



Original article

Convolution conditions for subclasses of meromorphic functions of complex order associated with basic Bessel functions

Adela O. Mostafa^a, Mohamed K. Aouf^a, Hanaa M. Zayed^{b,*}, Teodor Bulboacă^c^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Menofia University, Shebin Elkom 32511, Egypt^c Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 December 2016

Revised 27 January 2017

Accepted 12 March 2017

Available online 22 March 2017

MSC:

30C45

30C50

Keywords:

Univalent functions

Meromorphic functions

Hadamard (or convolution) product

Subordination

 q -derivative q -Bessel's function

ABSTRACT

Making use of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu}$ associated with functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1},$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $\mathbb{U}^* := \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$, we introduce two subclasses of meromorphic functions and investigate convolution properties and coefficient estimates for these subclasses.

© 2017 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

1. Introduction

The q -theory has important role in various branches of mathematics and physics as for example, in the areas of special functions, ordinary fractional calculus, optimal control problems, q -difference, q -integral equations, q -transform analysis and in quantum physics (see for instance [1–8]).

Let Σ denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $\mathbb{U}^* := \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If $g \in \Sigma$ is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-1},$$

then the *Hadamard (or convolution) product* of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-1}.$$

We recall some definitions which will be used in our paper.

Definition 1.1. For two functions f and g analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is *subordinate* to g , written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a *Schwarz function* w , that is w is analytic in \mathbb{U} , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [9]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Gasper and Rahman [5] defined the q -derivative ($0 < q < 1$) of a function f of the form (1.1) by

$$D_q f(z) := \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*. \quad (1.2)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: adelaeg254@yahoo.com (A.O. Mostafa), mkaouf127@yahoo.com (M.K. Aouf), hanaa_zayed42@yahoo.com (H.M. Zayed), bulboaca@math.ubbcluj.ro (T. Bulboacă).

From (1.2) we deduce that for a function f of the form (1.1) the q -derivative $D_q f$ is given by

$$D_q f(z) = -\frac{1}{qz^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k-1]_q a_k z^{k-2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*,$$

where

$$[k-1]_q := \frac{1-q^{k-1}}{1-q} = 1+q+\dots+q^{k-2}.$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then $[k-1]_q \rightarrow k-1$, hence we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*.$$

Definition 1.2. For $0 < q < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $\Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$ be the subclass of Σ consisting of functions f of the form (1.1) and satisfying

$$1 - \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)} + \frac{1}{q} \right] < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{1.3}$$

Also, let $\Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]$ be the subclass of Σ consisting of functions f of the form (1.1) and satisfying

$$1 - \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q(-qzD_q f(z))}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})(-qzD_q f(z)) - \lambda zD_q(-qzD_q f(z))} + \frac{1}{q} \right] < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{1.4}$$

It is easy to verify from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] \Leftrightarrow -qzD_q f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]. \tag{1.5}$$

Remarks 1.1. We remark the following special cases:

- (i) $\Sigma S_{q,0}^*[b; A, B] =: \Sigma S_q^*[b; A, B]$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,0}[b; A, B] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}_q[b; A, B]$ (see Mostafa et al. [10]);
- (ii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B] =: \Sigma S_{\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}[b; A, B]$ (see Aouf et al. [11]);
- (iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma S_{q,0}^*[b; A, B] =: \Sigma S^*[b; A, B]$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,0}[b; A, B] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}[b; A, B]$ (see Bulboacă et al. [12]);
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma S_{q,0}^*[b; 1, -1] =: \Sigma S(b)$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,0}[b; 1, -1] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}(b)$ (see Aouf [13]);
- (v) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma S_{q,0}^*[(1-\alpha)e^{-i\mu} \cos \mu; 1, -1] =: \Sigma S^{\mu}(\alpha)$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,0}[(1-\alpha)e^{-i\mu} \cos \mu; 1, -1] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}^{\mu}(\alpha)$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\mu| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$) (see Ravichandran et al. [14] with $p = 1$).

