



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Adomian solution of a nonlinear quadratic integral equation

E.A.A. Ziada *

Faculty of Engineering, Delta University for Science and Technology, Gamasa, Egypt

Received 6 August 2012; revised 5 November 2012; accepted 9 November 2012

Available online 27 February 2013

KEYWORDS

Nonlinear quadratic integral equation;
Banach space;
Fixed point theorem;
Convergence analysis;
Adomian method;
Repeated trapezoidal method

Abstract We are concerned here with a nonlinear quadratic integral equation (QIE). The existence of a unique solution will be proved. Convergence analysis of Adomian decomposition method (ADM) applied to these type of equations is discussed. Convergence analysis is reliable enough to estimate the maximum absolute truncated error of Adomian's series solution. Two methods are used to solve these type of equations; ADM and repeated trapezoidal method. The obtained results are compared.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 26A33, 65L05, 65L20

© 2013 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.
Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](#).

1. Introduction

Quadratic integral equations (QIEs) are often applicable in the theory of radiative transfer, kinetic theory of gases, theory of neutron transport and traffic theory [1–7]. In this paper, ADM is used to solve QIEs. This method has many advantages, it is efficiently works with different types of linear and nonlinear equations in deterministic or stochastic fields and gives an analytic solution for all these types of equations with-

out linearization or discretization [8–15]. Repeated trapezoidal method [16] is also used to solve these type of equations and the results obtained from the two methods are compared. The contribution of this work can be summarized in the following four points:

- Introducing the sufficient condition that guarantees the existence of a unique solution to our problem (see Theorem 1).
- Based on the above point and using Adomian polynomials formula suggested in [17] convergence of ADM is discussed (see Theorem 2).
- Using point two, the maximum absolute truncated error of the Adomian's series solution is estimated (see Theorem 3).
- Preparation of algorithms using MATHEMATICA package to solve the given numerical examples.

* Tel.: +20 1009296257.

E-mail address: eng_emanziada@yahoo.com

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

2. The Problem with the Solution Algorithm

Let $J = [0, T]$, $T \in R^+$. Denote by $E = C(J)$ the space of continuous functions defined on J with norm $\|x\| = \max_{t \in J} |x(t)|$. Consider the nonlinear QIE,

$$x(t) = p(t) + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right). \tag{1}$$

Assume the following assumptions:

- (i) $f, g: [0, T] \times R \rightarrow R$ are continuous.
- (ii) $k_1, k_2: [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow R$ are continuous.
- (iii) f, g satisfy the Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constants c_1 and c_2

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq c_1 |x - y|, \tag{2}$$

$$|g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq c_2 |x - y|.$$

- (iv) $k_i = \max\{|k_i(t, s)| : t, s \in [0, T]\}$, $i = 1, 2$.
- (v) $Q = \sup\{|f(t, 0)| : t \in [0, T]\}$, $R = \sup\{|g(t, 0)| : t \in [0, T]\}$, $|x(t)| < H$.

The solution algorithm of Eq. (1) using ADM is,

$$x_0(t) = p(t), \tag{3}$$

$$x_i(t) = \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right). \tag{4}$$

where A and B are Adomian polynomials of the nonlinear terms $f(t, x)$ and $g(t, x)$ respectively, which take the form

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left[f \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i x_i \right) \right]_{\lambda=0}$$

Finally, the solution will be

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(t) \tag{5}$$

This problem was discussed before in [18], the authors proved the existence of at least one positive nondecreasing solution but here we prove that it gives a unique solution.

3. Convergence analysis

3.1. Existence and uniqueness theorem

Theorem 1. Let $p(t) \in C(J)$, $f(t, x)$ and $g(t, x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition (2). If

$$T < \frac{1}{[k_1 k_2 [2c_1 c_2 H + c_1 R + c_2 Q]]^{1/2}}$$

then the QIE (1) has a unique solution $x \in C(J)$.

