,  
•  $A^{(\beta+1)} = (A^{(\beta)})'$ , for all ordinal  $\beta$ ,  
•  $A^{(\lambda)} = \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} A^{(\gamma)}$ , for all limit ordinal  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

The next lemma and its proof can be found in [6, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$  be closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, for all ordinal number  $\alpha \in \mathbf{OR}$ , we have that

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} F_k\right)^{(\alpha)} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} F_k^{(\alpha)}.$$

We close this section with a general topological result on the real line.

**Proposition 2.5.** Every element of a perfect set  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a condensation point of *C*.

**Proof.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a perfect set. We suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is  $a \in C$  such that a is not a condensation point of C. Then, there exists r > 0 such that  $C \cap (a - r, a + r)$  is countable. Thus,  $C \cap [a - r, a + r]$  is also countable. Since C is a perfect set, we have that C is closed. Hence,  $C \cap [a - r, a + r]$  is a closed and countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Theorem C of Cantor [7], there exists a countable ordinal number  $\alpha \in \Omega$  such that the  $\alpha$ th derivative of  $C \cap [a - r, a + r]$  is empty, namely,  $(C \cap [a - r, a + r])^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ . Moreover, we write

$$C = (C \setminus (a - r, a + r)) \biguplus (C \cap (a - r, a + r))$$
  
$$\subset (\mathbb{R} \setminus (a - r, a + r)) \cup (C \cap [a - r, a + r]),$$

where  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (a - r, a + r) = (-\infty, a - r] \biguplus [a + r, +\infty)$  is a perfect set. Using Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{C}^{(\alpha)} \subset \left[ \left( \mathbb{R} \setminus (a - r, a + r) \right) \cup \left( \mathcal{C} \cap [a - r, a + r] \right) \right]^{(\alpha)} \\ &= \left( \mathbb{R} \setminus (a - r, a + r) \right)^{(\alpha)} \cup \left( \mathcal{C} \cap [a - r, a + r] \right)^{(\alpha)} \\ &= \mathbb{R} \setminus (a - r, a + r), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, every element of C is a condensation point of C.  $\ \Box$ 

## **3.** Existence of a Cantor set contained in the Diophantine numbers

First, let us consider the following representation of the set of Liouville numbers,

$$\mathbb{L} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n,$$

where

$$U_n = \bigcup_{q=2}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \left( \frac{p}{q} - \frac{1}{q^n}, \frac{p}{q} \right) \biguplus \left( \frac{p}{q}, \frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{q^n} \right) \right]$$

is an open and dense set of  $\mathbb{R}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}^{c} = \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n}\right)^{c} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n}^{c} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{n},$$
(3.1)

where  $D_n = U_n^c$  is a closed and nowhere dense set, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We come now to the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** There is a set  $C \subset \mathbb{D}$  such that C is a Cantor set.

**Proof.** Since  $\lambda(\mathbb{L}) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{D}$  is an uncountable set. Using (3.1), we see that there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D_k$  is an uncountable set. Let *C* be the set of all condensation points of  $D_k$ . By Bendixson's Theorem,  $D_k = (D_k \setminus C) \biguplus C$ , where  $D_k \setminus C$  is countable and *C* is a perfect set. We see that *C* is an uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, since  $D_k$  is a nowhere dense set, we get that *C* is also nowhere dense. Hence, *C* is a Cantor set contained in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\Box$ 

# 4. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Cantor set contained in a subset of the real line

We begin this section with a preliminary result.

**Lemma 4.1.** Every nonempty perfect set in  $\mathbb{R}$  contains a Cantor set.

**Proof.** Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty perfect set. There are two cases to consider.

- If  $int(P) = \emptyset$ , then P is a Cantor set.
- If  $a \in int(P)$ , there is r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset P$ . Let  $\widetilde{C}$  be the usual triadic Cantor set in the closed interval  $\left[a \frac{r}{3}, a + \frac{r}{3}\right]$ . Then,

$$\widetilde{C} \subset \left[a - \frac{r}{3}, a + \frac{r}{3}\right] \subset (a - r, a + r) \subset P.$$

Finally, we show the purpose of this section.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  contains a Cantor set if and only if X contains a closed and uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F \subset X$  be a closed and uncountable subset of the real line. By Bendixson's Theorem, there is a perfect and uncountable set  $P \subset F$ . By Lemma 4.1, there is a Cantor set *K*, such that  $K \subset P \subset F \subset X$ . Reciprocally, since all Cantor sets are closed and uncountable, the theorem is proved.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

#### References

- J. Liouville, Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique, ni même réductible à des irrationnelles algébriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 18 (1844) 883–885.
- [2] J. Liouville, Nouvelle démonstration d'un théorème sur les irrationnelles algébriques inséré dans le compte rendu de la dernière séance, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 18 (1844) 910–911.
- [3] J. Liouville, Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique, ni même réductible à des irrationnelles algébriques, J. Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1851) 133–142.
- [4] A.S. Kechris, Classical descriptive set theory, in: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156, Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [5] P.S. Alexandroff, Sur la puissance des ensembles mesurables, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 162 (1916) 323–325.
- [6] B. Álvarez-Samaniego, A. Merino, A primitive associated to the Cantor-Bendixson derivative on the real line, J. Math. Sci. Adv. Appl. 41 (2016) 1–33.
- [7] G. Cantor, Sur divers théorèmes de la théorie des ensembles de points situés dans un espace continu à n dimensions, Acta Math. 2 (1883) 409–414.