For any complex number α , the q -shifted factorials are defined by

$$(\alpha; q)_0 := 1; \quad (\alpha; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha q^k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}. \tag{1.6}$$

If $|q| < 1$, the definition (1.6) remains meaningful for $n = \infty$ as a convergent infinite product

$$(\alpha; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^j).$$

In terms of the q -analogue of the gamma function

$$(q^{\alpha}; q)_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + n)(1 - q)^n}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}, \quad n > 0,$$

where the q -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty} (1 - q)^{1-x}}{(q^x; q)_{\infty}}, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

We note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(q^{\alpha}; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n} = (\alpha)_n,$$

where

$$(\alpha)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \dots (\alpha + n - 1), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Consider the q -analogue of Bessel function defined by (see Jackson [15])

$$\mathcal{J}_{\nu}^{(1)}(z; q) = \frac{(q^{\nu+1}; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k+\nu}, \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

and let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu}(z; q) &:= \frac{2^{\nu} (q; q)_{\infty}}{(q^{\nu+1}; q)_{\infty} (1 - q)^{\nu} z^{\nu/2+1}} \mathcal{J}_{\nu}^{(1)}(z^{1/2}(1 - q); q) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1 - q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} z^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hadamard product, we define the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} f)(z) &:= \mathcal{L}_{\nu}(z; q) * f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1 - q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1).

The operator $\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu}$ was introduced and studied by Mostafa et al [10]. Also, as $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu}$ reduces to the operator \mathcal{L}_{ν} introduced and studied by Aouf et al. [11].

Definition 1.3. For $0 < q < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and ν is an unrestricted (real or complex) number, let

$$\Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B] := \{f \in \Sigma : \mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]\},$$

and

$$\Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B] := \{f \in \Sigma : \mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]\}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B] \Leftrightarrow -qzD_q f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B].$$

We note that: (i) $\Sigma S_{q,0,\nu}^*[b; A, B] =: \Sigma S_{q,\nu}^*[b; A, B]$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,0,\nu}[b; A, B] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\nu}[b; A, B]$ (see Mostafa et al. [10]);

(ii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B] =: \Sigma S_{\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B]$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B] =: \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B]$ (see Aouf et al. [11]).

2. Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $0 < q < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and ν is an unrestricted (real or complex) number.

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \Sigma$, then $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} z \left[f(z) * \frac{1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)z \right]}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] &\neq 0, \\ \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{U} \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$M(\theta) = M_q^{b;A,B}(\theta) := \frac{e^{-i\theta} + B}{(A - B)qb}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that for any $f \in \Sigma$ the next relations hold:

$$f(z) * \frac{1}{z(1-z)} = f(z), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$f(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{q+1}{(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] = -qzD_q f(z). \quad (2.4)$$

First, if $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$, in order to prove that (2.1) holds we will write (1.3) by using the definition of the subordination, that is

$$\frac{qzD_q f(z)}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)} = \frac{1 + [B + (A - B)qb]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

where w is a Schwarz function, hence

$$z \left\{ -qzD_q f(z)(1 + Be^{i\theta}) - [1 + [B + (A - B)qb]e^{i\theta}] \cdot \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z) \right] \right\} \neq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Using (2.3) and (2.4), the relation (2.5) may be written as

$$z \left\{ (1 + Be^{i\theta}) \left(f(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{q+1}{(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] \right) - [1 + [B + (A - B)qb]e^{i\theta}] \cdot \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right) \left(f(z) * \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \left(f(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{q+1}{(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] \right) \right] \right\} \neq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$z \left[f(z) * \frac{1 + \frac{(1+Be^{i\theta})(1-\frac{\lambda}{q})z}{(A-B)qbe^{i\theta}} - \frac{(\frac{\lambda}{q}+q)(A-B)qbe^{i\theta}z}{(A-B)qbe^{i\theta}}}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} [- (A - B)qbe^{i\theta}] \right] \neq 0,$$

or

$$z \left[f(z) * \frac{1 + \left[\frac{(1-\frac{\lambda}{q})(e^{-i\theta}+B)}{(A-B)qb} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right) \right] z}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

and thus the first part of Theorem 2.1 was proved.

Reversely, suppose that $f \in \Sigma$ satisfy the condition (2.1). Like it was previously shown, the assumption (2.1) is equivalent to (2.5), hence

$$\frac{qzD_q f(z)}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)} \neq \frac{1 + [B + (A - B)qb]e^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. (2.6)

Denoting

$$\varphi(z) = -\frac{qzD_q f(z)}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1 + [B + (A - B)qb]z}{1 + Bz},$$

the relation (2.6) means that $\varphi(\mathbb{U}) \cap \psi(\partial\mathbb{U}) = \emptyset$. Thus, the simply connected domain is included in a connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \psi(\partial\mathbb{U})$. Therefore, using the fact that $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$ and the univalence of the function ψ , it follows that $\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z)$, which implies that $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$. Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \square

Remarks 2.1.