Proof. The mapping $F: E \rightarrow E$ is defined as,

$$Fx = p(t) + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right),$$

Let $x, y \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Fx - Fy &= \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) [f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, y(\tau))] d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) [g(\tau, x(\tau)) - g(\tau, y(\tau))] d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Fx - Fy\| &\leq \max_{t \in J} \left| \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) [f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, y(\tau))] d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) g(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in J} \left| \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) [g(\tau, x(\tau)) - g(\tau, y(\tau))] d\tau \right) \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in J} \left(\int_0^t |k_1(t, \tau)| |f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, y(\tau))| d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t |k_2(t, \tau)| |g(\tau, x(\tau))| d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in J} \left(\int_0^t |k_1(t, \tau)| |f(\tau, y(\tau))| d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t |k_2(t, \tau)| |g(\tau, x(\tau)) - g(\tau, y(\tau))| d\tau \right) \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 c_1 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y(t)| \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t (|g(\tau, x(\tau)) - g(\tau, 0)| + |g(\tau, 0)|) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + k_1 k_2 c_2 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y(t)| \left(\int_0^t (|f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, 0)| + |f(\tau, 0)|) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right) \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 T \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y(t)| \left[c_1 \int_0^t (c_2 |x(\tau)| + R) d\tau + c_2 \int_0^t (c_1 |x(\tau)| + Q) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 T^2 [c_1 (c_2 H + R) + c_2 (c_1 H + Q)] \|x - y\| \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 T^2 [2c_1 c_2 H + c_1 R + c_2 Q] \|x - y\| \leq L \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

under the condition $0 < L = k_1 k_2 T^2 [2c_1 c_2 H + c_1 R + c_2 Q] < 1$, the mapping F is contraction and hence for $T < \frac{1}{[k_1 k_2 [2c_1 c_2 H + c_1 R + c_2 Q]]^{1/2}}$ there exists a unique solution $x \in C(J)$ of the problem (1) and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. Consider the nonlinear QIE,

$$x(t) = p(t) + \left(\int_0^t k(t, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right)^2, \tag{6}$$

from problem (1), the QIE (6) has a unique solution $x \in C(J)$, if

$$T < \frac{1}{k [2c(cH + M)]^{1/2}},$$

where $c_1 = c_2 = c$, $k_1 = k_2 = k$, $R = Q = M$.

3.2. Proof of convergence

Theorem 2. Let the solution of the QIE (1) be exist. If $|x_1(t)| < k$, k is a positive constant then the series solution (5) of the QIE (1) using ADM converges.

Proof. Define the sequence $\{S_p\}$ such that, $S_p = \sum_{i=0}^p x_i(t)$ is the sequence of partial sums from the series solution $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(t)$, and we have,

$$f(t, x) = A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i,$$

$$g(t, x) = B = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i.$$

Let S_p and S_q be two arbitrary partial sums with $p > q$. Now, we are going to prove that $\{S_p\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in this Banach space E .

$$\begin{aligned} S_p - S_q &= \sum_{i=0}^p x_i - \sum_{i=0}^q x_i = \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \left[\sum_{i=0}^p A_{i-1}(\tau) - \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) \right] d\tau \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) + \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \left[\sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) - \sum_{i=0}^q B_{i-1}(\tau) \right] d\tau \right) \|S_p - S_q\| \leq \max_{t \in J} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=q+1}^p A_i(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) + \max_{t \in J} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=q+1}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \max_{t \in J} \left| \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=q}^{p-1} A_i(\tau) d\tau \right| \left| \int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^p B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in J} \left| \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) \sum_{i=0}^q A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right| \left| \int_0^t k_2(t, \tau) \sum_{i=q}^{p-1} B_i(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 \max_{t \in J} \left[\left(\int_0^t |f(\tau, S_{p-1}) - f(\tau, S_{q-1})| d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t |g(\tau, S_p)| d\tau \right) \right] \\ &\quad + k_1 k_2 \max_{t \in J} \left[\left(\int_0^t |f(\tau, S_q)| d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t |g(\tau, S_{p-1}) - g(\tau, S_{q-1})| d\tau \right) \right] \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 \left[c_1 \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t (|g(\tau, S_p) - g(\tau, 0)| + |g(\tau, 0)|) d\tau \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_2 \left(\int_0^t (|f(\tau, S_q) - f(\tau, 0)| + |f(\tau, 0)|) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right) \right] \|S_{p-1} - S_{q-1}\| \\ &\leq k_1 k_2 T^2 [2c_1 c_2 H + c_1 R + c_2 Q] \|S_{p-1} - S_{q-1}\| \leq L \|S_{p-1} - S_{q-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = q + 1$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{q+1} - S_q\| &\leq L \|S_q - S_{q-1}\| \leq L^2 \|S_{q-1} - S_{q-2}\| \leq \dots \\ &\leq L^q \|S_1 - S_0\| \end{aligned}$$