- (i) Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the result of Bulboacă et al. [12, Theorem 1];

- (ii) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ and $e^{i\theta} = x$ in the above theorem we obtain the result due to Ponnusamy [16, Theorem 2.1];
- (iii) Considering $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\lambda = 0$, $b = (1 - \alpha)e^{-i\mu} \cos \mu$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{R}, |\mu| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $A = 1$, $B = -1$ and $e^{i\theta} = x$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the result of Ravichandran et al. (see [14, Theorem 1.2] with $p = 1$);
- (iv) If we take $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the above theorem reduces to the result from [5, Theorem 4].

Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \Sigma$, then $f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$z \left[f(z) * \frac{1 - (1 + q + q^2)z - (q + 1)\left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)\right]qz^2}{z(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $M(\theta)$ is given by (2.2).

Proof. From (1.5) it follows that $f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]$ if and only if $\Phi_q(z) := -qzD_q f(z) \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$. Then, according to Theorem 2.1, the function Φ_q belongs to $\Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$z[\Phi_q(z) * g(z)] \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{U} \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)\right]z}{z(1-z)(1-qz)}.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$D_q g(z) = \frac{g(qz) - g(z)}{(q-1)z} = \frac{-1 + (1 + q + q^2)z + qz^2(q+1)\left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)\right]}{z(qz)(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)},$$

and therefore

$$-qzD_q g(z) = \frac{1 - (1 + q + q^2)z - (q + 1)\left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)\right]qz^2}{z(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)}.$$

Using the above relation and the identity

$$[-qzD_q f(z)] * g(z) = f(z) * [-qzD_q g(z)],$$

it is easy to check that (2.8) is equivalent to (2.7). \square

Remarks 2.2.

- (i) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.2 we obtain the result of Bulboacă et al. [12, Theorem 2];
- (ii) Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ and $e^{i\theta} = x$ in the above theorem we obtain the result due to Ponnusamy [16, Theorem 2.2];
- (iii) If we take $q \rightarrow 1^-$, this theorem reduces to the result from [11, Theorem 6].

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1), then $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1-q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \cdot \frac{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}[k]_q)(A - B)qb + (1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})[k]_q(e^{-i\theta} + B)}{(A - B)qb} a_k z^k \neq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Proof. If $f \in \Sigma$, then from Theorem 2.1 we have $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$z \left[(\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} f)(z) * \frac{1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{q} + q\right)\right]z}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $M(\theta)$ is given by (2.2). Since

$$\frac{1}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} = \frac{1}{z} + (1+q) + (1+q+q^2)z + (1+q+q^2+q^3)z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*, \quad (2.11)$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1 + [(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})M(\theta) - (\frac{\lambda}{q} + q)]z}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \right] [k]_q \right\} z^{k-1},$$

and we may easily check that (2.10) is equivalent to (2.9). \square

Theorem 2.4. If $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1), then $f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$1 - q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1-q)^{2k} [k-1]_q}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \cdot \frac{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)(A-B)qb + (1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}) [k]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B)}{(A-B)qb} a_k z^k \neq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Proof. If $f \in \Sigma$, then from Theorem 2.2 we have $f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$z \left[(\mathcal{L}_{q,\nu} f)(z) * \frac{1 - (1+q+q^2)z - (q+1)[(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})M(\theta) - (\frac{\lambda}{q} + q)]qz^2}{z(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)} \right] \neq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $M(\theta)$ is given by (2.2). Using the power series expansion (2.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{z(1-z)(1-qz)} \cdot \frac{1}{1-q^2z} = \frac{1}{z} + (1+q+q^2) + (1+q+2q^2+q^3+q^4)z + (1+q+2q^2+2q^3+2q^4+q^5+q^6)z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*,$$

hence

$$\frac{1 - (1+q+q^2)z - (q+1)[(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q})M(\theta) - (\frac{\lambda}{q} + q)]qz^2}{z(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z)} = \frac{1}{z} - q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k-1]_q \left\{ 1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)M(\theta) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \right] [k]_q \right\} z^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*.$$

Now, we may easily check that (2.13) is equivalent to (2.12), which proves our result. \square

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout the remainder of this section that ν is a real number, with $\nu > -1$.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1) and satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^{2k} [(A-B)q|b(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)| + |1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}|(1+|B|)[k]_q]}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} |a_k| < (A-B)q|b|, \quad (2.14)$$

then $f \in \Sigma S_{q,\lambda,\nu}^*[b; A, B]$.