From the triangle inequality we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_p - S_q\| &\leq \|S_{q+1} - S_q\| + \|S_{q+2} - S_{q+1}\| + \dots + \|S_p - S_{p-1}\| \\ &\leq [L^q + L^{q+1} + \dots + L^{p-1}] \|S_1 - S_0\| \\ &\leq L^q [1 + L + \dots + L^{p-q-1}] \|S_1 - S_0\| \\ &\leq L \left[\frac{1 - L^{p-q}}{1 - L} \right] \|x_1\| \end{aligned}$$

Now $0 < L < 1$, and $p > q$ implies that $(1 - L^{p-q}) \leq 1$. Consequently,

$$\|S_p - S_q\| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \|x_1\| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \max_{t \in J} |x_1(t)|$$

but, $|x_1(t)| < k$ and as $q \rightarrow \infty$ then, $\|S_p - S_q\| \rightarrow 0$ and hence, $\{S_p\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in this Banach space E and the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(t)$ converges. \square

3.3. Error analysis

Theorem 3. The maximum absolute truncation error of the series solution (5) to the QIE (1) is estimated to be,

$$\max_{t \in J} |x(t) - \sum_{i=0}^q x_i(t)| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \max_{t \in J} |x_1(t)|$$

Proof. From Theorem 2 we have,

$$\|S_p - S_q\| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \max_{t \in J} |x_1(t)|$$

but, $S_p = \sum_{i=0}^p x_i(t)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ then, $S_p \rightarrow x(t)$ so,

$$\|x - S_q\| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \max_{t \in J} |x_1(t)|$$

so, the maximum absolute truncation error in the interval J is,

$$\max_{t \in J} |x(t) - \sum_{i=0}^q x_i(t)| \leq \frac{L^q}{1 - L} \max_{t \in J} |x_1(t)|$$

and this completes the proof. \square

4. Numerical examples

Example 1. Consider the following nonlinear QIE,

$$x(t) = \left(t^2 - \frac{t^{15}}{1350} \right) + \left(\int_0^t \tau x^2(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \frac{\tau^2}{25} x^3(\tau) d\tau \right), \quad (7)$$

and has the exact solution $x(t) = t^2$. Applying ADM to Eq. (7), we get

$$x_0(t) = \left(t^2 - \frac{t^{15}}{1350} \right),$$

$$x_i(t) = \left(\int_0^t \tau A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \frac{\tau^2}{25} B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right), \quad i \geq 1.$$

where A and B are Adomian polynomials of the nonlinear terms x^2 and x^3 respectively and the solution will be,

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=0}^q x_i(t)$$

Table 1 Absolute error.

t	Error of ADM ($q = 5$)	Error of RT ($h = 0.01$)
0.1	3.15041×10^{-51}	1.73472×10^{-18}
0.2	2.73766×10^{-26}	5.20417×10^{-16}
0.3	2.33331×10^{-21}	1.00531×10^{-13}
0.4	7.34949×10^{-18}	4.22859×10^{-12}
0.5	3.79959×10^{-15}	7.68964×10^{-11}
0.6	6.26342×10^{-13}	8.22618×10^{-10}
0.7	4.69157×10^{-11}	6.10202×10^{-9}
0.8	1.97275×10^{-9}	3.46237×10^{-8}
0.9	5.33651×10^{-8}	1.6013×10^{-7}
1	1.01893×10^{-6}	6.30669×10^{-7}

Table 2 Max. absolute error.

q	Max. error of ADM
5	0.000036246
10	9.23545×10^{-7}
15	2.35318×10^{-8}
20	5.99588×10^{-10}

Table 3 Max. absolute error.

h	Error of RT
0.1	0.0000638458
0.01	6.30669×10^{-7}
0.001	6.3059×10^{-9}

Table 4 Absolute error.

t	Error of ADM ($q = 1$)	Error of RT ($h = 0.1$)
0.1	1.26517×10^{-10}	1.78902×10^{-6}
0.2	1.87763×10^{-8}	8.01745×10^{-6}
0.3	3.82452×10^{-7}	0.0000209399
0.4	3.49231×10^{-6}	0.0000444139
0.5	0.0000206935	0.0000844492
0.6	0.0000936433	0.000150055
0.7	0.000352391	0.000254643
0.8	0.00115842	0.000418523
0.9	0.00342886	0.000673599
1	0.00931516	0.00107275

this series solution converges if $T < 1.15812$. Table 1 shows a comparison between the absolute error of ADM solution and repeated trapezoidal (RT) solution, while Table 2 shows the maximum absolute truncated error (using Theorem 3) at different values of q when $t = 1$ and Table 3 shows the the maximum absolute error of RT at different values of h (h is the step size).

Example 2. Consider the following nonlinear QIE,

Table 5 Max. absolute error.

q	Max. error of ADM
1	0.000278622
5	1.30744×10^{-8}
10	5.07784×10^{-14}
15	1.97213×10^{-19}

Table 6 Max. absolute error.

h	Error of RT
0.1	0.0000844492
0.01	8.44338×10^{-7}
0.001	8.44337×10^{-9}

$$x(t) = \left(t - (e^t - 1) \left(\frac{t^3}{30} + \frac{t^5}{50} \right) \right) + \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{\tau^2 + 1}{10} \right) x^2(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t e^{x(\tau)} d\tau \right), \quad (8)$$

and has the exact solution $x(t) = t$. Applying ADM to Eq. (8), we get

$$x_0(t) = \left(t - (e^t - 1) \left(\frac{t^3}{30} + \frac{t^5}{50} \right) \right),$$

$$x_i(t) = \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{\tau^2 + 1}{10} \right) A_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t B_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \right), \quad i \geq 1.$$

where A and B are Adomian polynomials of the nonlinear terms x^2 and e^x respectively. The series solution converges if $T < 0.723199$. Table 4 shows a comparison between the absolute error of ADM solution and RT solution, while Table 5 shows the maximum absolute truncated error (using Theorem 3) at different values of q (when $t = 0.5$) and Table 6 shows the the maximum absolute error of RT at different values of h .

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank professor Ahmed M. A. El-Sayed (Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt) for his support and encouragement of this study and for his help in preparing the manuscript of the paper.

References

- [1] J. Banaś, A. Martinon, Monotonic solutions of a quadratic integral equation of Volterra type, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 47 (2004) 271–279.
- [2] J. Banaś, M. Lecko, W.G. El-Sayed, Existence theorems of some quadratic integral equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 222 (1998) 276–285.
- [3] S. Chandrasekher, *Radiative Transfer*, Dover, New York, 1960.
- [4] S. Hu, M. Khavani, W. Zhuang, Integral equations arising in the kinetic theory of gases, *Appl. Anal.* 34 (1989) 261–266.
- [5] J. Banaś, J.R. Martin, K. Sadarangani, On solutions of a quadratic integral equation of Hammerstein type, *Math. Comp. Modelling* 43 (2006) 97–104.

- [6] W.G. El-Sayed, B. Rzepka, Nondecreasing solutions of a quadratic integral equation of Urysohn type, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 51 (2006) 1065–1074.
- [7] A.M.A. El-Sayed, M.M. Saleh, E.A.A. Ziada, Numerical and analytic solution for nonlinear quadratic integral equations, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* 12 (8) (2008) 183–191.
- [8] G. Adomian, *Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decomposition Method*, Kluwer, 1995.
- [9] G. Adomian, R. Rach, R. Mayer, Modified decomposition, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 23 (1992) 17–23.
- [10] G. Adomian, *Stochastic System*, Academic press, 1983.
- [11] G. Adomian, *Nonlinear Stochastic Operator Equations*, Academic press, San Diego, 1986.
- [12] G. Adomian, *Nonlinear Stochastic Systems: Theory and Applications to Physics*, Kluwer, 1989.
- [13] Y. Cherruault, Convergence of Adomian method, *Kybernetes* 18 (1989) 31–38.
- [14] K. Abbaoui, Y. Cherruault, Convergence of Adomian's method applied to differential equations, *Computers Math. Appl.* 28 (1994) 103–109.
- [15] Y. Cherruault, G. Adomian, K. Abbaoui, R. Rach, Further remarks on convergence of decomposition method, *Int. J. Bio-Med. Comput.* 38 (1995) 89–93.
- [16] L.M. Delves, J.L. Mohamad, *Computational Methods for Integral Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [17] I.L. El-kalla, Convergence of the Adomian method applied to a class of nonlinear integral equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21 (2008) 372–376.
- [18] A.M.A. El-Sayed, H.H.G. Hashem, Carathéodory type theorem for a nonlinear quadratic integral equation, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* 12 (4) (2008) 88–95.