Proof. If $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1), assuming that (2.14) holds we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1-q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \cdot \frac{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)(A-B)qb + (1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}) [k]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B)}{(A-B)qb} a_k z^k \right| \\ & \geq 1 - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (1-q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \cdot \frac{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)(A-B)qb + (1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}) [k]_q (e^{-i\theta} + B)}{(A-B)qb} a_k z^k \right| \\ & \geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^{2k}}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} \cdot \frac{(A-B)q|b(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)| + |1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}|(1+|B|)[k]_q}{(A-B)q|b|} |a_k| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Thus, the relation (2.9) holds and our conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

Using similar arguments to those in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. If $f \in \Sigma$ has the form (1.1) and satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^{2k} [k-1]_q [(A-B)q|b(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q} [k]_q)| + |1 - \frac{\lambda}{q}|(1+|B|)[k]_q]}{4^k (q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} |a_k| < (A-B)q|b|,$$

then $f \in \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda,\nu}[b; A, B]$.

Remarks 2.3.

- (i) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2.3 we obtain the result of Aouf et al. [11, Theorem 8];
- (ii) Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2.4 we obtain the result due to Aouf et al. [11, Theorem 9];
- (iii) If we take $q \rightarrow 1^-$, Theorem 2.5 reduces to the result of [11, Theorem 10];
- (iv) Considering $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in the last theorem we obtain the result from [11, Theorem 11];
- (v) Taking $\lambda = 0$ in our results, we obtain the results due to Mostafa et al. [10].

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] M.H. Abu-Risha, M.H. Annaby, M.E.H. Ismail, Z.S. Mansour, Linear q -difference equations, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 26 (4) (2007) 481–494.
- [2] D. Albayrak, S.D. Purohit, F. Uçar, On q -analogues of sumudu transforms, *An. Stiinț. Univ. Ovidius Constanța Ser. Mat.* 21 (1) (2013a) 239–260.
- [3] D. Albayrak, S.D. Purohit, F. Uçar, On q -sumudu transforms of certain q -polynomials, *Filomat* 27 (2) (2013b) 411–427.
- [4] G. Bangerezako, Variational calculus on q -non uniform lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 306 (1) (2005) 161–179.
- [5] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] V.G. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [7] Z.S.I. Mansour, Linear sequential q -difference equations of fractional order, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 12 (2) (2009) 159–178.
- [8] P.M. Rajković, S.D. Marinković, M.S. Stanković, Fractional integrals and derivatives in q -calculus, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 1 (2007) 311–323.
- [9] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 255, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2000.

- [10] A.O. Mostafa, M.K. Aouf, H.M. Zayed, T. Bulboacă, Convolution properties for subclasses of meromorphic functions of complex order associated with besse functions, Submitted.
- [11] M.K. Aouf, A.O. Mostafa, H.M. Zayed, Convolution properties for some subclasses of meromorphic functions of complex order, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2015 (2015) 6pages. Article ID 973613
- [12] T. Bulboacă, M.K. Aouf, R.M. El-Ashwah, Convolution properties for subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions of complex order, *Filomat* 26 (1) (2012) 153–163.
- [13] M.K. Aouf, Coefficient results for some classes of meromorphic functions, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* 27 (2) (1987) 81–97.
- [14] V. Ravichandran, S.S. Kumar, K.G. Subramanian, Convolution conditions for spirallikeness and convex spirallikeness of certain meromorphic p -valent functions, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (1) (2004) 7pages. Article 11
- [15] F.H. Jackson, The application of basic numbers to besse's and legendre's functions (second paper), *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3 (2)(1904–1905), 1–23.
- [16] S. Ponnusamy, Convolution properties of some classes of meromorphic univalent functions, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* 103 (1) (1993) 73–89.